

# NM Hospital Taxation Issues

“This is not a provider tax”

- In the 2017 Session, NMHA supported the *hospital components* (SFC amendments) as finally passed in HB 202 / HB 2. The key elements are:
  - **ALL** Not-for-profit, governmental and investor-owned hospitals are included in the State portion of GRT tax base leveling
  - A 60% deduction is applied to the revenue base.
  - The estimated total GRT proceeds are \$107M, a *significant new tax burden on hospitals*
  - The revenue is appropriated to:
    - \$26M for Medicaid through the County Supported Medicaid Fund, generating a \$104M federal match for a total \$130M from which:
      - Hospitals would see a restoration of 2016 payment cuts
      - A crucial 10% rate enhancement for rural hospitals
    - \$81M for the state general fund, available for appropriation, could generate \$400 million if also used for Medicaid
  - There is minimal local government GRT impact



## NMHA Member Hospitals by Ownership Status

NOT-FOR-PROFIT (13)	INVESTOR-OWNED HOSPITALS (21)	GOVERNMENTAL (11)
<p><b>CHRISTUS Health</b> CHRISTUS St. Vincent Regional Med Center – Santa Fe</p> <p><b>Otero County Hospital Association d/b/a Gerald Champion Regional Med. Center</b> <b>Gerald Champion Regional Med. Center</b> Alamogordo</p> <p><b>Presbyterian Healthcare Services (PHS)</b> <b>Plains Regional Medical Center – Clovis</b> <b>Presbyterian Espanola - Espanola</b> Presbyterian Hospital - Albuquerque Presbyterian Kaseman – Albuquerque Presbyterian Rust Medical Center – Rio Rancho <b>Socorro General Hospital – Socorro</b></p> <p><i>Operated by PHS:</i> <b>Lincoln County</b> <b>Lincoln County Medical Center - Ruidoso</b> <b>Quay County</b> <b>Dr. Dan C Trigg Memorial Hosp – Tucumcari</b> (Presbyterian Healthcare Services)</p> <p><b>Rehoboth McKinley Christian Health Care Services</b> (self-owned) – Gallup</p> <p><b>San Juan Regional Medical Center, Inc.</b> San Juan Regional Medical Center – Farmington</p> <p><b>Taos Health Systems, Inc.</b> <b>Holy Cross Hospital, Taos</b> (QHR)</p>	<p><b>Ardent Health Services</b> Lovelace Medical Center – Albuquerque Lovelace Rehabilitation Hospital - Albuquerque Lovelace Westside Hospital - Albuquerque Lovelace Women’s Hospital – Albuquerque <b>Lovelace Regional Hospital - Roswell</b></p> <p><b>Behavioral Health Services of NM LLC</b> Central Desert Behavioral Health Center</p> <p><b>Community Health Systems, Inc. (CHS)</b> Carlsbad Medical Center LLC – Carlsbad Eastern NM Medical Center – Roswell Lea Regional Medical Center – Hobbs MountainView Regional Medical Center – Las Cruces</p> <p><b>Ernest Health, Inc.</b> Advanced Care Hospital of Southern New Mexico – Las Cruces Rehabilitation Hospital of Southern New Mexico – Las Cruces</p> <p><b>Haven Behavioral Healthcare, Inc.</b> Haven Behavioral Hospital of ABQ</p> <p><b>HealthSouth Corporation</b> HealthSouth Rehabilitation Hospital – Alb.</p> <p><b>Kindred Healthcare, Inc.</b> Kindred Hospital – Albuquerque</p> <p><b>LifePoint Health</b> <b>Los Alamos Medical Center – Los Alamos</b> Memorial Medical Center – Las Cruces</p> <p><b>Quorum Health Corporation</b> <b>Alta Vista Regional Hospital – Las Vegas</b> <b>Mimbres Memorial Hospital – Deming</b></p> <p><b>Strategic Behavioral Health, Inc.</b> Peak Hospital &amp; Behavioral Health Services, LLC – Santa Teresa</p> <p><b>Universal Health Services, Inc.</b> Mesilla Valley Hospital – Las Cruces</p>	<p><b>Artesia Hospital District</b> <b>Artesia General Hospital – Artesia</b> 501 (c) 3</p> <p><b>Clayton Health System, Inc. dba Union County General Hospital-</b> (Community Hospital Corporation, Inc.)</p> <p><b>Cibola General Hospital Corporation</b> <b>Cibola General Hospital, Grants</b> 501 (c) 3 (QHR)</p> <p><b>Grant County</b> <b>Gila Regional Medical Center, Silver City</b></p> <p><b>Guadalupe County</b> 501 (c) 3 <b>Guadalupe County Hospital - Santa Rosa</b> (New Mexicare, Inc.)</p> <p><b>Joint Power Agreement (Sierra County, Village of Williamsburg, City of T or C &amp; City of Elephant Butte)</b> <b>Sierra Vista Hospital – T or C</b> (Governing Board of SVH)</p> <p><b>Nor-Lea Hospital District</b> <b>Nor-Lea General Hospital – Lovington</b> 501 (c) 3</p> <p><b>Roosevelt General Special Hospital District</b> <b>Roosevelt Gen Hospital - Portales</b></p> <p><b>State of New Mexico</b> <b>Miners' Colfax Medical Center – Raton</b></p> <p><b>University of New Mexico, Health Sciences</b> UNM Hospitals – Albuquerque Sandoval Regional Medical Center – Rio Rancho</p>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">                 ( ) = management contract  <b>BOLD = owner</b> </div>		
<p><b>2017 HB 2 Rural Add-On Hospitals</b></p>		

