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## Purpose of Report

The State Personnel Board (SPB) Rules ${ }^{1}$ require the SPB to annually adopt and submit a compensation report to the Governor and the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) that includes a summary of the status of the classified pay system and the results of the State of New Mexico's (State) annual compensation survey that includes total compensation. This document shall serve as the official report.

This report conveys economic pay trends, findings, and data derived from the compensation and benefits surveys compiled by the National Compensation Association of State Governments and analyzed by the State Personnel Office (SPO). This data is analyzed in order to illustrate the salary ranges, rates, average salaries, and benefits for state classifications in the eight state comparator labor markets--Utah, Texas, Arizona, Kansas, Nevada, Colorado, Wyoming and Oklahoma (Comparator). The report also summarizes key findings and comparative data showing the relationship of the State's wages and compensation programs to those of the eight state Comparator labor markets. Additionally, it presents data on State employee demographics, the use of available pay mechanisms, and industry-accepted workforce metrics for the enhancement of the classified service pay system.

## Personnel Act \& Compensation Philosophy

## Personnel Act

The State Personnel Act requires New Mexico to establish and maintain a system of personnel administration for classified employees based solely on employee qualifications and abilities that provides greater economy and efficiency in the management of State affairs. ${ }^{2}$

## Compensation Philosophy

In 2001, the SPB established a policy regarding the state's approach to compensation:
"The Compensation System (salary and benefits) for classified state government employees will be structured to support the mission of State Government and be consistent with state statutes to provide a high level of responsive service in meeting the needs of its citizens. The foundation of this structure is to reward employees for their specific contributions to the achievement of organizational goals and objectives. Fiscal responsibility requires that this approach be administered in a consistent manner throughout the state's classified service based on its financial capabilities."

[^0]
## Executive Summary

The classified service workforce consists of over 16,500 employees within 64 executive state agencies, boards and commissions. The State recognizes that its employees are its most valuable asset, and that these employees are critical to providing services to all New Mexicans. Employment with New Mexico state government represents a career in public service, and an opportunity to deliver excellence, accountability, and efficiency.

Both private and public employers seek to attract and retain qualified and dedicated employees to translate strategy into success. Accordingly, it is critical to have a sound compensation program that is externally competitive. The State continues to experience pressure as private and other public sector organizations are competing for the same workers that the State is trying to attract and retain. SPO has developed 7 pay lines to address market pricing issues -- IT, Engineering, Architecture, Attorneys, Social Services, General Administration, and Corrections-all of which have been approved by the SPB and are currently in use by agencies. In addition, one pay line--Health Care--has been approved by the SPB, and is scheduled to be implemented as of July 1, 2020, and two - Health Care Supports, and Human Resource Professionals - are in progress.

## Classified Employee Pay compared to Market

The State strives to pay a competitive public sector salary, while remaining fiscally responsible in order to allow the State to compete with both private and public employers in the national geographic region as well as the local NM regional market. Currently, the State's annual classified employee average base salary is $\$ 48,298$. New Mexico ranks sixth in both the primary eight state Comparator market for public sector employee and total compensation (salary plus benefits). Both of these rankings indicate that New Mexico is behind the average within the national geographic region.

In 2001, the State's general salary schedule pay band width was expanded to $78 \%$ wide to allow employees the ability to laterally move (be promoted) in pay, as skills increased, rather than having to be promoted on a vertically designed pay structure. In FY14, SPO narrowed the pay band width to $74 \%$, in an effort to bring the State classified service band width closer to the industry standard of $50 \%$. At that time, the prior Administration, working with the Legislature, budgeted vacancies at midpoint rather than at the minimum of the pay band, which practice continues. In a continuing effort to align the State's compensation practices with industry standards, the new pay lines described above have narrower pay band widths of $67 \%$ (IT), $60 \%$ (Engineering, Architecture, Attorneys, Social Services), and 40\% (Corrections).

The salary structures are the foundation upon which to appropriately compensate State employees. The next important step is for agency budgets to be funded in support of appropriate compensation.

## Use of Alternative Pay Bands

Currently, over 25\% of the State's job classifications within the General Salary Structure are assigned to Alternative Pay Bands (APB). The number of APBs is an indicator that the state's classified service General Salary Structure is behind the market for many job classifications and continues to require updating.

APBs were originally designed to only be used on an exception basis, to address compensation issues related to recruitment and retention that could not normally be handled within the General Salary Structure. A job that is evaluated and assigned to range levels appropriately captures and maintains internal equity to other similar sized jobs within the classified service. When external market forces of demand exceed the supply of the labor market, pressure is placed on the State's compensation structure. This market pressure has impacted the State's ability to attract and retain well-qualified applicants with market competitive salaries, resulting in the SPB "temporarily" assigning job classifications to higher pay bands. These APBs are reviewed annually, and the Compensation and Classification Division presents recommendations to the State Personnel Board on renewing or discontinuing the temporary pay band assignment.

With the implementation of new occupationally based classification and salary schedules, the State has been able to greatly reduce the number of APB's by creating structures that are more responsive to movement in their respective markets. Each occupational group created removes significant numbers of classifications that previously required APBs to the General Salary Structure.

## Total Compensation Components

Total compensation for employees consists not only of the cost of the direct salary received, but also includes the value (and cost to both employees and the State) for benefits provided to employees. Total compensation includes benefits of health, dental, life and disability insurance, pharmacy and vision insurance, retirement, deferred compensation, paid leave (annual, sick and holiday), compensatory time off, and all other types of leave.

For the State's classified service, the percentage of total compensation provided in direct salary versus indirect benefits is skewed towards providing higher indirect benefits by as much as $10 \%$. When compared to the private sector, the State contributes significantly more to employees in both medical and retirement benefits.

Health insurance costs make up a significant portion of indirect benefit costs, and are a recruiting incentive for the State. Accordingly, the State should continue its efforts to review and manage its healthcare plan design in order to improve the overall health and well-being of employees.

The Public Employee Retirement Association (PERA) offers a defined benefit retirement program for State employees (educational employees participate in a separate plan). Currently, the PERA retirement calculation considers both years of service, and average highest earnings. New Mexico PERA is considered one of the best retirement programs in the country.

It is important that employees be provided with a complete picture of the total value of their compensation package with the State of New Mexico, including both direct and indirect compensation. SPO is working with PERA, Risk Management Division, General Services Department and the Department of Information Technology to develop within SHARE/PeopleSoft, a total
compensation statement to be provided annually to each employee as well as a total compensation tool to be included within the recruitment tool of SHARE/PeopleSoft. This way, prospective employees can see the total package offered by the State rather than only the hourly wage.

## Compa-Ratios

Compa-ratio ${ }^{3}$ is a position within a pay range relative to the midpoint of a pay range. It is an industry standard measurement of a compensation plan.

When evaluating individual agencies:

- The average Compa-ratio throughout the state ranges from $90 \%$ to $116 \%$;
- 8 executive agencies have an average Compa-ratio of less than $100 \%$; and,
- 15 executive agencies have an average Compa-ratio of over $110 \%$.

This indicates that in order to compete with the market, in many agencies the midpoint, or close to it, has become the entry level for new hires. The lack of pay adjustments (market or otherwise) over a long period of time for tenured employees has resulted in significant compaction for those employees who typically have more experience or qualifications than new hires.

## Classification System Changes

SPO has developed a market-focused Classification \& Compensation System that capture different families of work. The intent of this system is for the developed and implemented pay lines to allow for more targeted, well-planned increases to be delivered, easing market tensions and bringing New Mexico closer to the Comparative market.

[^1]
## Salary Surveys \& Data Sources

## Annual Salary Survey Purpose

Annually, the State Personnel Office's Compensation \& Classification Division conducts a salary survey to identify and compare the labor market competitiveness of the State's salary structure (pay bands), and current pay practices (actual pay), with the State's Comparator market. This survey also allows an assessment of the competitiveness of pay and benefits (insurance, leave, etc.) to the labor market. SPO's Compensation \& Classification Division reviews and analyzes numerous, credible, salary and budget surveys to collect salary data. (See Appendix A).

Job classifications are reviewed and compared to benchmarks to determine how close-to-similar jobs are represented through comparative analyses, and are selected based on market criteria which are consistent with past comparisons.

These represent a:

- Large sample of state employees;
- Variety of job occupations (clerical, administrative, trade, counseling, law enforcement, etc.); and,
- Range of levels in job complexity (measured in job content points).

Unless noted, data used in the compilation of this report is as of July 1, 2019.

## National Compensation Association of State Governments Salary Survey

SPO participates annually in a comprehensive salary survey of benchmark job classifications sponsored by the National Compensation Association of State Governments (NCASG). The NCASG's primary objectives are to improve the validity of job matches, to improve the accuracy of data in salary surveys among the states, and to reduce the number of individual surveys exchanged among the states on an annual basis.

In 2018, 39 state governments participated in NCASG's annual survey, representing 644,246 public sector employees. SPO identified job matches for 179 of 189 benchmark classification in the survey.

## Total Compensation

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics defines total compensation as "the complete reward/recognition package for employees, including all forms of money, benefits, perquisites, services and in-kind payments."

The State of New Mexico provides a competitive employee benefit package that includes: Employer-paid medical insurance contributions, pension (retirement) contributions, paid leave allowances for vacation days, sick days, and paid holidays. Additionally, State employees may take advantage of a Section 457, Deferred Compensation Plan that allows for contributions to a tax-deferred savings program

Table 2

| Eight - State Comparator Market <br> Total Compensation Ranking <br> Wyoming$\$ 92,037$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Utah | $\$ 79,092$ |
| Colorado | $\$ 82,684$ |
| Oklahoma | $\$ 61,461$ |
| New Mexico | $\$ 85,222$ |
| Arizona | $\$ 77,638$ |
| Texas | $\$ 72,205$ |
| Kansas | $\$ 63,845$ |
| Nevada | $\$ 57,210$ | that can be used to supplement their retirement plan.

Employer-provided employee benefits remain an important part of the total rewards package in attracting and retaining workers. The below graph illustrates the Comparison of total compensation.

## Graph 1



## Total Classified Compensation Calculation

Table 3 provides a breakdown of New Mexico's average total compensation components for classified employees. The 2019 average base salary is $\$ 48,298$. This amount is $56.7 \%$ of total compensation. The remaining employer sponsored indirect components of total compensation (mandated benefits, insurance, and paid time off) is valued an average at $\$ 36,924$ or $43.3 \%$ of total compensation, resulting in a total compensation annual amount of $\$ 85,222$.

Table 3

| Average Base Salary: |  | $\mathbf{\$ 4 8 , 2 9 8 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Employer Sponsored Benefits: |  |  |  |
| FICA/Medicare | $(6.2 \% ~ / ~ 1.45 \% ~ o f ~ g r o s s ~ s a l a r y) ~$ | $\$ 3,695$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| PERA | (17.24\% of gross salary) | $\$ 8,327$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| RHC | (3 \% of gross salary) | $\$ 1,449$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Vacation | (120 hours per year) | $\$ 2,786$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Sick | (96 hours per year) | $\$ 2,229$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Holiday | (80 hours per year) | $\$ 1,858$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Insurance | (less than \$50,000) | $\$ 16,395$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| Personal Day | (8 hours per year) | $\$ 186$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total Benefits |  | $\$ 36,924$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 3 \%}$ |
| Total Compensation (Salary + Benefits): |  | $\$ 85, \mathbf{2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Employer Costs for Employee Compensation

A breakdown of total compensation components in New Mexico compared to national trends for civilian workers, private industry, and state and local government is shown in Table 4. These costs are derived from the National Compensation Survey conducted by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, and is published in the monthly Employer Cost for Employee Compensation (ECEC) report. Once average total compensation is derived, the various components can be calculated as a percentage of total compensation. This calculation allows for comparisons to be made between the State of New Mexico and national trends.

Table 4 demonstrates how New Mexico's salaries and benefits compare nationally to other state and local governments and the private sector. In general, the balance between direct compensation (wages and salaries) and indirect compensation (benefits, paid time-off, and retirement) for the State is noticeably different than any of the other three groups. State of New Mexico wages and salaries only account for $56.7 \%$ of total compensation, as compared to approximately $62.2 \%$ for state and local governments nationally.

Although the State's wages and salaries are less than those nationally, the ratio of the State's indirect compensation (benefits), when compared to base salary, is higher than other state and local governments by $5.5 \%$.

While the survey indicates that the amount of leave (paid time-off) provided by the State is $1.1 \%$ greater than the national civilian worker average, the percentage of insurance (medical, dental, vision, etc.) coverage paid by the State is $12.2 \%$ greater than what civilian workers are provided. Nationally, in both public and private sectors, a trend is occurring to address escalating health insurance premiums by requiring employees to cover a greater percentage of their benefits through increased premium rates, higher co-pays and higher yearly deductibles. These measures pass a greater cost on to the employee, and reduce the
cost to the employer. These measures also provide an incentive to employees to better manage their health and wellness issues because the employee bears more of the cost for services.

## Table 4

| Compensation Component | Civilian Workers | Private Industry | State \& Local <br> Government | State of New <br> Mexico |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages and salaries | $68.6 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ |
| Benefits | $31.4 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ |
| Paid leave | $7.2 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| Supplemental pay | $2.8 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Insurance | $8.7 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ |
| Health | $8.3 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| Retirement and savings | $5.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |  |
| Defined benefit | $3.3 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| Defined contribution | $2.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Legally required | $7.3 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |

The retirement and savings component in state and local government is almost three times the national average for civilian workers. Defined benefit programs were increased as a recruitment mechanism in the late 1960's. While defined benefit programs have been phased out in most private sector organizations, they are also beginning to be used less in the public sector. Deferred earnings are critical to maintaining a comfortable living in later years, though an issue with employer-paid retirement is that this responsibility continues long after an employee has left the organization.

