## TO PERA

 Legislative Finance Committee Pension Solvency and Investment Performance Representative Patricia A. Lundstrom, Chair Senator John Arthur Smith, Vice-Chair
## Today’s View



Fund Value - \$15.6 Billion
Funded Ratio - 73.1\% (PE Fund) Projected 77.1\% in 2043
Funded Period - Infinite (PE Fund)
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability - \$5.5 Billion

## Public Employees Plan Projected Funded Ratio Previous and New Assumptions



# Where Are We? Unfunded Benefit Enhancements and Changing Markets <br> <br> PE FUND 

 <br> <br> PE FUND}
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## Difficulty of Investing to 100\% Funding

| 75\% Funded Ratio | 10 Years | 20 Years | 2043 <br> (25 Years) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approximate Required Return to <br> "Catch Up" to 100\% Funding | $10.9 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |

Probability of Achieving "Catch Up" Return

| Current Portfolio | $11.0 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Year End Portfolio | $11.2 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ |
| 2019 Year End Portfolio | $14.4 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ |
| 2020 Year End Portfolio | $18.6 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ |

Source: Wilshire
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## Understanding Our Liabilities (\$20.2 billion)



■ Retirees ■ Inactives ■ Actives
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## Deconstructing Retiree Liability by Age

## Retiree Liability



|  | Age 65 + | Age <65 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members | 22,594 | 15,417 | 38,011 |
| Average Age | 75.2 | 55.5 | 67.2 |
| Benefit <br> Payments | $\$ 514,235,279$ | $\$ 577,918,739$ | $\$ 1,092,154,018$ |

■ Age 65+ ■ Age < 65
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## Asset Liability Model (ALM)

- Used to assess the volatility of future investment returns on funding measures
- Model used 500 random series of 30 years of investment returns from a distribution with a $7.25 \%$ expected compound average return
- Output focuses on the $25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}$ (Median) and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile results
- Useful to asses the range of future performance of alternative and determine which has the lowest risk of failure or highest chance of success.


## Baseline of ALM

- No change to current plan or funding
- Does reflect the latest proposed assumptions
- Includes an estimated 7.10\% return for the fiscal year ending 2018


## Baseline ALM

## Funded Ratio by Percentile Rank of Outcomes

Current Plan and Current Funding ALM


## Baseline ALM - Impact on Cash Flow

Net Percent of Negative External Cash Flow by Percentile Rank of Outcomes Current Plan ALM

- 75 th $\longrightarrow$ 50th $\longrightarrow$ 25th
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## Baseline ALM- UAAL

UAAL Amount by Percentile Rank of Outcomes

(30,000,000)

## Pension Design Decision Trees

Examples of pension design decisions trees intended to stimulate discussion and direction from the Board by demonstrating the impact to the UAAL and future funding status of the major, available levers. The examples include a base package of changes, as well as alternative COLA models.

| Impact of Plan Modifications - Valuation Basis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Normal Cost\% | UAAL <br> (\$bil) | Funded Ratio\% | Funding Period <br> (Yrs) | Additional Cost of 25 -year Funding |
| Post-Experience Study Baseline | 17.2\% | 5.560 | 73.1\% | Infinite | 6.23\% |
| Progressive Changes Analyzed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decrease Tier $1 \& 2$ mulitpliers by $0.25 \%$ <br>  | 16.7\% | 5.439 | 73.5\% | 79.1 | 5.42\% |
|  | 16.7\% | 5.440 | 73.5\% | 40.0 | 3.44\% |
|  | 16.7\% | 5.009 | 75.1\% | 32.7 | 2.13\% |
|  | 16.4\% | 4.856 | 75.7\% | 29.6 | 1.47\% |
|  | 16.3\% | 4.652 | 76.5\% | 26.8 | 0.77\% |
| B ...or COLA is CPI based and paid every 3rd year | 15.4\% | 3.100 | 83.0\% | 13.1 | -4.56\% |
| C ...or 5\% annual 13th Check | 15.6\% | 3.731 | 80.2\% | 17.2 | -2.59\% |

## Example Package A Changes to ALM

Items in shaded box are common to all packages except as noted

- Reduce Benefit Multipliers for Tiers 1 and 2 by 0.25\%
- Not less than 2.0\% for General Employee (GE) coverage plans
- Not less than $2.5 \%$ for Public Safety and Correction (PS) Plans
- Increase member contributions $2.0 \%$ of salary across all divisions
- Suspend the current COLA for 3 years
- COLA eligibility is age 67 (GE) / age 60 (PS) instead of current 7 year deferral
- And COLA rate is based on actual annual CPI change minimum of $0 \%$ and maximum of $2.5 \%$ (assumes annual COLA is 1.85\%)
- Example modeled COLA changes do not include disability retirees with benefits of less than \$20,000
- Example modeled 67 and 60 minimum age to receive a benefit applies to prospective members only.


