
2019 and 2020





New Mexico Tax Expenditure Report



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Secretary's Message	15
Tax Expenditure Report Message - Reporting Given the COVID-19 Pandemic	16
Brief Summary of New Mexico's Major Taxes	17
Determining Tax Expenditures	26
Types of Tax Expenditures	28
Notes about the Data	29
Categorizing Expenditures	31
State and Local Tax Expenditure Incidence	34
Advanced Energy GRT and Compensating Tax Deduction	36
Advanced Energy Credit against GRT, Compensating Tax, Withholding Tax, PIT, or CIT	37
Affordable Housing Credit against Modified Combined Tax, PIT, or CIT	39
Agricultural Biomass Credit against PIT and CIT	41
Agricultural Water Conservation Expenses Credit against PIT and CIT	43
Aircraft Sales or Services GRT Deduction	45
Alternative Energy Product Manufacturers Credit Against Modified Combined Tax	46
Angel Investment Credit against PIT	48
Apportionment Election of CIT For Headquarters	50
Apportionment Election of CIT for Manufacturers	51
Armed Forces Salaries Exemption from PIT	53
Back-to-School Tax-Free Weekend GRT Deduction	54
Biodiesel Blending Facility Tax Thirty Percent Credit Against GRT and Compensating Tax	55
Biomass-Related Equipment and Biomass Materials Deduction from Compensating Tax	56
Blended Biodiesel Fuel Credit against PIT and CIT	58
Border-Zone Trade-Support Company GRT Deduction	59

Buses Operated by Religious and Nonprofit Charitable Organizations Exemption from Weight Dista Tax	
Buses Used for Transportation of Agricultural Laborers Exemption from Weight Distance Tax	62
Business Facility Rehabilitation Credit against PIT and CIT	63
Capital Gain Deduction from PIT	64
Certain Disabled Military Veteran Exemption from Motor Vehicle Excise Tax	66
Child Care to Prevent Indigency Credit against PIT	67
Coal Exemption from Severance Surtax	68
Commercial Motor Carrier Vehicles Operating Exclusively within 10 Miles of Mexico Border Exemporation Trip Tax and Weight Distance Tax	
Construction Equipment and Materials Used in the Construction of Sole Community Provider Hospitals GRT Deduction	71
Construction of Sole Community Provider Hospitals GRT Deduction	72
Contributions of Inventory to Nonprofit Organizations or Governmental Agencies Deduction from	
Compensating Tax	73
Conveyance of Land for Conservation or Preservation Fifty Percent Credit against PIT and CIT	74
Corporate-Supported Child Care Credit against CIT	76
Disabled Person Exemption from MOTOR VEHICLE EXCISE TAX	77
Disabled Street Vendors Exemption from GRT	78
DOH-Licensed Hospitals Credit against GRT	79
DOH-Licensed Hospitals Sixty Percent GRT and GGRT Deduction	80
Durable Medical Equipment GRT and GGRT Deduction	81
Education Trust Fund Payment Deduction from PIT	82
Electric Transmission and Storage Facilities GRT and Compensating Tax Deduction	83
Electric Transmission and Storage Facilities Services GRT Deduction	84
Electricity Conversion GRT Deduction	85
Electricity Exchange GRT Deduction	86

Electronic ID Reader Credit against PIT and CIT	87
Excess of Elderly Taxpayers Maximum Property Tax Liability Rebate from PIT	88
Fees from Social Organizations Exemption from GRT	89
Film and Television Credit against PIT and CIT	90
Foster Youth Employment Credit against PIT and CIT	93
Fuel for Space Vehicles Exemption from GRT and Compensating Tax	95
Future Distribution to a Nonresident Beneficiary Deduction from PIT	96
Geothermal Ground-Coupled Heat Pump Credit against PIT and CIT	97
Goods and Services for the DOD Related to Directed Energy and Satellites GRT Deduction	98
Health Care Practitioner Services GRT Deduction and Hold Harmless Distribution	100
Hearing and Vision Aids GRT Deduction	102
High-Wage Jobs Credit against Modified Combined Tax	104
Hosting World Wide Web Sites GRT Deduction	106
Hybrid Vehicle Exemption from MVX	107
Investment Credit against GRT, Compensating Tax, or Withholding Tax	108
Investment Management or Advisory Services GRT Deduction	109
Jet Fuel Fifty-Five Percent GRT and Compensating Tax Deduction	110
Job Mentorship Credit against PIT and CIT	112
Laboratory Partnership with Small Business Credit against GRT	113
Loan-Related Costs GRT Deduction	114
Locomotive Engine Fuel GRT and Compensating Tax Deduction	115
Lottery Retailer Receipts GRT Deduction	117
Low-Income Comprehensive Tax Rebate and Property Tax Rebate against PIT	118
Low- and Middle-Income Taxpayers Exemption from PIT	120
Medical and Health Care Services GRT Deduction	121
Medical Care Savings Account Exemption from PIT	123