A solid retirement plan is a key factor in attracting employees to work for an organization, and it is an even larger factor in retaining employees; however, due to the changes in workforce demographics, today's workers tend to move between different organizations more often, and tend to be attracted to portable retirement plans when they leave an organization.

## National Trends

Trends in compensation administration are often influenced by economic indicators at the national, regional, and local levels. Gathering and analyzing these multivariate sources provides a framework against which the State's compensation program can be analyzed. This analysis then informs SPO's specific compensation recommendations.

For 2020, organizations across all industries are planning general salary increases of 2.4-3.6\% as reported by national compensation survey sources. (See Table 5).

The Social Security Administration (SSA) annually determines

Table 5

| Industry Related Trends \& Data Sources <br> See Appendix A for Data Sources |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| WorldatWork | $3.3 \%$ |
| Korn Ferry | $3.0 \%$ |
| Mercer | $3.6 \%$ |
| Willis Towers Watson | $3.0 \%$ |
| Aon | $3.2 \%$ |
| NCASG | $2.4 \%$ |
| Salary.com | $3.0 \%$ |
| Social Security Administration COLA | $1.6 \%$ | whether to grant beneficiaries a Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) based on the inflation rate during the third quarter of the

year compared to the last year a COLA was awarded. Since 2012, social security adjustments have averaged about 1\%, though in 2016 no increase was provided. For 2020, a 1.6\% COLA is planned.

National compensation survey sources indicate that most organizations plan
to provide general salary increases of $3.0 \%$ in 2020.

## Comparator States Adjustment Trends

When closely reviewing our Comparator state governments, we can break out specific occupational salary and structure adjustment trends, particularly for professions which the State Personnel Office has developed new classifications and new salary structures. According to the latest NCASG salary survey data presented at its annual conference Fall 2019, states projected a $2.84 \%$ salary increase for all occupations in FY19, which is up from an average of $2.56 \%$ from 2017-2018. The actual average increase from year-to-year was $2.92 \%$. States reported actual average salary increases for Engineering and Architects at 3.3\%; Security/Corrections at 3.1\%; Trades/Labor at 3.7\%; and IT at $2.1 \%$. Reporting states are projecting for FY2O salary increases of $2.41 \%$ and structure adjustments (pay bands) of $2.70 \%$.

## Economic Data

The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks a number of primary economic indicators relevant to compensation and the price of goods and services.

## Employment Cost Index (ECI)

The Employment Cost Index (ECI) is an indicator measured quarterly that tracks changes in compensation costs including wages, salaries and the employer's cost for employee benefits.

## Consumer Price Index-All Urban Consumers (CPI—U)

The Consumer Price Index-All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) is tracked monthly and is a measure of the changing purchasing power of the dollar. The number reflects the average change in the prices paid by urban consumers for a fixed market basket of goods and services. The index is principally used as an indicator of inflation.

For the period ending September 2019, the CPI-U, which covers $89 \%$ of the

Table 6

| ECI \& CPI <br> Economic Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | ECI <br> (Civilian) | ECI (State \& Local <br> Govt.) | CPI-U |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $-1.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | population of the United States, was reported as $1.7 \%$. (See Graph 2). Supporting data may be found at www.bls.gov. Table 6 and Graph 2 show ECI wage-related data compared to CPI-U's inflation-related data. It is useful to compare the national wage data trends against New Mexico's salary increase history to identify patterns and develop recommendations where necessary. Graph 3 compares the history of CPI-U rates (shaded area) and the national wage increases as reported by WorldatWork against the Legislatively Authorized salary increases in New Mexico.

It is useful to compare the national wage data trends against New Mexico's salary increase history to identify patterns and develop recommendations when necessary. Graph 3 compares the history of CPI-U rates (shaded area and the national wage increases as reported by WorldatWork against Legislatively authorized salary increases in New Mexico.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -2.0\% | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| —ECI (Civilian) | 4.1\% | 3.7\% | 3.9\% | 3.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.9\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% |
|  | 4.4\% | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | 3.4\% | 3.9\% | 4.1\% | 4.3\% | 3.4\% | 2.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 3.1\% |
| - CPI-U | 2.6\% | 1.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 4.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.8\% | 4.9\% | -1.0\% | 1.1\% | 3.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% | 1.7\% |

Graph 3 demonstrates that New Mexico has not kept pace when compared to these two wage and economic data points. According to WorldatWork, in 2019 leading compensation industries have reported organizations providing an average $3.2 \%$ salary increase. The national rate of inflation has also outpaced salary growth in NM for the same period. This means employee wages have fallen behind trends resulting in employees spending more year-over-year for the same basket of goods and services as measured by the CPI-U. Annual state benefit cost increases have compounded this problem.

Data show that as funding becomes available, the State will need to be prepared with multi-year strategies to address complex and varied salary structure and wage issues.

## Graph 3



## Regional Trends

Table 7 below illustrates the average classified salary for New Mexico and the eight state Comparator market for the past 10 years. The change from year-to-year should be viewed as a snapshot in time as a macro-indicator and should not be construed to depict how each Comparator state administered actual pay for individual employees. Each year the composition of filled jobs changes slightly depending on agency business needs, available budget, new hires, career progression and separations.

Table 7

|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona* | $\$ 37,448$ | $\$ 37,630$ | $\$ 36,695$ | $\$ 34,973$ | $\$ 35,422$ | $\$ 43,832$ | $\$ 44,116$ | $\$ 45,062$ | $\$ 45,981$ | $\$ 46,901$ | $\$ 47,988$ |
| Colorado | $\$ 53,952$ | $\$ 55,044$ | $\$ 51,072$ | $\$ 50,955$ | $\$ 52,270$ | $\$ 53,772$ | $\$ 54,300$ | $\$ 54,509$ | $\$ 54,858$ | $\$ 55,187$ | $\$ 62,956$ |
| Kansas*** | $\$ 38,248$ | $\$ 38,100$ | $\$ 35,235$ | $\$ 37,855$ | $\$ 36,356$ | $\$ 37,336$ | $\$ 36,056$ | $\$ 37,133$ | $\$ 37,233$ | $\$ 37,345$ | $\$ 39,902$ |
| Nevada** | $\$ 55,704$ | $\$ 55,704$ | $\$ 55,704$ | $\$ 55,704$ | $\$ 46,446$ | $\$ 47,216$ | $\$ 64,792$ | $\$ 66,082$ | $\$ 69,084$ | $\$ 40,862$ | $\$ 42,088$ |
| New <br> Mexico | $\$ 42,058$ | $\$ 41,986$ | $\$ 41,995$ | $\$ 41,912$ | $\$ 41,912$ | $\$ 43,576$ | $\$ 44,554$ | $\$ 44,803$ | $\$ 45,342$ | $\$ 45,906$ | $\$ 48,298$ |
| Oklahoma | $\$ 34,984$ | $\$ 35,200$ | $\$ 32,495$ | $\$ 35,540$ | $\$ 36,314$ | $\$ 37,700$ | $\$ 37,700$ | $\$ 42,940$ | $\$ 44,178$ | $\$ 45,061$ | $\$ 49,505$ |
| Texas | $\$ 38,461$ | $\$ 39,232$ | $\$ 39,265$ | $\$ 40,223$ | $\$ 40,310$ | $\$ 40,398$ | $\$ 40,398$ | $\$ 43,255$ | $\$ 44,064$ | $\$ 44,901$ | $\$ 46,475$ |
| Utah | $\$ 42,562$ | $\$ 42,635$ | $\$ 39,312$ | $\$ 45,114$ | $\$ 45,749$ | $\$ 46,592$ | $\$ 47,656$ | $\$ 48,832$ | $\$ 49,764$ | $\$ 50,980$ | $\$ 50,481$ |
| Wyoming | $\$ 45,822$ | $\$ 45,822$ | $\$ 44,764$ | $\$ 48,352$ | $\$ 47,922$ | $\$ 49,213$ | $\$ 52,050$ | $\$ 54,018$ | $\$ 55,500$ | $\$ 53,299$ | $\$ 54,766$ |

*Arizona's data from 2009-2013 is from NCASG. Arizona did not participate in the NCASG survey for 2014 or 2016; however, their average base salary data were reported from "The State of Arizona Workforce Report". The State of Arizona "Advisory Recommendation" shows the state's compensation adjustments from 2009-2016, and these adjustments create a large jump in the base salary reported.
** Nevada data was estimated from 2009-2012. Normal aging of their data caused an over estimation of annual base salary for 2013 thru 2017 . 2018 is first time Nevada HR has submitted actual data from their 2018 Employee Hand Book. Data was aged from 2018-2019, as actual data was not available at writing of report.
***Kansas data was estimated from 2017-2019. Normal aging of their data caused an underestimation of average annual base salary for 2017. Actual average annual base salary reported in State of Kansas FY17 Workforce Report was \$37,643.

## New Mexico Trends

The US DOL BLS annually tracks the Employer Costs for Employee Compensation (ECEC), which includes measures of wages, salaries, and benefits across all nonfarm private and state and local government workers. This data provides another benchmark against which to compare New Mexico classified employee salaries. Nationally, as of June 2019, the ECEC reports the average salary for private industry is $\$ 50,211$. The ECEC reports the average salary for state and local government is \$65,686.

New Mexico's average classified employee salary as of July 2019 is $\$ 48,298$.

The New Mexico Department of Workforce Solutions (NM DWS) Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages for the first quarter

Table 8
Average Annual Wages (not including benefits)

| USDOL ECEC Total Government | $\$ 65,686$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| USDOL ECEC Private Industry | $\$ 50,211$ |
| NM DWS Total Government (Fed, State, Local) | $\$ 49,972$ |
| NM Classified Employees | $\$ 48,298$ |
| NM DWS Private Industry | $\$ 45,292$ | of 2019 (published August 2019) reports private employment wages in NM averaging $\$ 45,292$. (See Table 8) Total Government wages across industries (Federal, State and Local) is reported as \$49,972.

USDOL ECEC, NMDWS and NM Classified Employee salary trends are presented against the Comparator market of the National Compensation Association of State Governments (NCASG) in Graph 4.

Graph 4


## New Mexico Legislatively Authorized Salary Increases

Graph 5 shows the legislatively appropriated salary increases for each of the past 17 fiscal years. The salary increase amounts include general salary increases, as well as any supplemental increases to employees in specific occupationally-based classifications for the years that they were provided. Specific information for each year can be found in Appendix B.

Graph 5


## Base Pay Analysis

## Maintaining External Competitiveness

New Mexico's compensation strategy for at least the last decade has been to "match" the market and be the average payer in the Comparator region. In 2019, New Mexico ranked sixth (Table 9) in the eight state comparator market.

## New Mexico Classified Employee Average \& Median Salary Comparison

37.9\% of New Mexico's classified employees earn between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 40,000$ annually.

Average and median classified salaries advanced from 2006 to 2008 and then remained flat from 2008 to 2013. From 2014 to 2019, both the median and averages classified salaries gradually increased. New

| Table 9: Eight - State Comparator <br> Market |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Colorado Compensation |  |$\quad \$ 62,956$

Mexico Classified Employee Average Salary rose over 4.6\% in 2019.
However, while the average salary rose, FY19 data reflects that $37.9 \%$ of New Mexico's classified employees earned between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 40,000$ annually. Supplemental information may be found in Graph 7 and Table 10.

## Graph 7



Table 10

|  | 2008 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below \$10,000 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| \$10,000-\$20,000 | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% | 12.8\% | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% |
| \$20,000-\$30,000 | 23.1\% | 23.7\% | 22.2\% | 22.1\% | 18.5\% | 15.6\% | 33.5\% | 12.4\% | 11.7\% | 9.9\% |
| \$30,000-\$40,000 | 29.6\% | 28.9\% | 30.9\% | 31.0\% | 30.0\% | 31.7\% | 22.0\% | 33.3\% | 33.2\% | 28.0\% |
| \$40,000-\$50,000 | 19.3\% | 19.4\% | 19.3\% | 19.3\% | 20.5\% | 21.1\% | 12.7\% | 21.9\% | 22.1\% | 25.4\% |
| \$50,000-\$60,000 | 12.6\% | 12.1\% | 11.7\% | 11.5\% | 12.7\% | 12.8\% | 8.0\% | 12.7\% | 12.5\% | 14.7\% |
| \$60,000-\$70,000 | 6.5\% | 7.0\% | 6.9\% | 7.0\% | 7.9\% | 8.9\% | 4.8\% | 8.0\% | 8.1\% | 8.5\% |
| \$70,000-\$80,000 | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 4.4\% | 3.9\% | 2.4\% | 4.7\% | 5.3\% | 5.6\% |
| \$80,000-\$90,000 | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 1.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.9\% | 3.2\% |
| \$90,000-\$100,000 | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% |
| Above \$100,000 | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.01\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% |

## Occupationally Based Salary Structures

SPO continues to assess the classified service classification and pay system to identify any components in need of updating, modification, or deletion. Within job classifications, the size of job - the needed knowledge, skills, problem solving, and accountability - can vary greatly. These variances will compound over time, as our current and future classifications demand more and more specialization and skill.

SPO has already created a new classification structure with 7 new pay lines, each targeted towards a particular sector, which take into account the sizes of different jobs and the movement of the market in these sectors. These occupationally based pay lines are:

- Corrections (Completed)
- Information Technology (Completed)
- Engineer, Surveyor, Water Resources, Engineering Tech (Completed)
- Architecture (Completed)
- Attorneys (Completed)
- Social Services (Completed)
- General Administration

The Healthcare pay line has been completed and approved by the SPB and is scheduled to be implemented as of $7 / 1 / 20$. Classification studies for both Healthcare Support and Human Resource professionals are in progress.