# HB 2 Language

- “Contingent on enactment of House Bill 202 or similar legislation of the first session of the fifty-third legislature authorizing additional distributions to the county-supported medicaid fund, up to twenty-six million four hundred thousand dollars (\$26,400,000) is appropriated to the medical assistance program of the human services department for increases to inpatient and outpatient hospital rates, including five million dollars (\$5,000,000) for rate increases at hospitals classified during fiscal year 2017 as smallest and small for the purpose of receiving payments for uncompensated care from the safety net care pool fund. The other state funds appropriation is from the county-supported medicaid fund.”

- CSMF  
Appropriation  
\$26.4M
- Rate  
Restoration  
\$14.3M
- 10% Rural  
Add-On  
\$5M



New Mexico  
Hospital Association

# Consultant Opinions

- **Supportive Opinion from Cindy Mann at Manatt Health. (Former Medicaid Director for CMS)**
- **Supportive Second Opinion from Pat Casanova, Principal, Health Management Associates**

- **EXCERPT FROM HMA SECOND OPINION MEMO:**

“...I spoke with Kristin Fan, Director of the Financial Management Group at CMS, and shared copies of the proposed legislation and a detailed overview of the GRT, including its history and the uses of the revenue from this tax.

The Financial Management Group reviewed the legislation and reviewed federal regulation around healthcare taxes. CMS views the New Mexico proposed tax structure to include hospitals as **permitted even if the stringent healthcare related tax requirements were to apply**. CMS was clear that **the tax structure is in compliance with federal requirements**, and there is no evidence of gaming. CMS declined to opine on whether or not the proposed tax is a healthcare tax since that issue is not dispositive because even if it were a provider tax, it would be permitted.”

# NM hospital taxation issues

- NMHA opposed HB 412, tax reform bill
  - Applied the full 5.78% GRT to all hospitals
  - Created a \$320 million impact on hospitals
  - All proceeds went to state general fund
    - No help to Medicaid program or hospitals
  - A decimating impact on small, rural hospitals
  - Hospitals would receive minimal benefit from anti-pyramiding



To: Governor Susana Martinez  
From: Cindy Mann and Anne Karl, Manatt Health  
Date: March 10, 2017  
Subject: House Bill 202  
Copy: Jeff Dye, President and CEO, NMHA

On behalf of the New Mexico Hospital Association, we have reviewed HB 202, as amended to incorporate changes suggested by the Association, to consider whether the reform of New Mexico's gross receipts tax (GRT) proposed in that bill would be considered a provider tax under federal Medicaid law. For the reasons discussed below, we believe the tax changes in HB 202 do not constitute a provider tax under federal rules.

A tax is considered a provider tax (referred to in the law as a healthcare-related tax) under federal law if it meets *either* of these two prongs of the definition:

- Eighty-five percent of the burden of the tax falls on healthcare providers or
- The tax provides for a different treatment for healthcare providers than for others.

HB 202 does not meet either prong of the definition.

- The proposed changes would not make the GRT a health care tax under the first prong because 85% of the burden of the GRT would not fall on healthcare providers. In 2015, the gross receipts tax generated roughly \$3.0 B in revenue; HB 202 would raise less than two percent of total revenue.
- HB 202 does not meet the second prong of the federal definition because hospitals are not treated differently under the tax.
  - Hospitals would be subject to the same structure, definitions, methodologies and rate as others who are subject to the tax.
  - Hospitals would receive a partial credit against this tax, similar to the treatment of other entities long subject to the tax. As drafted, the credit conforms with the language in the current GRT as applied to other taxpayers, such as businesses qualifying for the small business research and development credit or the technology jobs research and development credit.
  - In fact, the large number and broad range of exemptions, deductions, and credits embedded within the gross receipts tax suggest that various credits,

March 10, 2017  
Page 2

deductions, and exemptions are a core feature within New Mexico's gross receipts tax.

