NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Roberts		DATE TYPED:	03/02/01	НВ	897
SHORT TITLE:		Planning and Platting Jurisdiction			SB	
	•			ANALY	YST:	Dotson

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation	on Contained	Estimated Add	litional Impact	Recurring	Fund Affected
FY01	FY02	FY01	FY02	or Non-Rec	
		N	FI		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

HB 897 amends the planning and platting jurisdiction of municipalities with a population of 200,000 or fewer. The bill limits the planning authority of small municipalities, except for utility and transportation corridors, to the city limits.

Significant Issues

Municipalities currently have planning jurisdiction on a wide variety of issues outside their city limits according to 3-19-9 NMSA 1978. This jurisdiction is severely limited with HB 897. Individuals in a county adjacent to a city limit will be free of municipal jurisdiction.

This bill is essentially an individual property right versus a community right question. Activities by an individual adjacent to a city limit could have an effect on the quality of life of the municipal community. Currently, the activities of the individual directly adjacent to a municipality can be restricted.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

Why should a municipality have any jurisdiction outside the city limits?

PD/lrs