The implemented pay lines are intended to provide policy makers with the flexibility to assess both economic indicators and agency requests and will also enable policy makers to take appropriate, targeted salary-based action.

The classification framework organize jobs in state government by occupation, thereby providing a mechanism to correct the link between classification and compensation as they relate to the market. The classification framework provides a means by which the evolution and placement of certain classifications can be accommodated in the future without having to reshuffle the entire framework.

Each classification is categorized into a dedicated job family with defined levels of work. Every job family contains a classification series that fits together within that job family, such as Civil Engineers and Electrical Engineers within the Engineering family. Once all the classification series have been placed into their job families, the families are assigned to an occupationally market based pay line, which allows SPO to responsively adjust to market pressures that could affect certain types of job families, as seen in the example below:

## Occupational Group GROUP A: Engineers, Surveyors, Water Resources Specialists and Engineering Technicians

| Job Families: | Engineers |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Surveyors |
|  | Water Resources |
|  | Engineering Technicians |

## Job Family: Engineers

## Description

Engineers design and supervise the construction of roads, buildings, airports, tunnels, dams, bridges, and water supply and sewage systems. They must consider many factors in the design process from the construction costs and expected lifetime of a project to government regulations and potential environmental hazards such as earthquakes and hurricanes. Major areas of professional focus are structural, water resources, construction, transportation, and geotechnical engineering.

## Levels of Work

| Engineer <br> Graduate | This position is an entry level engineering position accountable for verification of accuracy and completion of <br> submissions based on prescribed templates. The person in this position receives close supervision from a <br> Licensed Professional Engineer. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Engineer | This position is the second level of the Engineering Series which performs standard engineering assignments <br> of limited to moderate complexity in a relevant discipline in accordance with accepted agency practices. The <br> person in this position exercises limited judgment on details of work and in application of standard methods <br> for conventional work. Licensed Professional Engineer will provide general review of all aspects of this person's <br> work and provide close supervision on unusual or difficult problems or work assignments. |
| Engineer | This position is the third level of the Engineering Series which performs professional engineering work with <br> both standard and varied assignments of a moderate to complex nature representing a significant portion of a <br> large project or an entire project of moderate complexity in accordance with accepted agency practices. The <br> person in this position independently evaluates, selects, and adapts standard techniques, procedures, and <br> criteria and has a general knowledge of principles and practices of related fields. In addition, the person in this <br> position over time uses advanced techniques in the modification or extension of theories and practices to <br> complete job assignments and may work on a major project or several projects of moderate scope with <br> complex features. |
| Engineer | This position is the highest non-management level of the Engineering Series and is a seasoned senior position <br> which is accountable for complex design and design review in a designated engineering discipline. |
| Professional IIIndependently applies extensive and diversified knowledge of principles and practices in broad areas of <br> assignments and related fields. Plans and coordinates detailed aspects of agency work. Receives general <br> direction on key objectives and when necessary on unconventional problems. |  |


| Job Family: <br> Description | Engineers |
| :---: | :--- |
| Engineer <br> Manager I | This position is the first level of management in the Engineering Series which provides supervision over <br> subordinate engineers in which the primary focus is on resource management and the leadership of staff. <br> Responsible for managing all aspects of a specific agency project. This function requires having knowledge of <br> Federal regulations \& engineering requirements for this program area; coordinating with the Federal oversight <br> agency and other agencies/departments \& outside contracted firms; managing \& analyzing SCL and PDA rating <br> data; managing subordinates' \& others' work; \& preparing progress reports. |
| Engineer This position is the second level of management in the Engineering Series which focuses on the supervision of <br> subordinate managers and staff. Incumbents at this level have managerial and technical accountability for the <br> overall results of assigned organizational units. Responsible for managing the day to day operations of the <br> assigned agencies bureaus/sections; works in collaboration to coordinate testing processes with agency <br> sections; provides support to agency management, District Offices and functional groups; and actively <br> participates on the agency specific Subcommittee. <br> Engineer This position is the highest level of management in the Engineering Series which focuses on the supervision of <br> Mabordinate managers and staff and the allocation of resources. Incumbents at this level have considerable <br> manager III managerial and technical accountability for the overall results of assigned organizational units. Supervise and <br> manage engineers and programs that are responsible for the scheduling, development and delivery of assigned <br> design projects for assigned geographic area. |  |

Defining and accurately placing jobs within this framework minimizes salary inequities between jobs across agencies by creating the right number of jobs per family and compensating them appropriately based on the level of work for which the position is responsible. The framework also gives decision makers more flexibility in appropriating salary increases when faced with economic instability or resource scarcity by giving them the ability to target occupational pay lines that are in the most need of an adjustment due to the market or increased difficulties in recruiting and retaining incumbents.

## Average Salary Data by Pay Band

Tables 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e and 11f show the number of employees in each pay band and the employee average salary and Compa-ratio by pay band. The data show that the average Compa-ratio by pay band in the General Pay Line is generally below midpoint in the lower pay bands and higher in the higher pay bands. Compa-ratios for all other employees in the new occupationally-based pay lines are generally lower. This is a result of implementing pay structures with higher market-based midpoints. The Attorney and Social Service Salary Schedules were both implemented in FY19 and are described in the section immediately following.

Table 11a

| General Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\$ 21,388$ | $91.9 \%$ | 239 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\$ 24,086$ | $99.2 \%$ | 219 |


| General Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\$ 26,732$ | $100.9 \%$ | 209 |
| 40 | $\$ 29,331$ | $103.8 \%$ | 851 |
| 45 | $\$ 32,326$ | $104.8 \%$ | 889 |
| 50 | $\$ 35,572$ | $103.8 \%$ | 1188 |
| 55 | $\$ 39,274$ | $102.5 \%$ | 1423 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\$ 41,900$ | $100.1 \%$ | 1941 |
| 65 | $\$ 48,786$ | $105.4 \%$ | 2048 |
| 70 | $\$ 54,376$ | $105.5 \%$ | 1574 |
| 75 | $\$ 63,334$ | $109.2 \%$ | 1059 |
| 80 | $\$ 72,666$ | $111.2 \%$ | 628 |
| 85 | $\$ 81,369$ | $108.7 \%$ | 612 |
| 90 | $\$ 94,639$ | $110.1 \%$ | 264 |
| 95 | $\$ 104,503$ | $106.1 \%$ | 70 |
| 96 | $\$ 115,034$ | $100.6 \%$ | 28 |
| 97 | $\$ 148,517$ | $114.2 \%$ | 10 |
| 98 | $\$ 165,223$ | $107.5 \%$ | 17 |
| 99 | $\$ 305,219$ | $107.2 \%$ | 24 |

Table 11b

| Corrections Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA | $\$ 32,344$ | $88.9 \%$ | 11 |
| CB | $\$ 40,159$ | $89.6 \%$ | 708 |
| CC | $\$ 44,380$ | $89.9 \%$ | 171 |
| CD | $\$ 49,588$ | $92.1 \%$ | 101 |
| CE | $\$ 56,788$ | $97.6 \%$ | 25 |
| CG | $\$ 75,328$ | $109.3 \%$ | 4 |
| CH | $\$ 65,222$ | $88.8 \%$ | 6 |
| CJ | $\$ 69,278$ | $81.5 \%$ | 33 |
| CK | $\$ 80,653$ | $85.3 \%$ | 14 |
| CL | $\$ 91,423$ | $84.2 \%$ | 2 |
| CM | $\$ 100,670$ | $86.9 \%$ | 2 |

Table 11c

| Information Technology Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IA | $\$ 40,863$ | $99.5 \%$ | 16 |
| IB | $\$ 48,734$ | $101.7 \%$ | 43 |
| IC | $\$ 56,309$ | $99.7 \%$ | 63 |
| ID | $\$ 60,543$ | $95.1 \%$ | 113 |
| IE | $\$ 72,466$ | $101.3 \%$ | 186 |
| IF | $\$ 83,272$ | $103.0 \%$ | 196 |
| IG | $\$ 96,094$ | $101.3 \%$ | 51 |


| Information Technology Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IH | $\$ 101,515$ | $91.5 \%$ | 23 |
| II | $\$ 104,333$ | $84.8 \%$ | 13 |
| IJ | $\$ 116,252$ | $83.7 \%$ | 6 |
| IK | $\$ 125,512$ | $83.2 \%$ | 5 |

Table 11d

| Engineer Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EA | $\$ 36,927$ | $99.9 \%$ | 51 |
| EB | $\$ 42,006$ | $102.5 \%$ | 128 |
| EC | $\$ 47,272$ | $102.5 \%$ | 146 |
| ED | $\$ 52,407$ | $99.7 \%$ | 104 |
| EE | $\$ 60,095$ | $97.4 \%$ | 119 |
| EF | $\$ 70,445$ | $95.0 \%$ | 88 |
| EG | $\$ 81,791$ | $99.2 \%$ | 101 |
| EH | $\$ 96,107$ | $105.0 \%$ | 21 |
| EI | $\$ 97,573$ | $93.8 \%$ | 27 |
| EJ | $\$ 112,843$ | $102.2 \%$ | 19 |
| EK | $\$ 119,650$ | $99.3 \%$ | 10 |

Table 11e

| Engineer Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | $\$ 41,353$ | $91.2 \%$ | 164 |
| SE | $\$ 49,578$ | $96.0 \%$ | 242 |
| SF | $\$ 59,100$ | $102.0 \%$ | 102 |
| SG | $\$ 67,820$ | $100.5 \%$ | 40 |
| SH | $\$ 79,444$ | $98.1 \%$ | 29 |

fTable 11f

| Architect Pay Band | Average Salary | Average Compa-Ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AA | $\$ 53,396$ | $79.9 \%$ | 1 |
| AB | $\$ 68,420$ | $90.1 \%$ | 7 |
| AC | $\$ 98,010$ | $96.1 \%$ | 1 |

## Key Classification Studies that Solved Staffing and Pay Issues

In FY19, State Personnel continued to more accurately align the type of work being performed with competitive occupational based salary structures when it transitioned the Attorney and CPS classification series to two new salary structures, requiring new job codes and pay bands.

- Attorney Series (Table 12)
- CPS Series (Table 12)

Table 12

| Pay Band | Job Classification | Job Code | Average Salary | Avg. Comparatio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LE | Law Clerk | LLLC23 | \$58,094 | 89.6\% | 15 |
| LF | Attorney 1 | LLLA26 | \$58,718 | 83.8\% | 10 |
| LG | Attorney II | LLLA30 | \$69,430 | 91.4\% | 36 |
| LH | Attorney III | LLLA35 | \$76,794 | 92.6\% | 82 |
| LI | Attorney IV | LLLA40 | \$87,755 | 98.7\% | 19 |
| LI | Attorney Supervisor | LLLS40 | \$86,819 | 97.7\% | 26 |
| ப | General Counsel I | LLLX46 | \$95,909 | 101.1\% | 13 |
| LK | General Counsel II | LLLX520 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| SE | CPS Adoption Consultant | SADC23 | \$52.436 | 106.1\% | 5 |
| SH | CPS County Office Manager | SCMX40 | \$75,358 | 97.3\% | 28 |
| SE | CPS Investigation Case Worker | SICW23 | \$46,883 | 94.9\% | 111 |
| SF | CPS Investigation Senior Case Worker | SICW26 | \$53,248 | 95.8\% | 36 |
| SG | CPS Investigation Supervisor | SICS30 | \$64,771 | 99.9\% | 38 |
| SE | CPS Family Centered Mediator | SFCM23 | \$46,592 | 94.3\% | 7 |
| SE | CPS Permanency Planning Senior Worker | SPCP23 | \$47,570 | 96.2\% | 42 |
| SF | CPS Permanency Planning Supervisor | SPPS26 | \$58,635 | 105.5\% | 28 |
| SD | CPS Permanency Planning Case Worker | SPCP20 | \$39,083 | 89.7\% | 97 |
| SF | CPS Placement Specialist | SPCW26 | \$58,656 | 105.6\% | 6 |
| SE | CPS Placement Senior Worker | SPCW23 | \$51,834 | 104.9\% | 24 |
| SF | CPS Placement Supervisor | SPCS26 | \$59,197 | 106.5\% | 16 |


| Pay Band | Job Classification | Job Code | Average Salary | Avg. Compa- <br> ratio | \# of Employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | CPS Placement Case Worker | SPCW20 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| SI | CPS Regional Manager | SRMX46 | $\$ 87152$ | $101.7 \%$ | 5 |
| SE | CPS Title IV-E Specialist | STIV23 | $\$ 50,003$ | $101.2 \%$ | 6 |
| SE | CPS Youth Transition Coordinator | SYTC23 | $\$ 45,115$ | $91.3 \%$ | 9 |
| SF | IHS Practitioner Supervisor | SIHS26 | $\$ 63,086$ | $113.6 \%$ | 6 |
| SE | IHS Practitioner | SIHP23 | $\$ 50,190$ | $101.5 \%$ | 29 |
| SE | SCI Senior Worker | SCIW23 | $\$ 45,302$ | $91.7 \%$ | 11 |
| SF | SCI Supervisor | SCIS26 | $\$ 64,896$ | $104.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| SD | SCI Worker | SCIW20 | $\$ 40,622$ | $93.3 \%$ | 24 |

## Average Salary Data by Agency

Appendix E illustrates data similar to the section above, grouped by State agency. The average Compa-ratio by agency for classified employees ranges from the New Mexico Corrections Department at 94\% Compa-ratio, to the Architect Examiners Board at $122 \%$. The average Compa-ratio for all employees is approximately $103 \%$.

