NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

#### FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Robinson	DATE TYPED:	02/15/01	HB	
SHORT TITLE: Net Capital Gain Income Tax Deduction				SB	236
	ANALYST:				Williams

### **REVENUE**

Estimated Revenue			Subsequent		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund	
FY01	FY02		Years Impact		or Non-Rec	Affected	
	\$	(131,000.0)	\$	(136,200.0)	Recurring	General Fund	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to SB 108 and HB 382

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

LFC Files
Taxation and Revenue Department

### **SUMMARY**

## Synopsis of Bill

The bill removes the cap of \$1,000 on the net capital gain income deduction for personal income taxes. The bill is effective beginning tax year 2001.

## Significant Issues

Unexpectedly strong capital gain has contributed to personal income tax receipts above projections in recent years for both the federal government and many states, including New Mexico. The attached table reflects the relative importance of capital gains to the New Mexico personal income tax structure based on a recent report.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

TRD has estimated the bill would reduce general fund revenues by \$131,000.0 in FY02 and \$136,200.0 in FY03. The fiscal impact is projected to grow significantly over time. The TRD fiscal impact incorrectly identifies an impact on local governments and other state funds. Because this is a personal income tax bill, the proposed deduction for capital gains would only impact the state general fund.

# Senate Bill 236 -- Page 2

## OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

The attached TRD analysis reflects their position on the proposal's effectiveness as well as presents a distribution of the fiscal impacts by income category.

## POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

1. Does the sponsor concur with the effectiveness issues raised by the TRD analysis?

AW/ar Attachment