Microbrewer Beer and Small Wineries Rate Differential from Liquor Excise Tax	124
Military Construction Services GRT Deduction	126
Military Transformational Acquisition Programs GRT Deduction	127
Natural Gas Exemption from Oil and Gas Severance Tax	128
Natural Gas Rate Differential from Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax	129
Natural Gas Rate Differential from Oil and Gas Severance Tax	130
New Mexico National Guard Member Premiums Paid for Group Life Insurance Exemption from PI	T 131
Newspaper Sales GRT Deduction	132
Nonathletic Special Event at New Mexico State University GRT Deduction	133
Nonprofit Elderly Care Facility Exemption from GRT	134
Nonprofit Organizations Exemption from GRT AND Compensating Tax	136
Nonprofit Organizations Fundraisers GRT Deduction	138
Officiating at New Mexico Activities Association Events Exemption from GRT	140
Oil and Other Liquid Hydrocarbons Exemption from Oil and Gas Severance Tax	141
Oil and Other Liquid Hydrocarbons Rate Differential from Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax	142
Oil and Other Liquid Hydrocarbons Rate Differential from Oil and Gas Severance Tax Rate	143
Organ Donation Deduction from PIT	144
Penalty Pursuant to Section 7-1-71.2 NMSA 1978 Credit against GRT, Compensating Tax and	
Withholding Tax	
Persons One Hundred and Older Exemption from PIT	146
Persons Sixty-Five and Older or Blind Exemption from PIT and Comprehensive Tax Rebate	
Physician Participating in Cancer Treatment Clinical Trials Credit against PIT	149
Prescription Drugs and Oxygen GRT and GGRT Deduction	150
Preservation of Cultural Property Credit against PIT and CIT	
Production or Staging of Professional Contests GRT Deduction	
Publication Sales GRT Deduction	154
Purses and Jockey Renumeration at New Mexico Racetracks Exemption from GRT	155

Railroad Equipment, Aircraft, and Space Vehicles Exemption from Compensating Tax	156
Real Estate Transactions GRT Deduction	157
Renewable Energy Production Credit against PIT and CIT	158
Research and Development Small Business Credit against GRT or Fifty Percent Credit against Withholding Tax	160
Rural Health Care Practitioner Credit against PIT	161
Rural Job Credit against Modified Combined Tax, PIT, and CIT	163
Sale and Use of Agricultural Implements, Farm Tractors, Aircraft, and Motor Vehicles that Don't Hoto be Registered GRT and Compensating Tax Deduction	
Sale of Certain Services to an Out-of-State Buyer GRT Deduction	166
Sale of Food at Retail Food Stores GRT Deduction and Hold Harmless Distribution	167
Sale of Software Development Services GRT Deduction	169
Sale of Textbooks in Certain Bookstores Exemption from GRT	170
Sales to Nonprofit Organizations GRT, GGRT, and Compensating Tax Deduction	172
Sales to Qualified Film Production Company GRT and GGRT Deduction	173
Sales to State-Chartered Credit Unions GRT and GGRT Deduction	174
Small Business Saturday Thanksgiving Weekend GRT Deduction	175
Solar Energy Systems GRT Deduction	176
Solar Market Development Credit against PIT	177
Spaceport-Related Activities GRT Deduction	178
Space-Related Test Articles Deduction from Compensating Tax	179
Special Needs Adopted Child Credit against PIT	180
Sustainable and New Sustainable Building Credit against PIT and CIT	181
Tax Stamps Rate Differential in Cigarette Tax	183
Technology Jobs and Research and Development Credit against GRT, Compensating Tax, Withhol Tax, PIT, or CIT	
Test Articles Deduction from Compensating Tax	186

	TIDD – Tax Increment for Development District Dedicated Grt Increments	187
	Uranium Enrichment Plant Equipment Deduction from Compensating Tax	188
	Uranium Hexafluoride and Uranium Enrichment GRT Deduction	189
	Unreimbursed or Uncompensated Medical Care Expenses Credit From PIT	190
	Unreimbursed or Uncompensated Medical Care Expenses Deduction From PIT	191
	Unreimbursed or Uncompensated Medical Care Expenses Exemption From PIT	192
	Vehicles Titled before July 1, 1991 Exemption from LVGRT	193
	Venture Capital Investment Credit against PIT	194
	Veteran Employment Credit against PIT and CIT	195
	Welfare-to-Work Credit against PIT and CIT	196
	Wind and Solar Generation Equipment GRT Deduction	197
	Working Families Credit against PIT	198
V	ot Tax Expenditures	199
	Accredited Diplomats and Missions GRT Deduction	200
	Acquisition of Vehicle for Subsequent Lease Exemption from MVX	200
	Acquisition of Vehicle More than 30 Days Before Moving to NM Exemption from MVX	201
	Athletic Facility Surcharge Exemption from GRT and GGRT	201
	Bad Debts Deduction from ITGRT	202
	Biodiesel for Subsequent Blending or Resale by a Rack Operator Deduction from Petroleum Produ Loading Fee	
	Boats Exemption from GRT and Compensating Tax	203
	Business Located on Land Owned by the Municipality but Outside the Municipal Boundaries Exemption from Supplemental Municipal GRT and Municipal Local Option GRT	203
	Certain Retail Sales of Gasoline on an Indian Reservation, Pueblo Grant or Trust Land Deduction fr Gasoline Tax	
	Charitable Organizations Exemption from PIT	204
	Chemicals and Reagents GRT Deduction	205