## Salary Structure

In order for an organization, especially a large one, to manage pay efficiently and effectively, it must simplify the administration of pay into a practical system. To accomplish this, organizations use job size to group individual classifications that have approximately the same job size or "worth" into pay bands. SPO uses the Hay Group Guide Chart-Profile Method of Job Evaluation to determine the size of each classification.

A pay range sets the upper and lower bounds of possible compensation for individuals whose jobs fall within a specific pay band. Each pay band in the general classified salary structure is currently $74 \%$ wide - meaning the maximum rate of pay is $74 \%$ greater than the minimum rate of pay. The two new salary structures have pay bands that are $40 \%$ wide for Corrections and $67 \%$ wide for Information Technology. Pay bands act as a control device by identifying the lower and upper ranges of pay that the State is willing to pay for a particular job.

From an internal consistency perspective, the range of pay reflects the approximate differences in performance or experience that the State wishes to pay for a given level of work.

## 74\% General Classified Band Width



## Regression Analysis

Regression analysis is a statistical tool that is used in compensation administration to document salary movement and generate pay lines. For New Mexico, the linear regression line that connects the 19 midpoint values of each pay band is described as the "policy" line. The policy line defines what the State is able to pay in order to remain competitive.

Two other linear regression lines commonly used in compensation analysis are the "market" line and the "practice" line. The market line is developed using the average pay rates for each relevant Comparator market job. The practice line represents the average pay of classified employees using actual pay rates. In sum:

- Policy Line = New Mexico Classified Service Midpoints of Current Salary Structure
- Market Line = Average of Comparator Market Pay Rates, and
- Practice Line = New Mexico Average of Classified Employee Actual Pay Rates

Using regression analysis in 2001, SPO implemented a salary structure that was set at $95 \%$ of the eight-state Comparator market. Use of regression analysis continues through FY19 for all New Mexico salary structures, including Corrections, Engineering, Architecture, Information Technology, Attorneys and Social Services.

Salary structures must accurately reflect the salary market for many reasons, including recruitment, retention, employee motivation, performance management, employee engagement, and appropriate valuation of job and budget management. An improperly maintained salary structure contributes to staffing problems for the State. For example, in the recruitment area, qualified applicants may not apply for State positions due to low starting pay or low salary ceiling thresholds. Existing highly
qualified state employees may see the lack of salary structure movement and lack of wage growth opportunity as a reason to seek employment outside of the State, causing a talent drain.

Graph 8 illustrates the annual salary structure adjustment relative to the national WorldatWork industry indicator. Since 2003, SPO data indicate that the State's structure has increased $15.2 \%$, while WorldatWork reports participating organizations adjusted their structures by over $39.1 \%$.

## Graph 8



## Classified Employee Compa-Ratio

Below, in Graph 9, the distribution of classified employee Compa-ratios is illustrated. The distribution normally resembles a bell-shaped curve, with several multi-modal spikes, with the number of employees spread fairly evenly throughout the distribution.
Graph 9


In FY19, new hire pay rates increased to a 99.2\% Compa-ratio. (See Graph 10)
Graph 10


## Alternative Pay Bands (APB)

An APB assignment is used when the current market rate for a classification significantly exceeds the pay band assigned through the job evaluation process. APB assignments are typically utilized due to external market pressures, such as the low supply and high demand of labor (labor shortage). When a qualified labor shortage exists, organizations compete with one other to attract and retain qualified employees. Since the internal value (size of job identified through job evaluation) has not changed, there are no new higher qualifications or more complex duties and responsibilities, so it does not make sense to permanently assign the classification to a different pay band. The solution is to "temporarily" assign the classification to a higher pay band for a limited time until either the market pressures recede, or the actual employer-generated pay for employees catches up to the market rate, and the APB assignment is no longer needed. The implementation of occupationally based salary structures has allowed the State to reduce, though not eliminate, the need for APBs in critical occupations. A complete list of all job classifications assigned to APBs can be found in Appendix F.

## Pay Administration

## Pay Mechanisms

The SPB Rules provide pay mechanisms to enhance recruitment and retention efforts, by providing agencies with a number of tools to attract and retain a qualified workforce. The various pay mechanisms are explained and listed below:

- Temporary Recruitment Differentials (TREC's) are authorized for positions documented as being critical to the business needs of an agency, and addressing problems for those agencies who have demonstrated recruitment difficulty.
- Temporary Retention Differentials (TRET's) are authorized for positions that have a critical need to retain an employee, and to maintain the business needs of an agency that would otherwise be disrupted if the employee left the position.
- Temporary Salary Increases (TSI's) are used when an employee temporarily accepts and consistently performs additional duties that are the characteristics of a job requiring greater responsibility and accountability, making it a higher valued job. A TSI is a short-term salary measure that may be used until the conditions of the additional duties and responsibilities cease to exist, and may not be extended beyond a one-year period.
- In-Pay Band Salary Adjustments (IPB's) provide agencies the latitude to make recommendations to the State Personnel Director for a base compensation increase up to ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) within a fiscal year to employees whose performance has demonstrated placement at a higher Compa-ratio. This pay mechanism allows flexibility for agencies to provide salary growth within the pay band. DFA reviews the requests to ensure current and future agency budget availability.

Graph 11 shows the activity for each multiple component of pay (MCOP) utilized by the State from FY15 through FY19. The continued decrease in the use of temporary MCOPs (TSI, TREC and TRET) reflects SPO's development of the new classification pay structures, and its continued efforts to ensure that agencies are in compliance with SPB Rules. Temporary pay mechanisms are reviewed and authorized for various periods of time depending on each individual circumstance, and in accordance with SPB rules.

Graph 11


The increase in IPB's in FY19 were granted to healthcare and community services related professionals at DOH and HSD. These IPBs brought employees closer to new-hire Compa-ratios and corrected internal alignment and appropriate placement issues.

## Classified Service Demographics

The below graphs detail the level of education, ethnicity, gender and age demographics of classified employees.

## Graph 12
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## County-by-County Population vs. Classified Demographics

In comparing the county averages of age and salary of classified employees, while acknowledging that the two metrics are distinct, a few Comparisons stand out. Specifically, in Eddy and Lea counties, traditional oil and gas producing areas, comparative average classified employee salaries are significantly less than average county salaries; however, salaries with in
the "oil patch" are traditionally higher than surrounding counties. San Juan County also stands out as a significant petroleum producer, with higher than average salaries for field crews. Starting salaries for power station employees are typically in the $\$ 60,000$ range. Similarly, classified service salaries have higher difficulty competing against the technology centers located in Sandoval and Los Alamos counties, as those counties are home to Intel and the National Laboratories, respectively.

Table 13

| County Demographics |  |  |  | State Classified Demographics |  |  | State Classified Comparison |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Population | Median <br> Age | Median Salary | FTE | Median Age | Median Salary | \% FTE <br> County | Age Difference | Salary Difference |
| Bernalillo County | 678,701 | 38.0 | \$52,069 | 4,514 | 45.4 | \$44,426 | 0.67\% | 7.4 | -\$7,643 |
| Catron County | 3,578 | 61.4 | \$37,419 | 21 | 47.4 | \$33,845 | 0.59\% | -14.0 | -\$3,574 |
| Chaves County | 64,689 | 35.9 | \$43,182 | 777 | 45.1 | \$40,560 | 1.20\% | 9.2 | -\$2,622 |
| Cibola County | 26,746 | 37.5 | \$35,695 | 422 | 41.1 | \$37,330 | 1.58\% | 3.6 | \$1,635 |
| Colfax County | 12,110 | 49.7 | \$34,454 | 562 | 45.7 | \$37,146 | 4.64\% | -4.0 | \$2,692 |
| Curry County | 49,437 | 31.3 | \$41,478 | 161 | 45.3 | \$38,488 | 0.33\% | 14.0 | -\$2,990 |
| De Baca County | 1,781 | 48.1 | \$33,067 | 20 | 42.2 | \$36,546 | 1.12\% | -5.9 | \$3,479 |
| Doña Ana County | 217,522 | 33.4 | \$37,624 | 1,447 | 43.0 | \$41,123 | 0.67\% | 9.6 | \$3,499 |
| Eddy County | 57,900 | 35.3 | \$59,381 | 205 | 44.9 | \$39,828 | 0.35\% | 9.6 | -\$19,553 |
| Grant County | 27,346 | 47.5 | \$39,341 | 425 | 46.1 | \$32,715 | 1.55\% | -1.4 | -\$6,626 |
| Guadalupe County | 4,341 | 39.1 | \$25,242 | 53 | 43.0 | \$38,405 | 1.22\% | 3.9 | \$13,163 |
| Harding County | 655 | 59.3 | \$31,804 | 8 | 50.0 | \$34,158 | 1.22\% | -9.3 | \$2,354 |
| Hidalgo County | 4,240 | 44.0 | \$33,126 | 40 | 47.2 | \$32,640 | 0.94\% | 3.2 | -\$486 |
| Lea County | 69,611 | 32.3 | \$54,174 | 198 | 43.9 | \$41,215 | 0.28\% | 11.6 | -\$12,959 |
| Lincoln County | 19,556 | 51.7 | \$39,385 | 107 | 47.7 | \$39,721 | 0.55\% | -4.0 | \$336 |
| Los Alamos County | 19,101 | 41.4 | \$108,149 | 14 | 35.3 | \$60,320 | 0.07\% | -6.1 | -\$47,829 |
| Luna County | 23,963 | 37.6 | \$27,227 | 259 | 44.7 | \$39,897 | 1.08\% | 7.1 | \$12,670 |
| McKinley County | 72,290 | 32.4 | \$30,827 | 188 | 44.8 | \$37,741 | 0.26\% | 12.4 | \$6,914 |
| Mora County | 4,506 | 51.3 | \$35,156 | 27 | 34.2 | \$34,158 | 0.60\% | -17.1 | -\$998 |
| Otero County | 66,781 | 35.8 | \$42,884 | 203 | 48.3 | \$39,908 | 0.30\% | 12.5 | -\$2,976 |
| Quay County | 8,253 | 47.3 | \$30,250 | 103 | 48.6 | \$36,394 | 1.25\% | 1.3 | \$6,144 |
| Rio Arriba County | 39,006 | 41.3 | \$36,807 | 188 | 42.1 | \$37,991 | 0.48\% | 0.8 | \$1,184 |
| Roosevelt County | 18,743 | 30.8 | \$35,523 | 61 | 45.4 | \$39,986 | 0.33\% | 14.6 | \$4,463 |
| San Juan County | 125,043 | 36.1 | \$46,910 | 307 | 44.6 | \$39,801 | 0.25\% | 8.5 | \$9,235 |
| San Miguel County | 27,591 | 44.4 | \$27,851 | 1,273 | 44.9 | \$34,451 | 4.61\% | 0.5 | \$6,600 |
| Sandoval County | 145,179 | 40.0 | \$53,695 | 290 | 42.6 | \$39,767 | 0.20\% | 2.6 | -\$13,928 |
| Santa Fe County | 150,056 | 47.0 | \$57,498 | 7,631 | 46.6 | \$51,758 | 5.09\% | -0.4 | -\$5,740 |
| Sierra County | 10,968 | 56.7 | \$30,058 | 330 | 48.3 | \$33,901 | 3.01\% | -8.4 | \$3,843 |
| Socorro County | 16,735 | 39.4 | \$32,468 | 95 | 43.8 | \$39,870 | 0.57\% | 4.4 | \$7,402 |
| Taos County | 32,835 | 49.0 | \$34,667 | 161 | 44.9 | \$39,517 | 0.49\% | -4.1 | \$4,850 |
| Torrance County | 15,591 | 43.3 | \$37,440 | 86 | 44.1 | \$37,793 | 0.55\% | 0.8 | \$353 |
| Union County | 4,118 | 41.6 | \$38,790 | 36 | 42.7 | \$36,439 | 0.87\% | 1.1 | -\$2,351 |
| Valencia County | 76,456 | 39.4 | \$49,136 | 983 | 39.4 | \$37,146 | 1.29\% | 0.0 | -\$11,990 |

## Classified Positions \& Average Salary By County

The map illustrates the number of classified positions and average classified employee salary in each county.


## Classification Studies

During FY19, SPO undertook one of its largest classification studies to date. The Healthcare Study, which involved development of 101 new classification descriptions, creation of new job codes, and the development of a new occupational based salary structure, was the most comprehensive study SPO has conducted for healthcare occupations since 2001. The study was completed in the first quarter of FY20 and has a target implementation date of FY21.

## Misclassification \& Classification Creep

Job misclassification and classification creep often occur when wages do not keep pace with the Comparative market, resulting in employees being "artificially" promoted or reclassified into a pay band with higher pay opportunities.

The solution to address misclassifications and classification creep is for SPO and agencies to continue working together to ensure that positions are properly classified, and that work units are organized efficiently to support the most streamlined work flows. SPO continues to work with agencies to perform desk audits and organizational reviews when work units are not organized efficiently. Finally, when classification studies are completed the resulting recommendations should be implemented, as soon as realistically possible.