The changes proposed in HB 202 do not create a new provider tax but rather bring hospitals into the normal structure of the GRT, the burden of which does not fall primarily on healthcare providers. As such, HB 202 does not meet either of the two prongs of what constitutes a healthcare-related tax under federal law. It bears noting, however, that even if CMS were to conclude that the proposed modification is a healthcare-related tax—which we believe is highly unlikely—the arrangement would comply with CMS requirements for health care related taxes.<sup>1</sup>

203947409.1

---

<sup>1</sup> Specifically, the gross receipts tax as proposed in HB 202 is “broad based” because it applies to all hospitals licensed in the state; it is “uniform” because the same rate applies to all revenue and all hospitals; and hospitals are not guaranteed a return of their tax dollars.



# HEALTH MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES

---

## Memorandum

To: Legislators  
From: Pat Casanova, Principal, Health Management Associates  
CC: Jeff Dye, President and CEO, NMHA  
Date: May 18, 2017

On behalf of the New Mexico Hospital Association, in preparation for the legislative special session scheduled to begin on May 24, 2017, we have reviewed the hospital-specific elements of the GRT proposal included in the amended versions of House Bill 202 and House Bill 2. This proposal closes loopholes and levels the playing field for all for-profit, not-for-profit and governmental hospitals. It was put forward in the 2017 legislative session, and some questions have been raised about the permissibility of the proposal under federal Medicaid law. As part of our review we have also reviewed the March 10, 2017 memo prepared by Manatt Health on this matter.

We second the findings of Manatt Health. The proposal does not meet the definition of a provider tax. As noted in the Manatt Health memo, there are two elements of the federal definition of a provider tax. The tax must meet at least one of the elements. A provider tax requires:

- Eighty-five percent of the burden of the tax falls on healthcare providers, OR
- The tax provides for a different treatment for healthcare providers than for others.

Neither element is true of the GRT proposal, for the reasons set out in the Manatt Health memo.

The proposed language would make the playing field more even for hospitals by subjecting all hospitals to the GRT regardless of tax status. Future versions of the legislation will clarify that the tax applies to gross receipts of hospitals as determined by the New Mexico Tax and Revenue Department. All governmental hospitals and non-governmental hospitals would be taxed at the existing state standard rates.

Taxes imposed by a state, even if CMS views them as a provider tax, do not need to be approved by CMS. **Therefore, this proposal does not require federal approval prior to implementation.**

Though there is a new administration at the federal level, there have been no changes to the law or guidance regarding provider taxes. As an additional step to validate our opinions, we reached out to CMS informally to inquire if the New Mexico proposal would present any issues or concerns at the federal level.

---

9000 KEYSTONE CROSSING, SUITE 550, INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46240  
TELEPHONE: 317.818.1005 | FAX: 317.818.1006  
WWW.HEALTHMANAGEMENT.COM

---

ALBANY • ATLANTA • AUSTIN • BOSTON • CHICAGO • COLUMBUS • DENVER • HARRISBURG  
INDIANAPOLIS • LANSING • NEW YORK CITY • PHOENIX • PORTLAND • SACRAMENTO • SAN ANTONIO  
SAN FRANCISCO • SEATTLE • SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA • TALLAHASSEE • WASHINGTON, DC

5/18/2017

Page 2

Anne Karl of Manatt Health and I spoke with Kristin Fan, Director of the Financial Management Group at CMS, and shared copies of the proposed legislation and a detailed overview of the GRT, including its history and the uses of the revenue from this tax.

The Financial Management Group reviewed the legislation and reviewed federal regulation around healthcare taxes. CMS views the New Mexico proposed tax structure to include hospitals as **permitted even if the stringent healthcare related tax requirements were to apply. CMS was clear that the tax structure is in compliance with federal requirements, and there is no evidence of gaming. CMS declined to opine on whether or not the proposed tax is a healthcare tax since that issue is not dispositive because even if it were a provider tax, it would be permitted.**

The conversations with the CMS Financial Management Group staunchly support the original findings of Manatt Health, and our agreement with their findings. The language of HB 202 brings hospitals into the normal structure of the GRT, while addressing the state's significant deficit. The concepts embodied in the HB 202 / HB 2 hospital package provide a benefit to the State and to hospitals in a federally compliant manner.