Construction Material GRT Deduction	206
Construction Services and Construction-Related Service GRT Deduction	207
Dependent Deduction from PIT for Certain Dependents	208
Dividends and Interest Exemption from GRT	208
Dyed Gasoline Used for Off-Road Transportation Deduction from Gasoline Tax	209
Dyed Special Fuel Deduction from Special Fuel Excise Tax	209
Event Center Surcharge Exemption from GRT and GGRT	210
Exemptions from the Insurance Premium Tax	211
Films and Tapes GRT Deduction	211
Food Stamps/SNAP Exemption from GRT	212
Fuel Exemption from GRT and Compensating Tax	213
Gasoline or Special Fuels Returned to the Refiner as Uncollectible Deduction from Petroleum Loading Fee	
Income Allocation and Apportionment Credit against CIT	214
Indians Exemption from PIT	214
Insurance Companies Exemption from CIT	215
Intergovernmental Coal Severance Credit against Coal Severance Tax	215
Intergovernmental Credit against CIT	216
Intergovernmental Production and Intergovernmental Production Equipment Credit against Gas Severance Tax, Oil and Gas Conservation tax, Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax, and Oil Ad Valorem Production Tax	and Gas
Internet Services GRT Deduction	218
Interstate Sales of Spirtuous Liquors, Beer, & Wine and Winegrower-to-Winegrower Transfel Deduction from Liquor Excise Tax	
Interstate Sales of Tobacco Deduction against Tobacco Products Tax	219
Interstate Telecommunications Services Exemption from GRT	219
Interstate Commerce Transactions Deduction from LVGRT	219

Jewelry Manufacturing GRT Deduction	220
Jicarilla Apache Tribal Capital Improvements Tax Credit against Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax	x.220
Large Health Care Facility Exemption from Health Care Quality Surcharge	221
Lease for Subsequent Lease GRT Deduction	221
Lease of Construction Equipment GRT Deduction	222
Marketplace Seller Deduction from Gross Receipts and Governmental Gross Receipts Tax	222
Medical insurance pool assessments credit against insurance premium tax	223
Natural Gas Already Taxed Exemption from Natural Gas Processors Tax	223
Natural Resources Subject to Resources Excise Tax Exemption from GRT	223
"Net Income" Exemptions from PIT	224
Nonprofit Hospital Exemption from local gross receipts tax	224
Nonresident Exemption from Estate Tax	224
Occasional Sale of Property or Services Exemption from GRT	225
Oil and Gas or Mineral Interests Exemption from GRT	225
One-Way Haul and Empty Travel Rate Differential from WDT	225
Personal Effects Exemption from Compensating Tax	226
Petroleum Products Exported for Resale Exemption from Petroleum Products Loading Fee	226
Processors Tax Paid on Natural Resources Exemption from Resources Tax	226
Products already taxed Exemption from Oil and Gas ad valorem production Tax	227
Products already taxed Exemption from Oil and Gas conservation Tax	227
Products already taxed Exemption from Oil and Gas emergency school Tax	227
Products already taxed Exemption from oil and gas severance tax	228
Products Subject to Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax Exemption from GRT	228
Purchase for Resale Exemption from Local Liquor Excise Tax	228
Purchase of Undyed Gasoline for Certain Off-Road Use Refund of Gasoline Tax	229
Purchases by or on Behalf of the State GRT Deduction	229

Refiners and Persons Subject to Natural Gas Processors Tax Exemption from GRT and Compensat Tax	_
Religious Activities Exemption from GRT	
·	
Resale Activities of an Armed Forces Instrumentality Exemption from GRT and Compensating Tax	231
Returned or Destroyed Tobacco Products Refund or Credit against Tobacco Products Tax	231
Sale of a Service for Resale GRT and GGRT Deduction	231
Sale of a Service for Resale Deduction from ITGRT	232
Sale of Aerospace Services for the U.S. Air Force GRT Deduction	232
Sale of Gasoline at Retail by a Registered Indian Tribal Distributor Deduction from Gasoline Tax \dots	233
Sale of Gasoline by a Registered Indian Tribal Distributor from a Non-Mobile Storage Container Deduction from Gasoline Tax	234
Sale of Tangible Personal Property for Leasing GRT Deduction	234
Sale of Tangible Personal Property for Resale GRT AND GGRT Deduction	235
Sales Through World Wide Web Sites GRT Deduction	235
Sales to Manufacturers GRT and GGRT Deduction	236
School Bus Deduction from Special Fuel Excise Tax	236
School Bus Exemption from WDT	237
Services on Manufactured Products GRT Deduction	237
Services Performed Outside the State Credit against ITGRT	237
Stock Bonus, Pension, and Profit-Sharing Trusts Exemption from PIT and CIT	238
Tax Paid by Out-of-State Terminal Deduction from Gasoline Tax and Special Fuel Excise Tax	238
Stadium Surcharge Exemption from GRT and GGRT	239
Tax Paid in Another State for Property and Services Credit against GRT and Compensating Tax	239
Tax Paid on Alcoholic Beverages Destroyed in Shipment, Spoiled, or Otherwise Damaged Refund of Credit against Liquor Excise Tax and Local Liquor Excise Tax	
Tax Paid on Gasoline or Special Fuel Destroyed by Fire, Accident or Acts of God Before Retail Sale Refund of or Credit against Gasoline Tax and Special Fuel Excise Tax	