## Example Package A Changes to ALM - Funded Ratio



## Example Package A Changes to ALM - Cash Flow
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## Example Package C Changes to ALM

- Reduce Benefit Multipliers for Tiers 1 and 2 by 0.25\%
- Not less than 2.0\% for General Employee (GE) coverage plans
- Not less than $2.5 \%$ for Public Safety and Correction (PS) Plans
- Increase member contributions $2.0 \%$ of salary across all divisions
- Suspend the current COLA for 3 years
- COLA eligibility is age 67 (GE) / age 60 (PS) instead of current 7 year deferral
- COLA is replaced with an annual $13^{\text {th }}$ Check of $5 \%$ of 2018 benefit amount
- Example modeled COLA changes do not include disability retirees with benefits of less than $\$ 20,000$
- Example modeled 67 and 60 minimum age to receive a benefit applies to prospective members only.


## Example Package C Changes to ALM - Funded Ratio



## Example Package C Changes to ALM - Cash Flow
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## Example Package D-2 Changes to ALM

- Reduce Benefit Multipliers for Tiers 1 and 2 by 0.25\%
- Not less than 2.0\% for General Employee (GE) coverage plans
- Not less than 2.5\% for Public Safety and Correction (PS) Plans
- Increase member contributions $2.0 \%$ of salary across all divisions
- Suspend the current COLA for 3 years
- COLA eligibility after one-full year of retirement
- **Post-Retirement Increases based on WRS design but limited
- **Post Retirement Increase \% is limited between a minimum of 0\% and maximum of $2.5 \%$ until PERA is $100 \%$ funded then upper limit increases to 5\%
- Example modeled COLA changes do not include disability retirees with benefits of less than \$20,000
- Example modeled 67 and 60 minimum age to receive a benefit applies to prospective members only.


## Example Package D-2 Changes to ALM - Funded Ratio



## Example Package D-2 Changes to ALM - Cash Flow

Net Percent of Negative External Cash Flow by Percentile Rank of Outcomes Package D-2 Changes ALM
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## Impact of Reduced Vacancy Levels on State General Division

|  | 2017 UAAL | 2043 UAAL | 2017 Funded <br> Ratio | 2043 Funded <br> Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline | $\$ 2,979,900,858$ | $\$ 7,252,605,398$ | $66.18 \%$ | $44.49 \%$ |
| Increase Actives 1\% | $\$ 2,979,900,858$ | $\$ 7,126,247,664$ | $66.18 \%$ | $45.78 \%$ |
| Increase Actives 5\% <br> $(1 \% / Y e a r)$ | $\$ 2,979,900,858$ | $\$ 6,689,555,881$ | $66.18 \%$ | $50.18 \%$ |

## \$100 Million General Fund Infusion in FY19
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## Major Take-Away \#1: Increase our Likelihood of Success

- While possible plan design changes can take many shapes and forms the most effective package is that which increases our likelihood of success. The most effective package as a whole, is the one with the tightest distribution, or smallest difference between the best outcome and the worst outcome.

Tight Distribution



## What We Are Trying to Avoid



## Final Thoughts

- The PERA Board recognized in January of 2017 that despite the positive impact of SB 27, PERA was facing strong headwinds in staying within its goal of paying off our unfunded liability by 2043.
- Over a period of a year and a half, the Board spent significant time and effort looking at how to address those headwinds through improved governance, investment strategies and benefit analysis.
- Recently adopted economic and demographic assumptions provide a more realistic basis from which to assess the soundness and sustainability of the PERA benefit.
- Experts agree investments alone will not make up for the shortfall.
- The Board is continuing to review possible benefit and contribution models and will consider a solvency Resolution at its August meeting setting direction for PERA to provide recommendations for the 2019 legislative session.


## PERA Fund Performance

- The PERA Fund balance was $\$ 15.4$ billion on June 30, 2018
- During FY 2018, the Fund returned 6.93\% (net of fees) and was up \$1.01 billion (net of fees)
- PERA Fund paid out benefits of \$1.15 billion during FY 2018
- PERA Smart Save (457b) balance was $\$ 605$ million on June 30, 2018 with 21,692 participants

| As of 6/30/2018 | 1 Year <br> (EY18) | 5 Year | 10 Year | 20 Year | 30 Year | Since <br> Inception <br> $6 / 30 / 1985$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERA <br> Total Fund <br> Returns <br> (Net of Fees)* | $6.93 \%$ | $7.31 \%$ | $5.49 \%$ | $6.27 \%$ | $8.50 \%$ | $8.98 \%$ |
| Policy <br> Benchmark* <br> Value Add** | $5.27 \%$ | $7.34 \%$ | $5.86 \%$ | $5.88 \%$ | $8.40 \%$ | $8.90 \%$ |

