

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 2/9/9

SPONSOR Morales LAST UPDATED _____ HB _____

SHORT TITLE Adjust Direct Service Provider Cost-of-Living SB 448

ANALYST Chabot

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY09	FY10		
	Indeterminate but Substantial	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates HB 372

Relates to HB 270, Community-Based Waiver Program Cost-of-Living

HB 310, Medicaid Waiver Cost-of-Living Increases

HB 385, Disability and Disability and General Fund Program Rate Equity

SB 301, Disability and General Fund Program Equity

SB 449, Medicaid Waiver Cost-of-Living Increases

Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY09	FY10	FY11	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
Total		\$5.8 million	\$5.8 million	\$11.6 million	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

Department of Health (DOH)

Developmental Disabilities Planning Council (DDPC)

Human Services Department (HSD)

New Mexico Health Policy Commission (HPC)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 448, Adjust Direct Service Provider Cost-of-Living, requires DOH to include in its annual appropriation request, an annual cost-of-living increases, based on the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services' (CMS) intermediate care facilities for the mentally retarded market basket index inflation factor for services provide by contracts or agreements for the family infant toddler program, the developmental disabilities Medicaid waiver program and the state general fund program. The cost-of-living adjustment shall be used to improve staff recruitment and retention and to meet increased programmatic and operational costs of quality service.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The bill has no appropriation but DOH calculates the impact of including a cost-of-living increase would be approximately \$5.8 million each year.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The LFC submitted a balanced general fund appropriation recommendation for fiscal year 2010. Any additional general fund expenditures appropriated by the Legislature must be off-set by an equal amount from the appropriation recommendation.

According to the December 2008 revenue estimate, FY10 recurring revenue will only support a base expenditure level that is \$293 million, or 2.6 percent, less than the FY09 appropriation. All appropriations outside of the general appropriation act will be viewed in this declining revenue context.

DOH states if this bill is enacted and the department receives no additional appropriations, it would have to reduce clients served by the program. In addition, cost-of-living increases are specified for only DOH administered programs but not those administered by other agencies such as the Aging and Long-Term Services Department. The bill requires the cost-of-living adjustment be used to improve staff recruitment and retention and to meet increased programmatic and operational costs of quality service. It may be difficult to enforce this requirement as these are contract providers.

HSD reports the market basket index inflation factor ranges from 3 to 4 percent each year. HSD is responsible for setting the provider rates and has used to following criteria in the decision process:

- Historical increases;
- Promoting preventive care;
- Establishing parity among rates paid for similar services;
- Provider costs:
- Providers' dependence on Medicaid as a funding stream; and
- Rates as compared to Medicare.

In additions HSD assess “Having an automatic rate increase in statute removes all flexibility that an agency might have to respond to changing budgetary exigencies and environmental demands.”

DFA states SB 448 “would obligate the state to request rate increases each year, whether or not additional state General Funds are available.” Without an appropriation for rate increase each year, the bill could extend the wait time for individuals to get on the waiver or general fund programs.

DDPC assess the “agencies have worked to provide standard reimbursement rates for similar services. This bill is contrary to this standardization work and passage would result in a prejudicial increase in a specific service delivery.” “Livable wages and benefits should be provided to all direct care staff...”

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The last sentence (page 2, lines 7-9, should be rewritten so it is a requirement on providers receiving cost-of-living increases and not DOH.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

Any rate increase is subject to CMS approval of the amendments to the waiver. This approval process could delay implementation of the proposed annual increase.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

1. Should all waiver programs be included in the legislation?
2. What if additional revenue is not available to fund the increase?

GAC/mt