	Tax Paid on Special Fuel in Certain Circumstances Refund of Special Fuel Excise Tax	241
	Tax Paid to Another State Credit against MVX	241
	Tax Paid to Another State Deduction from Gasoline Tax and Special Fuel Excise Tax	242
	Tax Paid to Another State Credit against Estate Tax	243
	Tax Paid to Navajo Nation for Selling Coal Seventy-Five Percent Credit against GRT	243
	Tax Paid to New Mexico Tribes Exemption from ITGRT	244
	Tax Paid to New Mexico Tribes Seventy-Five Percent Credit against GRT	244
	Taxes Paid to Other States Credit against PIT	245
	Telecommunication Providers Deduction from ITGRT	245
	Trade-In Allowance GRT, MVX, and Deductions	246
	Transactions in Interstate Commerce GRT and GGRT Deduction	246
	Transactions in Intrastate Transportation and Services in Interstate Commerce GRT Deduction	247
	Transportation From Within the Municipality to Outside the Municipality Exemption from Local Option GRT	248
	Uncollectible Debts GRT and GGRT Deduction	248
	Unpaid Charges for Hospital Services Credit against GRT	249
	Use of Tangible Personal Property for Leasing Deduction from Compensating Tax	249
	Vegetable Oil or Animal Fat biodiesel Deduction from Special Fuel Excise Tax	250
	Vehicle that is Owned by the State of New Mexico Exemption from MVX	250
	Vehicle that was Previously Registered in New Mexico Exemption from MVX	250
	Vehicles Exemptions from GRT and Compensating Tax	251
	Vehicles Used for Short-Term Leasing Credit against MVX	251
	Vehicles Used in Interstate Commerce GRT Deduction	252
	Wages Exemption from GRT	252
	Warranty Obligations GRT Deduction	252
Α	rguable	253
	Administrative and Accounting Services GRT Deduction	254

	Agricultural Products Exemption from GRT and GGRT	254
	Certain Commissions GRT Deduction	255
	Certain Sales for Resale Ten Percent Credit against GRT and GGRT	255
	Feed and Fertilizer & Auctioneers Selling Livestock and Agricultural Products at Auction GRT Deduction	256
	Gross Amounts Wagered Exemption from GRT	256
	Insurance Companies Exemption from GRT	257
	Livestock Feeding Exemption from GRT	257
	Petroleum Products Sold to the Federal Government Exemption from Petroleum Products Loading	_
	Pipeline Transportation of Oil and Gas Products Exemption from GRT and Compensating Tax	258
	Processing Agricultural Products GRT Deduction	258
	Receipts of Homeowners Associations Exemption from GRT	258
	Resale of Certain Manufactured Homes GRT Deduction	259
	Sale by or to U.S. Armed Forces Exemption from Liquor Excise Tax and Local Liquor Excise Tax	259
	Sale of Prosthetic Devices GRT and GGRT Deduction	260
	Sale or Lease of Real Property & Lease of Manufactured Homes GRT Deduction	260
	Sales to Federal Government, State of New Mexico, or New Mexico Tribes Exemption from Cigare Tax and Tobacco Products Tax	
	Sales to Governmental Agencies GRT and GGRT Deduction	261
	Tax Paid on Special Fuel Used Credit against Special Fuel Excise Tax	262
	Travel Agents' Commissions GRT Deduction	262
	Use of Electricity Exemption from Compensating Tax	262
	Veterinary Medical for Treatment of Cattle GRT Deduction	263
	Wide-Area Telephone and Private Communications Service Deduction from ITGRT	263
Α	ppendix A: Table of Expenditures by Category	264
Α	ppendix B: Frequently Used Acronyms	269

Appendix C: Principles of Good Tax Policy	271
Index of Statutes	273

SECRETARY'S MESSAGE

This Tax Expenditure Report is an important transparency tool that allows State policymakers, advocacy groups, and the public an opportunity to evaluate the State's tax code in a way that would not otherwise be possible. The State's operating budget provides detailed information about how the State's revenues are expended on various competing priorities. However, without this Tax Expenditure Report, New Mexico would lack the ability to evaluate the implications of the revenue that the State foregoes through myriad tax credits, deductions, exemptions, preferential tax rates, and other tax expenditures. Just as the State's appropriations are evaluated and reprioritized annually, tax expenditures should be periodically reviewed to ensure they are achieving their intended impacts at an appropriate cost to the public.