## Leave Accruals and Payouts

## Annual Leave

One of the state's many employee benefits is paid time off. Employees may use accrued leave and be paid for the hours they are absent from work due to vacation or being sick. Sick leave may also be used to care for sick family members.
Classified employees accrue annual leave as outlined in the SPB Rules, based on their tenure. For example, employees with less than three years of service accrue 80 hours of leave per year, while those with over 15 years of service accrue 160 per year. During FY19, State employees used approximately 1.66 million hours of earned leave.
Actual annual leave usage, and costs, from FY12 through FY19 is shown in the following graphs:


Graph 15


Graph 16

When an employee separates from State service, they are eligible to cash out up to 240 hours of annual leave at their current hourly pay rate. Any additional hours over 240 are forfeited at the time of separation, as well as for current employees at the end of each calendar year. In FY19, employees who separated from the classified service cashed out at total of \$173,900 of annual leave, $\$ 70,000$ less than FY18. The average employee who separated cashed out approximately 6.2 days of annual leave. Graph 17

Graph 18

## Classified Employee Annual Leave Hours <br> Payout



Classified Employee Annual Leave Cost of Payout


## Sick Leave

All employees accrue 96 hours of sick leave per year, as per SPB rules. Employees in FY19 used approximately 1.31 million hours of sick leave, as compared to the FY18 level of 1.75 million hours, resulting in a decrease of $25 \%$, which equates to approximately $\$ 9,800,000$. The sick leave actual usage and cost for FY12 through FY19 are shown on Graphs 22 and 23

Graph 19
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Employees are eligible to cash out accrued sick leave over 600 hours per fiscal year, either in July or January, at one-half their hourly rate for up to 120 hours of sick leave. At the time of retirement, employees can cash out accrued sick leave over 600 hours at one-half their hourly rate for up to 400 hours of sick leave. In FY19, agencies cashed out a total of 64,290 hours of sick leave for active employees. Employees who were retiring cashed out approximately 4,000 hours. The graphs below show the total hours paid at one half the cost of employee's hourly wage from FY14 through FY19.

## Graph 21



Graph 22


## Overtime

Agencies are expected to assign work in a responsible manner, so as to avoid the need for overtime. Managers and supervisors typically use existing staff resources to meet work demands; however, there understaffing, special projects, or emergency situations require employees to work additional hours. Overtime payment is left largely to the discretion of the agencies. Agencies may allow employees to accrue compensatory time in lieu of cash payment. The Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) requires that non-exempt employees be compensated for any additional hours worked over 40 in a workweek, at 1.5 times their salary. FLSA Exempt Employees (those not covered by the overtime provisions of FLSA) may be compensated according to agency policy.

There is a correlation between vacancy rates and overtime hours worked. If an agency has a vacant position, employees may be required to do the work that would normally be done for that position by working additional hours.

During FY19, both FLSA non-exempt and FLSA exempt employees were paid over $\$ 33$ million dollars in the form of either a cash payment or compensatory time off. Graphs 23 and 24 below depict a comparison of overtime usage and total dollars paid from FY13 through FY19.

## Graph 23



## Turnover \& Vacancy

## Hiring

The State Personnel Act that mandates a competitive ranking of applicants. The State Personnel website is the portal for applicants to apply for jobs within the State's classified service. In July 2018, the state implemented the Talent Acquisition module in the SHARE system. The applicant tracking system within SHARE allows agency HR Managers to provide hiring
managers with ranked lists of applicants, allowing managers to make interview decisions from pools of applicants. who possess the job related qualifications required to successfully perform the advertised jobs.

In FY19, 2,591 classified new hires were made. In FY19, over 62\% of new hires completed their probationary period.

## Separation

In FY19, there were 2,533 total separations. Of the 2,533 separations, $68 \%$, or 1,711 separations were voluntary and only $11 \%$, or 266 separations positions were involuntary separations. Of the voluntary separations, 566 were related to retirement. There was no separations that were related to a Reduction In Force (RIF) in FY19.

## Chart 3



## Turnover Rates

Turnover rates affect the State in many ways, including the cost to hire, which involves the labor costs associated with reviewing applications, interviewing candidates, and training new employees. Turnover also costs the State because it causes agencies to have to train current employees to under-fill positions. Under-filling positions not only takes a toll on production, but also negatively affects employee morale due to increased workloads and responsibilities, long hours, potential lack of adequate training, potential poor communication, and organizational practices. These potential morale issues can ultimately cause a domino effect of burnt out employees who are eager to find a job with less stress, and an increased work and family life balance.

Turnover costs can be significant when calculating the average cost of turnover per position, factoring in the number of separations in State agencies. Improvements in the recruitment and selection system improve an agency's ability to hire and retain high performing and engaged employees.
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## Appendix A - industry \& Economic Data Sources

## WorldatWork Total Salary Increase Budget Survey: <br> (United States participating members)

## Korn Ferry HayGroup:

(United States participating member)

## Mercer:

(United States participating member)

## Willis Towers Watson:

(United States participating member)

## Aon:

(United States participating member

Salary.com:

WorldatWork is a nonprofit human resources association focused on compensation, benefits, work-life effectiveness and total rewards. WorldatWork has more than 70,000 members and subscribers worldwide. Their Salary Budget Survey is the \#1 source in the industry, as well as the longest and largest survey of its kind. WorldatWork projects an average salary increase of three and three tenths percent ( $3.3 \%$ ) across all US industries for 2020.

Supporting data may be found at www.worldatwork.org.

Korn Ferry is a global management and consulting firm providing a range of HR services to companies in 110 countries. They are a leading provider of compensation data, strategy and services across all major industries and employment sectors. For 2020, Korn Ferry projects a three percent (3.0\%) average base salary increase across all industries.

Supporting data may be found at www.kornferry.com

Mercer is a global human resources consulting firm providing services from strategy to implementation. Mercer is a leading provider of compensation and benefits information created from one of the largest warehouses of employer-reported data, with benchmark data representing 17 million employees from over 6,000 organizations. Mercer projects an average salary increase of three and six tenths percent (3.6 \%) across all industries for 2020.

Supporting data may be found at www.imercer.com.
Willis Towers Watson is a global advisory, broking and solutions company with over 40,000 employees in more than 140 countries. The Willis Towers Watson General Industry Salary Budget Survey found salary increases are expected to hold steady in 2020, with projections for exempt, non-management employees at three and one tenths percent ( $3.1 \%$ ), management employees at three and one tenths percent ( $3.1 \%$ ), non-exempt hourly employees at three percent ( $3.0 \%$ ) and non-exempt salaried employees at two and nine tenths percent (2.9\%).

Supporting data may be found at www.willistowerswatson.com.
The Aon U.S. Salary Increase Survey of 1,062 U.S. companies indicates that organizations plan on providing a three and two tenths percent ( $3.2 \%$ ) salary increase across all industries for 2020.

Supporting data may be found at www.aon.com.
Salary.com is the leading SaaS provider of cloud-based compensation market data and analytics. Founded in 1999, the Company serves approximately 4,000 business-to-business customers worldwide with its market-leading CompAnalyst platform. Salary.com projects that the median annual salary increase will remain at three percent (3.0\%) across all industries for 2020.

Supporting data may be found at www.salary.com.
The Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor is the principal Federal agency responsible for measuring labor market activity, working conditions and price changes in the US economy. Its mission is to collect, analyze, and disseminate essential economic information to support
public and private decision-making. As an independent statistical agency, BLS serves its diverse user communities by providing products and services that are objective, timely, accurate and relevant.

Supporting data may be found at www.bls.gov.

## New Mexico <br> Department of Workforce Solutions:

The New Mexico Department of Workforce Solutions (DWS) is responsible for economic research and analysis, business development and outreach, employment outreach and transition programs, workforce services programs, and labor compliance programs. The Economic Research and Analysis Bureau of DWS publishes a wide variety of reports and data on labor market information. The Bureau measures labor market activity, working conditions and price changes in the statewide economy.

Supporting data may be found at www.dws.state.nm.us.

Appendix B - Legislative Fiscal Year Increase in Detail

| Date | Legislative Increase | Other | General Fund Appropriation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/1/2018 | 2.00\% | The Legislature appropriated from the general fund to the department of finance and administration for expenditure in fiscal year 2020 to provide salary increases to employees in budgeted positions who have completed their probationary period subject to satisfactory job performance. | \$21,611,200 |
|  | 1.00\% | The Legislature appropriated an additional $1 \%$ from the general fund to the department of finance and administration for expenditure in fiscal year 2020 to provide salary increases to employees in budgeted positions who are earning less than twenty-five thousand dollars per year on a full time equivalent basis. | \$102,800 |
| 7/1/2017 | 0.00\% | $\square$ | - |
| 7/1/2016 | 0.00\% | The Legislature appropriated $\$ 4.5$ million to the Corrections department specifically for the purpose to "...implement and occupationally based salary structure that brings staff salaries to the minimum of the pay bands and to provide targeted salary increases to custody staff for the purpose of reducing compaction and improving employee recruitment and retention ...". | \$4,500,000 |
| 7/1/2015 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/5/2014 | 3.00\% | - $\$ 13,973,968$ GF to provide a $3 \%$ salary increase effective the first full pay period after $7 / 1 / 2013$ to for both union \& non-union classified employees who have completed their probationary period and subject to a satisfactory job evaluation. Employees who reach the end of probationary status between 7/5/14 and $6 / 30 / 15$ will receive this increase effective the first pay period following anniversary date. <br> - An additional $\$ 2,000,000 \mathrm{GF}$ was given for salary adjustments in specific classified job classification to be identified by SPO \& DFA as trouble with recruitment \& retention | \$15,973,968 |
| 7/6/2013 | 1.00\% | - Additional 3\% was given to commissioned officers in the Motor Transportation Division for a total of 4\%. | \$8,197,068 |
| 7/1/2011 | 0.00\% |  | - |
| 7/1/2010 | 0.00\% |  |  |
| 7/1/2009 | 0.00\% |  |  |
| 7/1/2008 | 2.90\% |  |  |
| 7/1/2007 | 4.50\% | - Bring 86 employees to $\$ 7.50 / \mathrm{hr}$. <br> - $5 \%$ to MTD/SID Officers at DPS "in lieu" of FY08 pay package. <br> - Additional 5\% to Adult Correctional Officers and Public Defender Attorneys. <br> - Additional 4\% to Probation/Parole Officers, Librarian, Librarian Asst., Librarian Tech., Livestock/Meat Inspector, Dispatcher, Security Guard, Forensic Scientist O \& A roles, Highway Maintainers, Civil Engineering Tech. Also HSD FAA's, \& CSLA. DOH Chemist; Microbiologist; Life, Physical \& Social Science Tech. and Medical Scientist-Except Epidemiologist. | \$29,661,100 |
| 7/1/2006 | 5.00\% | - MTD/SID Officers at the Department of Public Safety. $\$ 129,600$ for MTD Officers and $\$ 182,600$ for SID Officers. This resulted in an average $18.0 \%$ increase for MTD and an average $20.2 \%$ increase for SID. | \$23,097,100 |
| 7/1/2005 | 1.80\% | - Public Defender Attorneys $-1.75 \%+$ an additional $3.25 \%=5.0 \%$ <br> - Commissioned Officers at DPS $=5.0 \%$. This includes MTD \& SID Commissioned Officers. <br> - Adult Probation \& Parole Officers at the Department of Corrections 3.25\% then the $1.75 \%$ General Salary Increase on top of the 3.25\% <br> - MVD Clerks at the Taxation \& Revenue Department. \$585,000 given directly to agency in expansion request to bring clerks to 85\% Compa-ratio <br> - Game and Fish Department: $\$ 1,250,000$ given to provide internal salary increases to Conservation Officers and other agency staff. Worked with department to develop internal pay plan. | \$11,408,100 |
| 7/1/2004 | 2.00\% |  | \$9,100,600 |
| 7/1/2003 | 3.10\% |  | \$5,810,000 |

[^2]Appendix C - 2019 Year Comparator Market Average Classified Salary

| Year | 8 State Average | New Mexico | Percent NM to Market |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | \$35,116 | \$31,858 | -10.2\% |
| 2002 | \$34,809 | \$32,558 | -6.9\% |
| 2003 | \$36,249 | \$33,426 | -8.4\% |
| 2004 | \$37,418 | \$34,018 | -10.0\% |
| 2005 | \$37,157 | \$35,834 | -3.7\% |
| 2006 | \$39,274 | \$37,918 | -3.6\% |
| 2007 | \$39,787 | \$38,820 | -2.5\% |
| 2008 | \$41,712 | \$42,099 | 0.9\% |
| 2009 | \$43,398 | \$42,058 | -3.2\% |
| 2010 | \$43,671 | \$41,986 | -4.0\% |
| 2011 | \$41,818 | \$41,995 | 0.4\% |
| 2012 | \$43,590 | \$41,912 | -4.0\% |
| 2013 | \$42,599 | \$41,912 | -1.6\% |
| 2014 | \$44,507 | \$43,576 | -2.1\% |
| 2015 | \$47,134 | \$44,554 | -5.8\% |
| 2016 | \$48,979 | \$44,803 | -9.3\% |
| 2017 | \$49,588 | \$45,324 | -9.4\% |
| 2018 | \$46,867 | \$45,906 | -2.1\% |
| 2019 | \$49,270 | \$48,298 | -2.0\% |

Appendix D - Classified Service Salary Structure

| ARCHITECT CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| AA | $\$ 51,359$ | $\$ 66,767$ | $\$ 82,174$ | $60 \%$ |
| AB | $\$ 58,406$ | $\$ 75,928$ | $\$ 93,450$ | $60 \%$ |
| AC | $\$ 78,399$ | $\$ 101,919$ | $\$ 125,438$ | $60 \%$ |


| ATTORNEY SALARY SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| LE | $\$ 51,860$ | $\$ 67,418$ | $\$ 82,976$ | $60 \%$ |
| LF | $\$ 56,041$ | $\$ 72,853$ | $\$ 89,666$ | $60 \%$ |
| LG | $\$ 60,738$ | $\$ 78,959$ | $\$ 97,181$ | $60 \%$ |
| LH | $\$ 66,338$ | $\$ 86,240$ | $\$ 106,141$ | $60 \%$ |
| LI | $\$ 71,084$ | $\$ 92,409$ | $\$ 113,734$ | $60 \%$ |
| LJ | $\$ 75,862$ | $\$ 98,620$ | $\$ 121,379$ | $60 \%$ |
| LK | $\$ 83,158$ | $\$ 108,105$ | $\$ 133,053$ | $60 \%$ |

CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY SCHEDULE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 25 | $\$ 16,176$ | $\$ 23,391$ | $\$ 30,605$ | $89 \%$ |
| 30 | $\$ 17,258$ | $\$ 24,770$ | $\$ 32,281$ | $87 \%$ |
| 35 | $\$ 18,711$ | $\$ 26,448$ | $\$ 34,185$ | $83 \%$ |
| 40 | $\$ 20,447$ | $\$ 28,457$ | $\$ 36,467$ | $78 \%$ |
| 45 | $\$ 22,516$ | $\$ 30,847$ | $\$ 39,178$ | $74 \%$ |
| 50 | $\$ 24,980$ | $\$ 34,222$ | $\$ 43,465$ | $74 \%$ |
| 55 | $\$ 27,832$ | $\$ 38,130$ | $\$ 48,428$ | $74 \%$ |
| 60 | $\$ 30,518$ | $\$ 41,809$ | $\$ 53,101$ | $74 \%$ |
| 65 | $\$ 33,720$ | $\$ 46,197$ | $\$ 58,673$ | $74 \%$ |
| 70 | $\$ 37,538$ | $\$ 51,427$ | $\$ 65,316$ | $74 \%$ |
| 75 | $\$ 42,088$ | $\$ 57,660$ | $\$ 73,233$ | $74 \%$ |
| 80 | $\$ 47,510$ | $\$ 65,089$ | $\$ 82,667$ | $74 \%$ |
| 85 | $\$ 53,976$ | $\$ 73,947$ | $\$ 93,918$ | $74 \%$ |
| 90 | $\$ 61,673$ | $\$ 84,492$ | $\$ 107,311$ | $74 \%$ |
| 95 | $\$ 70,869$ | $\$ 97,090$ | $\$ 123,312$ | $74 \%$ |
| 96 | $\$ 81,823$ | $\$ 112,098$ | $\$ 142,372$ | $74 \%$ |
| 97 | $\$ 94,883$ | $\$ 129,990$ | $\$ 165,096$ | $74 \%$ |
| 98 | $\$ 110,436$ | $\$ 151,298$ | $\$ 192,159$ | $74 \%$ |
| 99 | $\$ 207,761$ | $\$ 284,633$ | $\$ 361,504$ | $74 \%$ |


| CORRECTIONS CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| CA | $\$ 30,303$ | $\$ 36,363$ | $\$ 42,424$ | $40 \%$ |
| CB | $\$ 36,223$ | $\$ 43,468$ | $\$ 50,712$ | $40 \%$ |
| CC | $\$ 40,121$ | $\$ 48,145$ | $\$ 56,169$ | $40 \%$ |
| CD | $\$ 44,184$ | $\$ 53,021$ | $\$ 61,858$ | $40 \%$ |
| CE | $\$ 48,450$ | $\$ 58,140$ | $\$ 67,830$ | $40 \%$ |
| CF | $\$ 52,533$ | $\$ 63,039$ | $\$ 73,546$ | $40 \%$ |
| CG | $\$ 56,008$ | $\$ 67,209$ | $\$ 78,411$ | $40 \%$ |
| CH | $\$ 61,156$ | $\$ 73,387$ | $\$ 85,618$ | $40 \%$ |
| CI | $\$ 65,587$ | $\$ 78,704$ | $\$ 91,822$ | $40 \%$ |
| CJ | $\$ 70,129$ | $\$ 84,155$ | $\$ 98,181$ | $40 \%$ |
| CK | $\$ 77,429$ | $\$ 92,915$ | $\$ 108,401$ | $40 \%$ |
| CL | $\$ 86,310$ | $\$ 103,572$ | $\$ 120,834$ | $40 \%$ |
| CM | $\$ 96,497$ | $\$ 115,796$ | $\$ 135,096$ | $40 \%$ |

CORRECTIONS CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA | $\$ 30,303$ | $\$ 36,363$ | $\$ 42,424$ | $40 \%$ |
| CB | $\$ 36,223$ | $\$ 43,468$ | $\$ 50,712$ | $40 \%$ |
| CC | $\$ 40,121$ | $\$ 48,145$ | $\$ 56,169$ | $40 \%$ |
| CD | $\$ 44,184$ | $\$ 53,021$ | $\$ 61,858$ | $40 \%$ |
| CE | $\$ 48,450$ | $\$ 58,140$ | $\$ 67,830$ | $40 \%$ |
| CF | $\$ 52,533$ | $\$ 63,039$ | $\$ 73,546$ | $40 \%$ |
| CG | $\$ 56,008$ | $\$ 67,209$ | $\$ 78,411$ | $40 \%$ |
| CH | $\$ 61,156$ | $\$ 73,387$ | $\$ 85,618$ | $40 \%$ |
| CI | $\$ 65,587$ | $\$ 78,704$ | $\$ 91,822$ | $40 \%$ |
| CJ | $\$ 70,129$ | $\$ 84,155$ | $\$ 98,181$ | $40 \%$ |
| CK | $\$ 77,429$ | $\$ 92,915$ | $\$ 108,401$ | $40 \%$ |
| CL | $\$ 86,310$ | $\$ 103,572$ | $\$ 120,834$ | $40 \%$ |
| CM | $\$ 96,497$ | $\$ 115,796$ | $\$ 135,096$ | $40 \%$ |
| ENGINEER, SURVEYOR AND WATER RESOURCE CLASSIFIED |  |  |  |  |
|  | SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE |  |  |  |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| EA | $\$ 28,405$ | $\$ 36,926$ | $\$ 45,448$ | $60 \%$ |
| EB | $\$ 31,516$ | $\$ 40,971$ | $\$ 50,426$ | $60 \%$ |
| EC | $\$ 35,435$ | $\$ 46,066$ | $\$ 56,696$ | $60 \%$ |
| ED | $\$ 40,402$ | $\$ 52,522$ | $\$ 64,643$ | $60 \%$ |
| EE | $\$ 47,199$ | $\$ 61,359$ | $\$ 75,518$ | $60 \%$ |
| EF | $\$ 56,792$ | $\$ 73,830$ | $\$ 90,867$ | $60 \%$ |


| EG | $\$ 63,198$ | $\$ 82,158$ | $\$ 101,117$ | $60 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EH | $\$ 70,155$ | $\$ 91,201$ | $\$ 112,248$ | $60 \%$ |
| EI | $\$ 77,875$ | $\$ 101,238$ | $\$ 124,600$ | $60 \%$ |
| EJ | $\$ 84,881$ | $\$ 110,345$ | $\$ 135,810$ | $60 \%$ |
| EK | $\$ 92,518$ | $\$ 120,274$ | $\$ 148,029$ | $60 \%$ |

## ENGINEER, SURVEYOR AND WATER RESOURCE CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EA | $\$ 28,405$ | $\$ 36,926$ | $\$ 45,448$ | $60 \%$ |
| EB | $\$ 31,516$ | $\$ 40,971$ | $\$ 50,426$ | $60 \%$ |
| EC | $\$ 35,435$ | $\$ 46,066$ | $\$ 56,696$ | $60 \%$ |
| ED | $\$ 40,402$ | $\$ 52,522$ | $\$ 64,643$ | $60 \%$ |
| EE | $\$ 47,199$ | $\$ 61,359$ | $\$ 75,518$ | $60 \%$ |
| EF | $\$ 56,792$ | $\$ 73,830$ | $\$ 90,867$ | $60 \%$ |
| EG | $\$ 63,198$ | $\$ 82,158$ | $\$ 101,117$ | $60 \%$ |
| EH | $\$ 70,155$ | $\$ 91,201$ | $\$ 112,248$ | $60 \%$ |
| EI | $\$ 77,875$ | $\$ 101,238$ | $\$ 124,600$ | $60 \%$ |
| EJ | $\$ 84,881$ | $\$ 110,345$ | $\$ 135,810$ | $60 \%$ |
| EK | $\$ 92,518$ | $\$ 120,274$ | $\$ 148,029$ | $60 \%$ |

IT CLASSIFIED SERVICE SALARY STRUCTURE

| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IA | $\$ 30,754$ | $\$ 41,057$ | $\$ 51,359$ | $67 \%$ |
| IB | $\$ 35,894$ | $\$ 47,919$ | $\$ 59,943$ | $67 \%$ |
| IC | $\$ 42,276$ | $\$ 56,438$ | $\$ 70,601$ | $67 \%$ |
| ID | $\$ 47,622$ | $\$ 63,576$ | $\$ 79,529$ | $67 \%$ |
| IE | $\$ 53,327$ | $\$ 71,191$ | $\$ 89,056$ | $67 \%$ |
| IF | $\$ 60,351$ | $\$ 80,568$ | $\$ 100,786$ | $67 \%$ |
| IG | $\$ 70,551$ | $\$ 94,186$ | $\$ 117,820$ | $67 \%$ |
| IH | $\$ 82,736$ | $\$ 110,453$ | $\$ 138,169$ | $67 \%$ |
| II | $\$ 91,098$ | $\$ 121,616$ | $\$ 152,134$ | $67 \%$ |
| IJ | $\$ 101,063$ | $\$ 134,919$ | $\$ 168,775$ | $67 \%$ |
| IK | $\$ 112,957$ | $\$ 150,797$ | $\$ 188,638$ | $67 \%$ |


| SOCIAL SERVICES SALARY SCHEDULE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum | Band Width |
| SD | $\$ 34,827$ | $\$ 45,275$ | $\$ 55,723$ | $60 \%$ |
| SE | $\$ 39,537$ | $\$ 51,398$ | $\$ 63,259$ | $60 \%$ |
| SF | $\$ 44,445$ | $\$ 57,779$ | $\$ 71,112$ | $60 \%$ |
| SG | $\$ 51,867$ | $\$ 67,427$ | $\$ 82,987$ | $60 \%$ |
| SH | $\$ 61,935$ | $\$ 80,515$ | $\$ 99,096$ | $60 \%$ |
| SI | $\$ 68,523$ | $\$ 89,080$ | $\$ 109,637$ | $60 \%$ |

## Appendix E - Average Salary Data by Agency

| Agency | Average Annual Salary | Average CompaRatio | Employee Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative Hearings Office | \$62,272 | 114.9\% | 14 |
| Adult Parole Board | \$35,649 | 97.9\% | 5 |
| Aging \& Long-Term Services Department | \$51,788 | 108.0\% | 188 |
| Architect Examiners Board | \$42,226 | 116.0\% | 3 |
| Board of Nursing | \$47,406 | 108.3\% | 22 |
| Border Development Authority | \$47,557 | 103.9\% | 2 |
| Children, Youth \& Families Department | \$45,610 | 102.2\% | 1884 |
| Com for Deaf/Hard of Hearing | \$47,690 | 105.7\% | 12 |
| Commission for the Blind | \$41,827 | 101.1\% | 52 |
| Commission of Public Records | \$49,628 | 102.0\% | 27 |
| Crime Victims Reparation Commission | \$47,296 | 103.4\% | 22 |
| Department of Cultural Affairs | \$41,367 | 103.6\% | 384 |
| Department of Environment | \$57,312 | 106.6\% | 521 |
| Department of Finance \& Administration | \$59,967 | 109.1\% | 113 |
| Department of Game \& Fish | \$50,934 | 109.8\% | 275 |
| Department of Health | \$44,980 | 103.1\% | 2746 |
| Department of Indian Affairs | \$48,297 | 111.7\% | 8 |
| Department of Public Safety | \$43,884 | 104.0\% | 393 |
| Department of Transportation | \$44,729 | 103.2\% | 2054 |
| Department of Veteran Services | \$38,764 | 104.6\% | 208 |
| Department of Workforce Solutions | \$40,822 | 96.7\% | 422 |
| Department of Information Technology | \$63,664 | 100.6\% | 136 |
| Department of Vocational Rehabilitation | \$47,868 | 106.9\% | 229 |
| Dev Disabilities Planning Commission | \$45,365 | 103.9\% | 18 |
| Economic Development Department | \$56,786 | 104.7\% | 33 |
| Educational Retirement Board | \$49,377 | 106.3\% | 52 |
| Energy, Minerals \& Natural Rsrcs Dpt | \$43,980 | 100.5\% | 434 |
| EXPO New Mexico | \$47,363 | 110.9\% | 16 |
| Gaming Control Board | \$51,803 | 112.2\% | 40 |
| General Services Department | \$44,916 | 109.2\% | 227 |
| Governor's Comm. on Disability | \$51,726 | 98.3\% | 12 |
| Higher Education Department | \$62,539 | 112.8\% | 35 |
| Homeland Security \& Emergency Mgt | \$54,680 | 112.7\% | 50 |
| Human Services Department | \$43,031 | 93.3\% | 1668 |
| Livestock Board | \$42,135 | 93.5\% | 66 |


