Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (<a href="www.nmlegis.gov">www.nmlegis.gov</a>) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Varela	CRIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED		НВ	143	
SHORT TITL	E Create Additional .	Judgeships		SB		
			ANAL	YST	Sánchez	

# **APPROPRIATION** (dollars in thousands)

Appropr	iation	Recurring	Fund Affected	
FY15	FY16	or Nonrecurring		
	\$822.2	Recurring	General Fund	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

## ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY15	FY16	FY17	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		\$822.2	\$851.8	\$1,674.0	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Relates to Senate Bill 36

Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

#### Responses Received From

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) New Mexico Sentencing Commission (NMSC) Judicial Standards Commission (JSC) Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

#### **SUMMARY**

## Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 143 creates two judgeships, one in the Second Judicial District Court and another in the Third Judicial District Court. The number of judges would go up to 28 and nine, in the Second and Third Judicial District Courts, respectively.

The appropriation of \$822.2 thousand contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general

#### House Bill 143 – Page 2

fund. Any unexpended balance remaining at the end of fiscal year 2016 shall revert to the general fund.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

A total of \$822.2 thousand from the general fund is appropriated for the two judgeships, which includes staff, furniture, equipment and supplies. In its "Unified Budget", the judiciary stated that the judge in the Second would be assigned to the criminal division while the one in the Third would be assigned to children's court. Natural budgetary growth is about three percent for state agencies however the judiciary usually requests five percent salary growth for judges to stay in line with judicial pay in the surrounding western states. The amount needed to fund the two new judgeships in subsequent years will increase by about four percent.

The House Appropriations and Finance Committee substitute for HB 2 as amended by the Senate Finance Committee has \$75 thousand for a judge pro tem in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District.

#### **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

The Judicial Compensation Commission in its 2014 Report stated that "applicants to the Judicial Nominating Commission around the state continue to lack diversity, especially lacking applicants with private practice experience in civil law.

According to the AOC, the NMSC with the assistance of the National Center for State Courts conducted a workload assessment study in 2007 for the judiciary, district attorneys, and public defenders. Based on FY14 case filings, the study's workload calculation indicates the state needs an additional 12.58 judges statewide.

The AOC reports that the Second and Third District Courts are handling increasing caseloads and are in dire need of the additional judges, or they risk failing to meet their constitutional and statutory duties. The results of the workload assessment study for this judgeship request are attached to this analysis. Both courts have sufficient courtrooms and office space for the new judges.

The Chief Judges Council reviewed all district, metropolitan, and magistrate judgeship requests statewide and considered both the need as determined by the workload assessment, as well as cost, additional narrative and testimonial information. Despite the need for 12.58 judges in the courts statewide (see chart attached), the Judiciary is seeking to add two critically needed judgeships in FY16.

#### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

With additional judges and staff, the performance measure "cases disposed as a percent of cases filed" may be positively affected; however the courts' disposition rates are already at 100 and 98 percent, respectively. The other measure to be affected is "percent change in case filings by case type". No data is available on that measure.

## **House Bill 143 – Page 3**

## **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

According to the AOC, in these courts, the existing judges are laboring to keep up with the filings. The additional judgeships are desperately needed to help fill the critical shortage of judgeships that exists in the Second and Third Judicial Districts.

# CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Relates to the General Appropriation Act and to SB36

# WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

According to the AOC, without the addition of the new judges, the Second and Third Judicial Districts are at risk of failing to meet their constitutional and statutory duties.

ABS/je