This report contains two years of previously unpublished data, and as such it serves as both the 2019 and 2020 Tax Expenditure Report.

TRD is fortunate to have a team of talented economists and staff who dedicated many hours throughout the year researching and evaluating the tax expenditures catalogued in this report. The primary contributors to this report are Chief Economist Lucinda Sydow, Senior Economist Robert McGrail, and Senior Economist Efraín Ibarra. An undertaking of this magnitude would not be possible without the professional skills and diligence of this group. Nor would it be possible without the cooperation of other State agencies that play a role in administering many of the tax incentives New Mexico uses to encourage beneficial social and economic activity. We are grateful for their assistance.

Stephanie Schardin Clarke Secretary of Taxation and Revenue State of New Mexico

TAX EXPENDITURE REPORT MESSAGE - REPORTING GIVEN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

In reviewing the expenditures reported for tax year 2019 or fiscal year 2020, the reader should bear in mind that expenditure amounts will reflect impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, which began in the spring of 2020. To address the public health crisis and ease the hardship taxpayers were facing, policy initiatives were issued in the form of Governor's executive orders, legislation passed during the 2020 special legislative session, federal relief funding, and IRS actions impacting the New Mexico tax code. The economic impacts and associated relief measures all interacted to alter taxpayer behavior and filing actions.

The New Mexico Personal Income Tax and Corporate Income Tax predominantly conform to federal income tax law. Thus, when IRS extended its filing deadline for Tax Year 2019 from April 15, 2020 to July 15, 2020, New Mexico filing deadlines were also extended.

During the 2020 special legislative session, House Bill 6 waived penalty and interest for late tax payments under certain tax programs and pertaining to specific filing periods if payments are received by various dates in 2021, depending on the tax program. These tax programs include the Income Tax Act, Corporate Income and Franchise Tax Act, Withholding Tax Act, Gross Receipts Tax, and property taxes. In addition, liabilities covered under managed audits were also impacted.

Finally, tax programs that report in real time to current economic conditions, such as Gross Receipts Tax, will reflect financial variations for those taxpayers whose revenue is impacted by changing consumption patterns and supply and demand changes in the economy. Tax expenditures tied to economic activity will show deviations from historical trends. During the pandemic, various industries have experienced changes to their demand for goods and services.

Notably, the food deduction and related hold harmless payments experienced significant growth in Fiscal Year 2020, as taxpayers shifted purchases from restaurants to at home dining. Medical deductions and the related hold harmless payments were also impacted, as consumers delayed non-emergency medical procedures and routine checkups as a result of the pandemic, resulting in lower than trend growth for those expenditures.

The extent to which economic impacts and federal and state actions will alter the timing of filing returns and expenditure levels will not be fully understood until all returns are completed. Thus, in reviewing the most recent reported expenditure impacts, the reader should place them in this larger context.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF NEW MEXICO'S MAJOR TAXES

PERSONAL INCOME TAX

Like several other states, New Mexico bases its Income Tax (referred to herein as "Personal Income Tax" or "PIT") on the federal definition of taxable income.¹ This approach is simpler than that of some other states, which substitute their own definitions for elements of taxable income that differ from federal definitions. While this approach simplifies compliance for taxpayers, it also means that New Mexico "piggybacks" on the many exclusions from taxable income provided by federal law. Because these policies are common practice among the states and because they are applied broadly to all taxpayers who qualify, they are considered as language that simply defines the tax base and are not treated as tax expenditures in this report.

New Mexico PIT tax rates for tax years 2018 and 2019² are shown in the following table. Although New Mexico's rates are generally in line with those imposed by other states, the structure of the tax brackets varies significantly across states. Given the lack of a standard definition of the tax bracket structure, this report does not treat the lower rates imposed on low-income brackets as a tax expenditure.

Married Filing Separately	Head of Household, Surviving Spouses, and Married Filing Jointly	Individuals, Estates, and Trusts	Rate
Up to \$4,000	Up to \$8,000	Up to \$5,500	1.7%
Over \$4,000 to \$8,000	Over \$8,000 to \$16,000	Over \$5,500 to \$11,000	3.2%
Over \$8,000 to \$12,000	Over \$16,000 to \$24,000	Over \$11,000 to \$16,000	4.7%
Over \$12,000	Over \$24,000	Over \$16,000	4.9%

New Mexico law provides several special tax preferences that are reported on various forms as supplements to the annual PIT return. A number of these are reported on as tax expenditures in this report.

CORPORATE INCOME TAX

New Mexico's corporate income tax ("CIT") is also based on the federal corporate income tax with the same corresponding administrative benefits for taxpayers and the State in its administration.