| Agency | Average Annual <br> Salary | Average Compa- <br> Ratio | Employee <br> Count |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical Examiners Board | $\$ 55,650$ | $104.9 \%$ | 11 |
| Military Affairs | $\$ 42,968$ | $106.9 \%$ | 124 |
| Miners Colfax Medical Center | $\$ 62,889$ | $98.1 \%$ | 231 |
| New Mexico Corrections Department | $\$ 41,221$ | $90.1 \%$ | 1831 |
| NM Education Trust Board | $\$ 74,554$ | $111.9 \%$ | 2 |
| Office of the State Engineer | $\$ 58,949$ | $94.3 \%$ | 247 |
| Office of African American Affairs | $\$ 47,137$ | $101.7 \%$ | 6 |
| Office of Natural Resc Trustee | $\$ 70,439$ | $105.6 \%$ | 3 |
| Prof Engineers \& Land Surveyors Board | $\$ 41,698$ | $100.1 \%$ | 6 |
| Public Education Department | $\$ 61,223$ | $107.3 \%$ | 217 |
| Public Employee Retirement Association | $\$ 53,320$ | $112.9 \%$ | 67 |
| Public Regulation Commission | $\$ 54,400$ | $104.7 \%$ | 108 |
| Public School Insurance Authority | $\$ 56,154$ | $115.5 \%$ | 7 |
| Regulation \& Licensing Department | $\$ 50,144$ | $108.6 \%$ | 238 |
| Retiree Health Care Authority | $\$ 48,187$ | $103.5 \%$ | 22 |
| Secretary of State | $\$ 48,489$ | $106.1 \%$ | 39 |
| SpacePort Authority | $\$ 65,919$ | $112.3 \%$ | 11 |
| State Auditor | $\$ 62,111$ | $104.4 \%$ | 23 |
| State Investment Council | $\$ 74,681$ | $113.2 \%$ | 15 |
| State Land Office | $\$ 54,138$ | $109.4 \%$ | 121 |
| State Personnel Board | $\$ 61,899$ | $113.3 \%$ | 31 |
| State Racing Commission | $\$ 50,590$ | $105.4 \%$ | 11 |
| State Treasurer | $\$ 62,803$ | $107.9 \%$ | 21 |
| Superintendent of Insurance | $\$ 55,319$ | $111.0 \%$ | 78 |
| Taxation \& Revenue Department | $\$ 43,021$ | $102.2 \%$ | 805 |
| Tourism Department | $\$ 45,413$ | $104.0 \%$ | 35 |
| Veterinary Examiners Board | $\$ 27,352$ | $106.7 \%$ | 2 |
| Workers Compensation Admin | $\$ 45,158$ | $102.9 \%$ | 102 |
| Youth Conservation Corps | $105.8 \%$ | 2 |  |
| Total | $101.1 \%$ | 16,787 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Appendix F - Alternative Pay Band Assignments

| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2011A | ACTUARY-A | 75 | 70 |
| D2011B | ACTUARY-B | 65 | 60 |
| D20110 | ACTUARY-O | 70 | 65 |
| X40100 | ADMIN/OPS I - DENTAL | 95 | 80 |
| X40200 | ADMIN/OPS I - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 85 | 80 |
| X40250 | ADMIN/OPS I-FORENSIC SCIENCE | 90 | 80 |
| X40300 | ADMIN/OPS I- HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 95 | 80 |
| X40700 | ADMIN/OPS I - MTD/SID | 90 | 80 |
| X40400 | ADMIN/OPS I - NURSING | 90 | 80 |
| X40450 | ADMIN/OPS I-NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 85 | 80 |
| X40500 | ADMIN/OPS I- OT/PT/SLP | 95 | 80 |
| X40550 | ADMIN/OPS I - PHARMACY | 96 | 80 |
| X40650 | ADMIN/OPS I - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 80 |
| X40600 | ADMIN/OPS I-PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 80 |
| X50100 | ADMIN/OPS II - DENTAL | 95 | 85 |
| X50200 | ADMIN/OPS II - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 90 | 85 |
| X50250 | ADMIN/OPS II - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 95 | 85 |
| X50300 | ADMIN/OPS II - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 96 | 85 |
| X50700 | ADMIN/OPS II - MTD/SID | 95 | 85 |
| X50400 | ADMIN/OPS II - NURSING | 95 | 85 |
| X50500 | ADMIN/OPS II - OT/PT/SLP | 95 | 85 |
| X50550 | ADMIN/OPS II - PHARMACY | 97 | 85 |
| X50650 | ADMIN/OPS II - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 85 |
| X50600 | ADMIN/OPS II - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 85 |
| X52012 | ADMIN/OPS II - STATE AUDIT | 90 | 85 |
| U3011A | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS \& SERVICE TECH-A | 75 | 55 |
| U3011B | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS \& SERVICE TECH-B | 65 | 45 |
| U30110 | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS \& SERVICE TECH-O | 70 | 50 |
| W20111 | AIRCRAFT PILOT | 80 | 70 |
| K10802 | CERTIFIED NURSE MIDWIFE | 90 | 70 |
| K10801 | CERTIFIED NURSE PRACTITIONER | 90 | 70 |
| C20100 | CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT | 85 | 80 |
| C3900 | CHIEF FINANCIAL ACCOUNTANT | 85 | 80 |
| G10501 | CHILD SUPPORT LEGAL ASSISTANT 1 | 60 | 55 |
| G10502 | CHILD SUPPORT LEGAL ASSISTANT 2 | 65 | 60 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E3022A | CIVIL ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN-NL-A | 60 | 55 |
| E30220 | CIVIL ENGINEERING TECHNICIAN-NL-O | 55 | 50 |
| K10803 | CLINICAL NURSE SPECIALIST | 90 | 70 |
| K10661 | CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST I | 85 | 75 |
| K10662 | CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST II | 90 | 80 |
| T4011S | CONSTRUCTION \& BLDG INSPECTOR AREA CHIEF | 70 | 65 |
| T40112 | CONSTRUCTION \& BLDG INSPECTOR MULTI CERT | 65 | 60 |
| T40111 | CONSTRUCTION \& BLDG INSPECTOR SINGL CERT | 60 | 55 |
| T4011B | CONSTRUCTION \& BUILDING INSPECTOR-1 | 55 | 50 |
| T40110 | CONSTRUCTION \& BUILDING INSPECTOR-2 | 60 | 55 |
| T4011A | CONSTRUCTION \& BUILDING INSPECTOR-3 | 65 | 60 |
| 190311 | COORDINATOR - CLASSROOM TECH | 70 | 65 |
| L9091A | DENTAL ASSISTANT-A | 50 | 35 |
| L9091B | DENTAL ASSISTANT-B | 40 | 25 |
| 190910 | DENTAL ASSISTANT-O | 45 | 30 |
| K2021A | DENTAL HYGIENIST-A | 70 | 55 |
| K2021B | DENTAL HYGIENIST-B | 60 | 45 |
| K20210 | DENTAL HYGIENIST-O | 65 | 50 |
| K1021S | DENTIST, GENERAL SUPV | 95 | 85 |
| K1021A | DENTIST, GENERAL-A | 90 | 80 |
| K1021B | DENTIST, GENERAL-B | 80 | 70 |
| K10210 | DENTIST, GENERAL-O | 85 | 75 |
| X40251 | DEPUTY FORENSIC TOXICOLOGY BUR CHIEF | 90 | 80 |
| X60400 | DIRECTOR OF NURSING | 95 | 90 |
| X45033 | DPS EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER | 90 | 80 |
| Q20102 | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM COORD | 80 | 70 |
| Q20101 | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REPRESENTITIVE | 75 | 65 |
| F3011S | ECONOMIST SUPV | 85 | 75 |
| F3011A | ECONOMIST-A | 80 | 70 |
| F3011B | ECONOMIST-B | 70 | 60 |
| F30110 | ECONOMIST-O | 75 | 65 |
| B9039S | EDUCATION ADMINISTRATOR SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| B9039A | EDUCATION ADMINISTRATOR-A | 80 | 75 |
| T2111A | ELECTRICIAN-A | 55 | 50 |
| T2111B | ELECTRICIAN-B | 45 | 40 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M40101 | EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST | 65 | 60 |
| E3000S | ENGINEER SPECIALIST, ALL OTHER-NL SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| E3000A | ENGINEER SPECIALIST, ALL OTHER-NL-A | 80 | 75 |
| F2041S | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC SUPV | 80 | 70 |
| F2041A | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC-A | 75 | 65 |
| F2041B | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC-B | 65 | 55 |
| F20410 | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST \& SPEC-O | 70 | 60 |
| X80300 | EXECUTIVE - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 98 | 96 |
| X80550 | EXECUTIVE - PHARMACY | 97 | 96 |
| X80650 | EXECUTIVE - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 96 |
| X80600 | EXECUTIVE - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 96 |
| K1062S | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER SUPV | 98 | 90 |
| K1062A | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER-A | 97 | 85 |
| K1062B | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER-B | 95 | 75 |
| K10620 | FAMILY \& GENERAL PRACTITIONER-O | 96 | 80 |
| G10601 | FAMILY ASSISTANCE ANALYST 1 | 60 | 55 |
| G10602 | FAMILY ASSISTANCE ANALYST 2 | 65 | 60 |
| C2061S | FINANCIAL EXAMINER SUPERVISOR | 75 | 70 |
| C2061A | FINANCIAL EXAMINER-A | 70 | 65 |
| C2061B | FINANCIAL EXAMINER-B | 60 | 55 |
| C20610 | FINANCIAL EXAMINER-O | 65 | 60 |
| F40920 | FORENSIC SCIENTIST 1 | 75 | 55 |
| F4092A | FORENSIC SCIENTIST 2 | 80 | 60 |
| F4092S | FORENSIC SCIENTIST SUPERVISOR | 85 | 65 |
| X50251 | FORENSIC TOXICOLOGY BUREAU CHIEF | 95 | 85 |
| C20211 | GEN CERT REAL ESTATE APPRAISER \& ADVISOR | 80 | 70 |
| X60100 | GENERAL I - DENTAL | 95 | 90 |
| X60200 | GENERAL I - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 95 | 90 |
| X60250 | GENERAL I - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 96 | 90 |
| X60300 | GENERALI - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 97 | 90 |
| X60700 | GENERALI - MTD/SID | 95 | 90 |
| X60500 | GENERALI- OT/PT/SLP | 95 | 90 |
| X60550 | GENERALI - PHARMACY | 97 | 90 |
| X60650 | GENERAL I - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 90 |
| X60600 | GENERAL I - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 90 |
| X70300 | GENERAL II - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 98 | 95 |
| X70550 | GENERAL II - PHARMACY | 97 | 95 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X70650 | GENERAL II - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 95 |
| X70600 | GENERAL II - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 95 |
| F2042S | GEOSCIENTST,XCPT HYDROLGST\&GEOGRPHR SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| F2042A | GEOSCIENTST,XCPT HYDROLGST\&GEOGRPHR-A | 75 | 70 |
| F2042B | GEOSCIENTST,XCPT HYDROLGST\&GEOGRPHR-B | 65 | 60 |
| F20420 | GEOSCIENTST,XCPT HYDROLGST\&GEOGRPHR-O | 70 | 65 |
| E2111S | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| E2111A | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR-A | 70 | 65 |
| E2111B | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR-B | 60 | 55 |
| E21110 | HEALTHCARE SURVEYOR-O | 65 | 60 |
| U9021S | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG SUPV | 60 | 55 |
| U9021A | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG-A | 55 | 50 |
| U9021B | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG-B | 45 | 40 |
| U90210 | HEATING, AIR CONDITIONING, \& REFRIG-O | 50 | 45 |
| T4051S | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER SUPV | 60 | 55 |
| T4051A | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER-A | 55 | 50 |
| T4051B | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER-B | 45 | 40 |
| T40510 | HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE WORKER-O | 50 | 45 |
| M40102 | HOMELAND SECURITY SPECIALIST | 70 | 65 |
| G10701 | HSD QUALITY ASSURANCE SPECIALIST | 70 | 65 |
| G1070S | HSD QUALITY ASSURANCE SPECIALIST SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| F2043S | HYDROLOGIST SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| F2043A | HYDROLOGIST-A | 75 | 70 |
| F2043B | HYDROLOGIST-B | 65 | 60 |
| F20430 | HYDROLOGIST-O | 70 | 65 |
| X30795 | IT COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER - DHSEM | 85 | 75 |
| G10941 | JUVENILE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 1 | 65 | 60 |
| G10942 | JUVENILE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 2 | 70 | 65 |
| G1094S | JUVENILE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| C10791 | LABOR RELATIONS ADMINISTRATOR | 75 | 70 |
| 14031A | LIBRARIAN TECHNICIAN-A | 50 | 45 |
| 14031B | LIBRARIAN TECHNICIAN-B | 40 | 35 |
| 140310 | LIBRARIAN TECHNICIAN-O | 45 | 40 |
| 14021A | LIBRARIAN-A | 70 | 65 |
| 14021B | LIBRARIAN-B | 60 | 55 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140210 | LIBRARIAN-O | 65 | 60 |
| 14021S | LIBRARIAN-SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| R4121A | LIBRARY ASSISTANT, CLERICAL-A | 35 | 30 |
| R 41210 | LIBRARY ASSISTANT, CLERICAL-O | 30 | 25 |
| K2061S | LICENSED PRACTICL \&LICENSED VOC NRS SUPV | 65 | 55 |
| K2061A | LICENSED PRACTICL \&LICENSED VOC NRS-A | 60 | 50 |
| K2061B | LICENSED PRACTICL \&LICENSED VOC NRS-B | 50 | 40 |
| K20610 | LICENSED PRACTICL \&LICENSED VOC NRS-O | 55 | 45 |
| X10100 | LINE I - DENTAL | 95 | 65 |
| X10125 | LINE I-ECONOMICS | 70 | 65 |
| X10150 | LINE I-ENGINEERING | 70 | 65 |
| X10200 | LINE I - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 70 | 65 |
| X10250 | LINE I - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 80 | 65 |
| X10300 | LINE I - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 80 | 65 |
| X10700 | LINE I - MTD/SID | 75 | 65 |
| X10400 | LINE I-NURSING | 80 | 65 |
| X10450 | LINE I - NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 70 | 65 |
| X10500 | LINE I- OT/PT/SLP | 80 | 65 |
| X10550 | LINE I - PHARMACY | 85 | 65 |
| X10650 | LINE I-PHYSICIAN | 98 | 65 |
| X10600 | LINE I - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 65 |
| X20100 | LINE II - DENTAL | 95 | 70 |
| X20125 | LINE II - ECONOMICS | 75 | 70 |
| X20150 | LINE II - ENGINEERING | 75 | 70 |
| X20200 | LINE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 75 | 70 |
| X20250 | LINE II - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 80 | 70 |
| X20300 | LINE II - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 85 | 70 |
| X20700 | LINE II - MTD/SID | 80 | 70 |
| X20400 | LINE II - NURSING | 85 | 70 |
| X20450 | LINE II - NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 75 | 70 |
| X20500 | LINE II - OT/PT/SLP | 85 | 70 |
| X20550 | LINE II - PHARMACY | 90 | 70 |
| X20650 | LINE II - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 70 |
| X20600 | LINE II - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 70 |
| S20101 | LIVESTOCK INSPECTOR 1 | 60 | 55 |
| S20102 | LIVESTOCK INSPECTOR 2 | 65 | 60 |
| S2010S | LIVESTOCK INSPECTOR SUPV | 70 | 65 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K20811 | MEDICAL CLAIMS CODER | 60 | 45 |
| E2152S | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| E2152A | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL-A | 75 | 70 |
| E2152B | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL-B | 65 | 60 |
| E21520 | MINING \& GEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST-NL-O | 70 | 65 |
| J3052 | MUS PRESS ART MGR | 70 | 60 |
| J3053 | MUS PRESS EDITOR MGR | 70 | 60 |
| J3054 | MUS PRESS MKTG MGR | 70 | 60 |
| X30514 | MUSEUM PUBLICATION DIR | 80 | 75 |
| R4032S | MVD STAFF ADMINISTRATOR | 70 | 65 |
| L1012S | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT SUPV | 45 | 55 |
| L1012A | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT-A | 40 | 50 |
| L1012B | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT-B | 30 | 40 |
| 110120 | NURSING AIDE, ORDERLIES, \&ATTENDANT-O | 35 | 45 |
| K1122S | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST SUPV | 85 | 70 |
| K1122A | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST-A | 80 | 65 |
| K1122B | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST-B | 70 | 55 |
| $K 11220$ | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST-O | 75 | 60 |
| D2031A | OPERATION RESEARCH ANALYST-A | 70 | 65 |
| D2031B | OPERATION RESEARCH ANALYST-B | 60 | 55 |
| D20310 | OPERATION RESEARCH ANALYST-O | 65 | 60 |
| E2171S | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| E2171A | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST-A | 80 | 75 |
| E2171B | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST-B | 70 | 65 |
| E21710 | PETROLEUM SPECIALIST-O | 75 | 70 |
| K1051S | PHARMACIST SUPV | 95 | 75 |
| K1051A | PHARMACIST-A | 90 | 70 |
| K1051B | PHARMACIST-B | 80 | 60 |
| K10510 | PHARMACIST-O | 85 | 65 |
| K1123S | PHYSICAL THERAPIST SUPV | 85 | 70 |
| K1123A | PHYSICAL THERAPIST-A | 80 | 65 |
| K1123B | PHYSICAL THERAPIST-B | 70 | 55 |
| K11230 | PHYSICAL THERAPIST-O | 75 | 60 |
| K10621 | PHYSICIAN | 99 | 80 |
| K10701 | PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT | 85 | 70 |
| K1070S | PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT SUPV | 90 | 75 |
| X61062 | PHYSICIAN MANAGER | 99 | 90 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2152S | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER SUPV | 60 | 55 |
| T2152A | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER-A | 55 | 50 |
| T2152B | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER-B | 45 | 40 |
| T21520 | PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, \& STEAM FITTER-O | 50 | 45 |
| M3051S | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER SUPV | 85 | 80 |
| M3051A | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER-A | 75 | 65 |
| M3051B | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER-B | 65 | 55 |
| M30510 | POLICE \& SHERIFF PATROL OFFICER-O | 70 | 60 |
| C9003 | PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND POLICY ANALYST | 85 | 80 |
| G10901 | PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 1 | 65 | 60 |
| G10902 | PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER 2 | 70 | 65 |
| G1090S | PROBATION PAROLE OFFICER SUPV | 75 | 70 |
| C11111 | PROCESS IMPROVEMENT ANALYST | 85 | 70 |
| C10323 | PROPERTY \& CASUALTY ADJUSTER I | 70 | 60 |
| C10324 | PROPERTY \& CASUALTY ADJUSTER II | 75 | 65 |
| K1066S | PSYCHIATRIST SUPV | 98 | 90 |
| K1066A | PSYCHIATRIST-A | 97 | 85 |
| K1066B | PSYCHIATRIST-B | 95 | 75 |
| K10660 | PSYCHIATRIST-O | 96 | 80 |
| H10112 | PUBLIC DEFENDER 2 | 75 | 70 |
| H10113 | PUBLIC DEFENDER 3 | 80 | 75 |
| H10114 | PUBLIC DEFENDER 4 | 85 | 80 |
| F30111 | PUBLIC UTILITIES ECONOMIST | 80 | 70 |
| E30611 | PUBLIC UTILITIES ENGINEER | 85 | 75 |
| K2034S | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN SUPV | 65 | 60 |
| K2034A | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN-A | 60 | 55 |
| K2034B | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN-B | 50 | 45 |
| K20340 | RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST \&TECHNICIAN-O | 55 | 50 |
| K1111S | REGISTERED NURSE SUPV | 85 | 70 |
| K1111A | REGISTERED NURSE-A | 80 | 65 |
| K1111B | REGISTERED NURSE-B | 70 | 55 |
| K11110 | REGISTERED NURSE-O | 75 | 60 |
| K1126A | RESPIRATORY THERAPIST-A | 60 | 50 |
| K1126B | RESPIRATORY THERAPIST-B | 50 | 40 |
| K11260 | RESPIRATORY THERAPIST-O | 55 | 45 |
| C20501 | RETIREMENT SPECIALIST, LEVEL 1 | 55 | 50 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C20502 | RETIREMENT SPECIALIST, LEVEL 2 | 60 | 55 |
| C2050S | RETIREMENT SPECIALIST, SUPERVISOR | 65 | 60 |
| Q3031S | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS SUPV | 96 | 75 |
| Q3031A | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS-A | 95 | 70 |
| Q3031B | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS-B | 85 | 60 |
| Q30310 | SECURITIES, COMMODITIES, \& FIN SRVS-O | 90 | 65 |
| M9032S | SECURITY GUARD SUPV | 50 | 40 |
| M9032A | SECURITY GUARD-A | 45 | 35 |
| M9032B | SECURITY GUARD-B | 35 | 25 |
| M90320 | SECURITY GUARD-O | 40 | 30 |
| 13025 | SIGNED LANGUAGE INTERPRETER | 75 | 70 |
| E40495 | SPACEPORT AEROSPACE ENGINEER | 90 | 75 |
| Q40401 | SPACEPORT AMERICA SALES AGENT | 80 | 65 |
| W20495 | SPACEPORT FLIGHT CONTROL SPECIALIST | 80 | 65 |
| X40495 | SPACEPORT OPERATIONS MANAGER | 85 | 80 |
| K1127A | SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST-A | 75 | 65 |
| K1127B | SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST-B | 65 | 55 |
| K11270 | SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST-O | 70 | 60 |
| X30100 | STAFF - DENTAL | 95 | 75 |
| X30125 | STAFF - ECONOMICS | 80 | 75 |
| X30200 | STAFF - ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE | 80 | 75 |
| X30250 | STAFF - FORENSIC SCIENCE | 85 | 75 |
| X30300 | STAFF - HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION | 90 | 75 |
| X30700 | STAFF - MTD/SID | 85 | 75 |
| X30400 | STAFF - NURSING | 85 | 75 |
| X30450 | STAFF - NUTRITION/DIETITIAN | 80 | 75 |
| X30500 | STAFF - OT/PT/SLP | 90 | 75 |
| X30550 | STAFF - PHARMACY | 95 | 75 |
| X30650 | STAFF - PHYSICIAN | 98 | 75 |
| X30600 | STAFF - PSYCHIATRY | 98 | 75 |
| C20123 | STATE AUDIT AUDITOR COORDINATOR | 85 | 75 |
| C20121 | STATE AUDITOR I | 65 | 60 |
| C20122 | STATE AUDITOR II | 70 | 65 |
| X70250 | STATE SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY DIRECTOR | 96 | 90 |
| M33011 | STIU INVESTIGATOR | 70 | 65 |
| C20131 | TAX AUDITOR I | 60 | 55 |
| C20132 | TAX AUDITOR II | 65 | 60 |


