Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (<u>www.nmlegis.gov</u>) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

|         |              | <b>ORIGINAL DATE</b> | 2/15/17 |    |  |
|---------|--------------|----------------------|---------|----|--|
| SPONSOR | Ortiz y Pino | LAST UPDATED         | 3/13/17 | HB |  |

| SHORT TITLE | Hospital Patient Protections |
|-------------|------------------------------|
|-------------|------------------------------|

SB 282/aSJC

ANALYST Chilton/Chabot

## ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

|       | FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | 3 Year<br>Total Cost | Recurring or<br>Nonrecurring | Fund<br>Affected |
|-------|------|------|------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Total |      | NFI  |      |                      |                              |                  |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Relates to other bills dealing with abortion and contraception: Abortion: House Bills 37, 220 and 221; Senate Bills 183 and 361. Contraception: House Bill 284, Senate Bill 347

#### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION** LFC Files

<u>Responses Received From</u> Office of the Attorney General (OAG) New Mexico Medical Board (MB) Board of Nursing (BN)

### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of SJC Amendment

The Senate Judiciary Committee amendment to Senate Bill 282 strikes "Establishing Damages" from the title and deletes any penalty related passages from the bill. The bill requires hospitals to provide reproductive health service to a patient.

#### Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 282 would prohibit hospitals from imposing their views in the following situations:

- 1) Provision of a reproductive health service (e.g., termination of pregnancy), when withholding that service would endanger the patient's life or health, and
- 2) Prohibiting a health care provider from exercising her/his best professional judgment with regard to reproductive life services.

The second provision is laid out more extensively, and includes practitioners' right to use their best professional judgment in counseling patients about the patients' health status and risks, to

### Senate Bill 282/aSJC – Page 2

make referral for health care services at the time the practitioner thought appropriate, and providing reproductive health care services when the practitioner believes that failure to do so would seriously threaten the patient's life or health or violate the standard of medical care.

Both patients and health care providers could take legal action if they felt their rights under the act had been abridged, with damages prescribed, including an award of at least \$5,000 per violation as well as payment for legal fees.

Definitions of terms are provided, including a broad definition of reproductive health services, which would include "contraception; termination of pregnancy; treatment of ectopic pregnancy; miscarriage management; assisted reproductive technology, including infertility treatment; screening and treatment of sexually transmitted infections and services related to human immunodeficiency virus and acquired immune deficiency syndrome; pregnancy and post-natal care; and sterilization."

### **FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

There is no appropriation in Senate Bill 282, and responding agencies did not identify any fiscal implications for those agencies.

### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

OAG indicates possible conflict with other statute, specifically Sections 30-5-1 to 3 NMSA 1978, specifically Section 30-5-2, which allows hospitals and individuals to be free from discipline or recrimination for failing to provide an abortion. However, Senate Bill 282 begins with the phrase, "Notwithstanding the provisions of any other state law..." which would seem to resolve the conflict.

The Board of Nursing identifies the restriction of the requirement of the act to hospitals, whereas other types of institution might also restrict providers' ability to counsel patients according to their best informed judgments. For example, a medical group may make requirements that their physicians or other health care providers not discuss the option of abortion.

**RELATES TO** Abortion: House Bill 37, which would require that life-saving assistance be offered to all "viable" infants born as a result of abortion procedures, House Bill 220 and Senate Bill 183, which would define and prohibit "late term abortion," and House Bill221 and Senate Bill 361, which would require parental notification before an abortion would be performed on a minor (defined differently in the two bills, and in both cases subject to various exceptions)..

House Bill 284 and Senate Bill 347 deal in different ways with the availability of insurance coverage for contraceptive drugs and devices.

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

BN notes that the word "medical" used in the terms "medical research" and "medical organizations" on page 4 does not encompass the breadth of research in related fields such as nursing.

# **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

The Medical Board, supporting practitioners' rights to offer life- or health-saving care, states "The principle of the health care practitioner abiding by standard, accepted medical principles and practices would be unacceptably violated under the conditions where there is a serious risk to the patient's life and health."

## WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Patients could be denied reproductive healthcare services at hospitals even if the denial threatened the life or health of the patient. Hospitals could continue to restrict health care providers' right to provide what the practitioners considered to be the best-supported medical care for their patients.

LAC/al/sb/jle