Section 7-2A-2(C) NMSA 1978 defines "base income" as that part of the taxpayer's taxable income upon which the federal income tax is calculated, excluding the amount of the net operating loss

¹ For reference, a list of commonly used acronyms is provided in Appendix C.

² PIT data from both tax years 2018 and 2019 and CIT data from tax year 2018 is the most complete dataset available at the time of publication of this report given caveats. The current PIT rates have been in effect since 2008.

carryover deduction and including interest received on state or local bonds³. The statute then defines "net income" as the base income of a corporation properly filing a tax return as a separate entity: or the combined base income and losses of corporations that are part of a filing group that is computed after eliminating intercompany income and expense in a manner consistent with the consolidated filing requirements of the Internal Revenue Code and the Corporate Income and Franchise Tax Act⁴.

Thus, "net income" is defined as the tax base for CIT purposes in New Mexico, and, by application of the income-graduated percentage tax rate, "baseline tax revenue" is defined.

The following table shows the CIT rates from 1987 through full implementation of HB641 (2013) in 2018:

	1987- 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Up to \$500,000	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%
\$500,000.01 to \$1,000,000	6.4%	6.4%	6.4%	6.4%	6.2%	5.9%
Over \$1,000,000	7.6%	7.3%	6.9%	6.6%	6.2%	5.9%

The 2013 Legislative Session produced two additional CIT changes, including mandatory combined reporting for certain retailers and single-sales factor apportionment election for manufacturers. The 2015 Legislative Session added the single-sales factor apportionment election for headquarters. Effective beginning January 1, 2020, the 2019 Legislative Session revised the requirements for corporations to file a consolidated return and requires corporations that file as a unitary group to have combined reporting unless they properly elect to report and pay tax as a water's-edge or consolidated. The 2020 Special Legislative Session provided a temporary provision to waive penalties and interest for corporate income tax liability for taxes due between April 15, 2020 and July 15, 2020. These and a significant number of other incentives in the CIT code are discussed further in this report.

GROSS RECEIPTS TAX

The Gross Receipts Tax (GRT) provides about 40 percent of State General Fund revenue and about 50 percent of local government funds. The State GRT tax rate of 5.125% plus various local option taxes are imposed on sellers' gross receipts received from engaging in business in the state. "Gross receipts" is the total amount of money or other consideration generated "from selling property in New Mexico, from leasing or licensing property employed in New Mexico, from granting a right to use a franchise employed in New Mexico, from selling services performed outside of New Mexico, the product of which is initially used in New Mexico, or from performing services in New Mexico".

The baseline definition of the tax base for the GRT has the appearance of a broad-based business activity tax (BAT) like the BAT taxes imposed in Washington and Ohio. For example, the GRT is

2019 and 2020 New Mexico Tax Expenditure Report

³ PIT data from both tax years 2018 and 2019 and CIT data from tax year 2018 is the most complete dataset available at the time of publication of this report given caveats. The current PIT rates have been in effect since 2008.

³ Section 7-2A-2(C) NMSA 1978.

⁴ Section 7-2A-2(H) NMSA 1978, effective January 1, 2020 – Section 7-2A-2(M) NMSA 1978.

⁵ Section 7-9-3.5(A)(1) NMSA 1978.

imposed on sellers for the privilege of engaging in business in the state,⁶ and it applies to "any activity with the purpose of direct or indirect benefit."⁷ This is a far more comprehensive tax base than the typical retail sales tax imposed by most states. It is also more comprehensive than a value added tax (VAT) base, an alternative form of consumption taxation adopted in many other countries. However, unlike the BAT taxes of other states, the GRT base is reduced by many exemptions and deductions. These provisions are intended to achieve a variety of public policy purposes, as discussed further in this report. Many of them are necessary to prevent multiple taxation of transactions, either within the GRT or between the GRT and other tax programs, and the associated economic distortion that would cause. Examples include the exemption for wages, dividends, and interest, all of which are taxed under the income tax. Also exempt are various transactions which are taxed under separate excise taxes, including motor fuels, motor vehicles, etc. The cumulative effect of these exclusions from the GRT base makes the GRT function more like a broad-based consumption tax than a BAT.⁸

For purposes of identifying GRT tax expenditures, which are defined by reference to a standard or "normal" set of provisions, this report compares the GRT with a broad-based consumption tax like a VAT. Thus, the exemptions and deductions that would be allowed in a VAT are generally not treated as tax expenditures in this report but instead are simply considered to be defining the tax base. Examples of defining the tax base include "anti-pyramiding" provisions like the deduction for the sale of property for resale. This report does not attempt to describe what might be termed "negative tax expenditures," i.e. imposition of tax on transactions that would not be taxed under the normative tax system. The GRT contains several such provisions, and has therefore been characterized as imposing a heavier-than-normal rate of tax on business inputs. This practice creates economic distortion because, for example, small businesses must purchase more of the goods and services they need from outside companies than do large businesses; the GRT on those inputs creates a discriminatory burden on them relative to larger businesses. Deductions, like the deduction for the sale of goods for re-sale, reduce this burden and ensure that the tax policy principles of Horizontal Equity and Efficiency are met.

