

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website ([www.nmlegis.gov](http://www.nmlegis.gov)).

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 1/28/2021

SPONSOR Lopez LAST UPDATED \_\_\_\_\_ HB \_\_\_\_\_

SHORT TITLE Local Gov't Tobacco Product Sale Ordinances SB 95

ANALYST Nichols

### ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY21	FY22	FY23	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
<b>Total</b>	NFI	NFI	NFI	NFI		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

#### Responses Received From

Regulation and Licensing Department (RLD)

Department of Health (DOH)

#### No Response Received

New Mexico Municipal League (NMML)

New Mexico Counties (NMC)

### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 95 amends the Tobacco Products Act (Section 61-37-24 NMSA 1978) to include a provision that would allow counties and municipalities to enact ordinances, charter amendments, or other tobacco regulations that are stricter than, but not in conflict with, the provisions of the Tobacco Products Act.

There is no effective date of this bill. It is assumed that the effective date is 90 days following adjournment of the Legislature.

### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

There is no anticipated fiscal impact to the state. RLD does not anticipate any costs related to amendment of the Tobacco Products Act.

## **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

The Tobacco Products Act currently allows municipalities and counties to adopt an ordinance, charter amendment, or regulation relating to the sale of tobacco products if it consistent with the provisions of the Act. Currently, counties and municipalities cannot adopt tobacco ordinances or regulations that are stricter than the Act. The proposed amendment would make the Tobacco Products Act the minimum standard but allow local government to enact stricter tobacco-regulated regulations, as long as they do not conflict with the Act. Currently, the Tobacco Products Act restricts sales of tobacco products to minors under 21.

According to the Department of Health (DOH), SB95 could allow local communities to develop tobacco ordinances and regulations that address unique characteristics of tobacco use in that community.

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), some states preempt, or prevent local communities from passing local laws that are more stringent or differ from a state's tobacco control policies related to advertising, smoke free indoor air, and youth access. A state may preempt local tobacco control laws in all or only in some categories. The tobacco industry has historically supported state preemption laws as a way to reverse existing local tobacco control laws and prevent future enactment of such laws. According to the CDC, as of September 30, 2020, 23 states have laws preempting local ordinances related to youth access to tobacco, including preempting restrictions on selling tobacco products to youth or restricts on tobacco product vending machines.

## **PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

DOH indicates that amendment of the Tobacco Products Act as specified in SB95 would align with one of its four strategic goals (improving health status for New Mexicans) and could also address two performance measures – percent of adolescents who smoke and percent of adults who smoke.

## **DUPLICATION**

SB95 is a duplicate of HB97.

## **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

Youth tobacco use varies significantly by county. For example, the use of any tobacco product is lowest in De Baca (27 percent), Colfax (29 percent), and Quay (29 percent) counties, but is significantly higher in Taos (61 percent), Guadalupe (49 percent), and Rio Arriba (48 percent) counties. E-cigarette also varies significantly by county: De Baca (23 percent) and Mora (23 percent) counties vs. Taos (57 percent) and Guadalupe (46 percent) counties.

AN/Sal