| Job Code | Classification Title | Pay Band | Reverts to Pay Band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C20133 | TAX AUDITOR III | 70 | 65 |
| C20134 | TAX AUDITOR IV | 75 | 70 |
| C2013S | TAX AUDITOR SUPV | 80 | 75 |
| K1131A | VETERINARIAN-A | 85 | 80 |
| K1131B | VETERINARIAN-B | 75 | 70 |
| $K 11310$ | VETERINARIAN-O | 80 | 75 |
| B2033 | WILDFIRE PREVENTION \& CONSERVATION COORD | 70 | 65 |
| C10321 | WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADJUSTER I | 70 | 60 |
| C10322 | WORKERS' COMPENSATION ADJUSTER II | 75 | 65 |

## Appendix G - New Structure General Classification Framework

| Architecture, Engineering, and Surveying |
| :---: |
| - Architecture |
| - Engineering |
| - Engineering, Geological and Surveying Technical |
| - Surveying |
| Business and Financial Occupations |
| - Accounting |
| - Actuary |
| - Auditing |
| - Budget |
| - Business Operations |
| - Claims |
| - Compliance |
| - Economic Development |
| - Finance |
| - Process Analysis |
| - Public Relations |
| - Purchasing |
| - Real Estate Appraisal and Assessment |
| - Statistics |
| - Tax |
| Community and Social Services |
| - Child Protective Services |
| - Clergy |
| - Eligibility Determination |
| - Health Education |
| - Health Services Quality Assurance |
| - Social and Community Services |
| - Social Work |
| Education and Training |
| - Criminal Justice and Law Enforcement Education |
| - Education Administration |
| - Instructional Coordination |
| - Non-Vocational Education and Training |
| Cultural Affairs |
| - Conservation |
| - Curator |
| - Exhibition |
| - History |
| - Libraries |
| - Media |
| Grounds Keeping and Cleaning |
| - Grounds keeping |


| - Janitorial |
| :---: |
| Healthcare |
| - Dentistry |
| - Dietetics and Nutrition |
| - Mid-level Practitioners |
| - Nursing |
| - Patient Care Services |
| - Pharmacy |
| - Physicians |
| - Veterinarians |
| - Dental Assistant |
| - Health and Safety |
| - Health Aide, Therapist |
| - Medical Records and Health Information |
| - Health Technologist/Technician |
| - Nursing Support |
| Information Technology |
| - IT Applications Development |
| - IT Project Management |
| - IT Data Base Administration |
| - IT Systems Administration |
| - IT End User Support |
| - IT Security and Compliance |
| - IT Quality Assurance |
| - IT Network Administration |
| - IT Architecture and Design |
| - IT CIO / Management |
| Skilled Trades and Labor |
| - Barbers |
| - Building Inspection |
| - Construction |
| - Electricians |
| - Farming |
| - Food Preparation |
| - Heavy Equipment, Aircraft and General Mechanics |
| - Heavy Equipment Operation |
| - Inspection, Testing, Sorting |
| - Laundry Services |
| - Plant and Systems Operations |
| - Plumbing |
| - Skilled Production and Manufacturing |
| -Woodworking |
| Legal |
| - Administrative Law and Hearings Services |
| - Arbitration, Mediation and Conciliatory Services |
| - Lawyer |


| - | Legal Support |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | Paralegal |
| - | Public Defense |
| - | Title Examination, Abstraction and Investigation |
| Office and Admini | rative Support |
| - | Customer Service |
| - | Office and Administrative Support |
| - | State Government Interns and Aides |
| - | Secretary |
| - | Storekeeping |
| Corrections |  |
| - | Correctional Officers |
| - | Correctional Officer Specialists |
| - | Correctional Managers |
| Public Safety and | ecurity |
| - | Detective and Criminal Investigations |
| - | Dispatch |
| - | Emergency Management |
| - | Fire Inspection and Investigation |
| - | Game and Fish Wardens |
| - | Forensic Science |
| - | Homeland Security |
| - | Livestock Inspection |
| - | Police and Sheriff |
| - | Probation |
| - | Recreational Protective Services |
| - | Security |
| - | Transportation Inspection |
| Human Resources |  |
| - | Classification \& Compensation |
| - | HR Process Analyst |
| - | Labor Relations |
| - | Recruitment |
| - | Training |
| Transportation an | Materials Moving |
| - | Flight Control |
| - | Highway Maintenance |
| - | School Bus Transportation |
| - | Traffic Technician |
| - | Transportation Inspection |
| - | Airplane Pilot |
| Life and Physical Sciences and Technical |  |
| - | Anthropology and Archeology |
| - | Chemistry |
| - | Economics |
| - | Environmental Science |


|  | • Epidemiology |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet$ | Geology |
| • Healthcare Surveyor |  |
| • Microbiology |  |
| • Natural Sciences |  |
| • | Petroleum Specialist |
| • | Physical Science |
| • Zoologist and Wildlife Biology |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ NMAC 1.7.4.8(E).
    ${ }^{2}$ NMSA 1978 § 10-9-2.

[^1]:    3 "Compa-ratio" means pay expressed as a percentage of the midpoint of a pay band. NMAC 1.7.1.7(I).

[^2]:    *Full cost includes state paid benefits.