GRT tax expenditures in this report are calculated using an average gross receipts tax rate. The average GRT rate in fiscal year 2019 of 7.03%, and 6.97% in fiscal year 2020, was calculated using the gross tax amount divided by the amount of matched taxable gross receipts. Data is drawn from the Taxation and Revenue Department's RP-500 report. Expenditures that have a local government impact are estimated based on the fiscal year average of the split between local government and state revenues.

The RP-500 is a monthly report that details the distributions made to each municipality, county and political subdivision for the month in which the business activity took place. The RP-500 report breaks down the distributions into either the current activity month or all other months, which can result from late tax reporting or amended tax returns. As an example, if Taxpayer A amends returns for six months in the reporting month of September, the amended return will affect the distribution made in October and would be reported under "Other Months" in the RP-500 report.

⁶ Section 7-9-2 NMSA 1978.

⁷ Section 7-9-3.3 NMSA 1978.

⁸ The rate of GRT tax also distinguishes it from other states' BAT's. The latter are typically imposed at much lower rates of 1% to 2% as opposed to the GRT's average rate of over 7%, which is comparable to average sales tax rates in other states.

⁹ For example, see Council on State Taxation, "The Best and Worst of State Sales Tax Systems."

The RP-500 is not updated with the effects of taxpayer amendments on older returns. Instead, they are aggregated into the "Other Months" category.

The RP-500 also contains summarized information from Combined Reporting System (CRS) returns by major industry group¹⁰. Although a valuable resource on industry contributions to the tax base, users of this information should be aware that it provides only an approximate measure of the types of economic activity in the tax base. For example, a taxpayer may report that they are primarily a Retail enterprise, but they may carry on a variety of commercial activities such as Real Estate management, Wholesale Trade, Transportation and Warehousing, etc. Receipts from their various activities that are sourced to the same location will generally be combined and reported under their primary industry code.

As discussed further below, the GRT tax base, referred to as "taxable gross receipts" or "TGR", is determined by subtracting from gross receipts any receipts that are eligible for either an exemption or a deduction. As a rule, taxpayers do not separately state the amount of receipts that are exempt or deductible pursuant to each provision of the tax code. Rather, most exemptions and deductions are lumped together. Although this reduces transparency, maintaining a relatively simple tax return minimizes taxpayer burden, increases taxpayer voluntary compliance, and increases Department administrative efficiency.

Although it limits the Department's ability to report on the revenue foregone due to certain deductions and exemptions, the absence of itemized reporting for most exemptions and deductions does not limit the Department's ability to enforce compliance. The Department provides extensive guidance to taxpayers and tax practitioners on the proper means of reporting receipts, exemptions and deductions. Automated processes are used to compare CRS returns with federal tax returns and other data sources to identify potential misreporting. Taxpayers are required upon audit to demonstrate the specific exemptions and deductions they relied upon in determining their taxable gross receipts. Lack of adequate evidence, such as non-taxable transaction certificates (NTTC) or alternative evidence, results in disallowance of the deduction or exemption, with a potential assessment of tax, penalty and interest owed. Thus, although GRT collections rely on self-reporting, the Department expends significant resources to ensure statutory compliance.

Where statute requires separate reporting of certain statutory exemptions and deductions, the Department adds reporting requirements to the CRS return. There are now 21 "special deduction codes" identified in the CRS return instructions. Taxpayers are informed that they must use the special code to claim the relevant deduction. Where not in violation of confidentiality requirements, the data from amounts reported under these codes are presented in this report to estimate fiscal impacts of the associated deductions. However, this information may not measure the total amount being deducted pursuant to the section of law because taxpayers would not be penalized if they elect to aggregate the deducted amounts pursuant to the section with those deducted pursuant to other provisions of law. TRD takes steps to ensure accurate reporting of separately stated deductions, but, due to the lack of a penalty for misreporting, cannot be certain that the amounts shown reflect the total amount deducted pursuant to this statute.

2019 and 2020 New Mexico Tax Expenditure Report

 $^{^{\}rm 10}$ Tax payers self-identify their industry by the appropriate North American Industrial Classification System or "NAICS" Code.

COMPENSATING TAX

In New Mexico, a compensating tax is imposed at a rate of 5.125% on the use of tangible property and 5% on certain services used in New Mexico. This tax is New Mexico's version of a "use" tax, which is the mechanism states use to ensure that purchases from out of state do not compete unfairly with purchases from in state. Unlike the GRT, the legal incidence of the compensating tax is on the purchaser, but the collection obligation for the compensating tax may transfer to the seller if the seller is not subject to GRT and can be required to fulfill this collection obligation under the U.S. Constitution. Typically, the compensating tax is imposed on New Mexico businesses when they purchase from out-of-state vendors. Because of the unusual nature of the GRT, many of the deductions from the compensating tax base can be thought of as simply defining the tax base.

