Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (<u>www.nmlegis.gov</u>) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

|                |     |                    | <b>ORIGINAL DATE</b>                         | 2/23/19 |    |          |
|----------------|-----|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------|----|----------|
| SPONSOR        | Gar | ratt/Thomson       | LAST UPDATED                                 | 3/13/19 | HB | 551/aSRC |
| ςμαρτ τιτι     | Б   | Labbriat Haragama  | nt Training & Investige                      | tions   | SB |          |
| SHORT TITLE Lo |     | LOUDYISt Harassine | obbyist Harassment Training & Investigations |         |    |          |

ANALYST Glenn

### ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

|       | FY19  | FY20  | FY21  | 3 Year<br>Total Cost | Recurring or<br>Nonrecurring | Fund<br>Affected |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Total | \$1.0 | \$1.0 | \$1.0 | \$3.0                | Recurring                    | General<br>Fund  |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Relates to: HB 4, HB 131, HB 140, SB 191

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

<u>Responses Received From</u> Secretary of State's Office (SOS) New Mexico Attorney General (NMAG)

#### SUMMARY

## Synopsis of SRC Amendment

The Senate Rules Committee Amendment to House Bill 551 changes the program that lobbyists are required to participate in from a sexual harassment prevention training program to an ethics and sexual harassment training program.

#### Synopsis of Original Bill

House Bill 551 amends the Lobbyist Regulation Act to require lobbyists to have participated in a sexual harassment prevention training program within the past two years as a condition to engaging in lobbying. The program must last at least four hours, be sponsored by SOS, sponsored by an employer of the lobbyist, or conducted by a certified human resources professional. The registration statement filed under the Lobbyist Regulation Act must affirm that the lobbyist has undergone sexual harassment prevention training as required by the bill.

HB 551 permits a person who alleges that a lobbyist has engaged in sexual harassment, as defined by the bill, to report the allegation to SOS. SOS may investigate the allegation and take

### House Bill 551/aSRC – Page 2

action as authorized by law. The identity of the person who makes an allegation is protected from public disclosure.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

SOS states that it anticipates a \$1 thousand budgetary impact for sexual harassment prevention trainings conducted by SOS. SOS also may incur contractual services costs to hire an investigator or hearing officer to investigate and review allegations that a lobbyist has engaged in sexual harassment.

## ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

SOS states that, if HB 551 is enacted, SOS likely will need to adopt a rule to establish procedures for investigating allegations of misconduct by a lobbyist and to specify potential actions by SOS should an investigation determine that a lobbyist engaged in sexual harassment.

SOS notes that it currently does not have the personnel resources or expertise to investigate allegations of sexual harassment against lobbyists.

## CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

<u>Relates to:</u> HB 4 State Ethics Commission Act HB 131 Post-Session Lobbying Reports HB 140 Employer Estimated Lobbyist Reports SB 191 Lobbyist Reporting Requirements

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

Section 2 of HB 551 provides that the "individuals involved" in making a record and investigating an allegation of sexual harassment shall protect from public disclosure the identity of the person who made the allegation. To avoid an overly narrow interpretation, the protection from public disclosure might be amended to provide: "The identity of the person who made the allegation is not subject to public disclosure."

BG/gb/sb