OTHER EXCISE TAXES

As is the case with the GRT and the compensating tax, New Mexico's excise taxes contain several provisions that define the tax base by preventing double taxation or tax pyramiding. Examples include the exemption for sales of cigarettes on tribal lands to tribal members. Other provisions are treated as tax expenditures in this report, including the sale of cigarettes by tribal vendors to non-tribal members. Even though these transactions may be subject to tribal taxes, the rates of tax may be substantially lower than the State's tax rate, effectively creating a tax expenditure.

NATURAL RESOURCE EXTRACTION TAXES

New Mexico imposes a variety of taxes on natural resource extraction and production activities. These taxes are generally imposed on the privilege of severing natural resources and the largest of the deductions associated with these taxes reduce taxable value for royalties paid to federal, Indian, and state owners of the minerals. Because the State is preempted from imposing tax on these entities — or because it wouldn't make sense for the State to tax itself — these are not treated as tax expenditures. Most of the remaining deductions allowed under New Mexico's mineral production taxes, such as processing and transportation deductions, serve to define the tax base because taxable value for the severed products is determined at the extraction location. Because the actual sales of severed materials often occur after the materials have been transported from the extraction site and processed to some degree, these deductions are necessary to determine the value of the product that forms the statutory tax base. Other provisions, aimed at stimulating particular types of production, for example, are treated as tax expenditures.

The tax expenditures for oil and natural gas listed in this report incentivize continued production when prices drop below specific thresholds. Because prices have generally exceeded the threshold values that would trigger incentives, tax expenditures for oil and natural gas remain at zero in this report as they have been for over 10 years.

PROPERTY TAX

New Mexico's property tax code features several limitations and exclusions that reduce the tax burden on various groups of property owners for various reasons. Some of these are broad-based and are not treated as tax expenditures in this report. Examples include the exclusion from tax of personal property and the 3% limit on annual value growth for residential property. Many property tax deductions and limitations are provided for in the state Constitution and therefore are

also not included as tax expenditures. Other property tax provisions that target specific populations are included. Because most property taxes are assessed and collected at the county level, TRD does not maintain data that addresses the impact of property tax expenditures.					

PERSONAL INCOME TAX BY INCOME BRACKET TABLE – TAX YEAR 2018 DATA

All Tax Filers								
Income Bracket	Number of Returns	Percent of Returns	New Mexico Taxable Income	Percent of NM Taxable Income	Estimated Tax on Taxable Income	Percent of Estimated Tax		
No Taxable Income	354,003	33.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$14,886	0.0%		
Under \$25,000	248,968	23.8%	\$2,710,586,952	2.5%	\$70,944,062	4.6%		
\$25,000-\$50,000	155,704	14.9%	\$5,707,832,925	5.2%	\$204,618,254	13.3%		
\$50,000-\$75,000	98,102	9.4%	\$6,035,980,239	5.5%	\$227,001,854	14.7%		
\$75,000-\$100,000	59,994	5.7%	\$5,184,617,696	4.7%	\$195,099,850	12.7%		
\$100,000-\$200,000	80,751	7.7%	\$10,962,797,104	10.0%	\$391,300,500	25.4%		
Over \$200,001	47,685	4.6%	\$79,053,297,992	72.1%	\$451,665,242	29.3%		
Total	1,045,207	100.0%	\$109,655,112,909	100.0%	\$1,540,644,647	100.0%		
			Single Filers	T		T		
No Taxable Income	198,304	40.1%	\$0	0.0%	\$ 4,694	0.0%		
Under \$25,000	148,935	30.1%	\$1,591,546,645	7.2%	\$44,278,834	10.8%		
\$25,000-\$50,000	77,277	15.6%	\$2,773,937,131	12.6%	\$103,392,076	25.2%		
\$50,000-\$75,000	34,336	6.9%	\$2,089,221,167	9.5%	\$80,422,371	19.6%		
\$75,000-\$100,000	15,181	3.1%	\$1,303,994,012	5.9%	\$49,184,592	12.0%		
\$100,000-\$200,000	14,067	2.8%	\$1,863,202,386	8.5%	\$64,109,792	15.7%		
Over \$200,001	6,939	1.4%	\$12,334,520,608	56.2%	\$68,180,648	16.6%		
Total	495,039	100.0%	\$21,956,421,949	100.0%	\$409,573,007	100.0%		
			Head of Household File					
No Taxable Income	73,838	45.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$41	0.0%		
Under \$25,000	52,560	32.0%	\$527,919,932	11.5%	\$12,442,143	12.5%		
\$25,000-\$50,000	20,658	12.6%	\$748,799,823	16.3%	\$26,460,820	26.6%		
\$50,000-\$75,000	9,558	5.8%	\$578,807,917	12.6%	\$21,987,128	22.1%		
\$75,000-\$100,000	3,674	2.2%	\$314,215,565	6.8%	\$11,973,788	12.1%		
\$100,000-\$200,000	2,842	1.7%	\$371,690,844	8.1%	\$13,885,683	14.0%		
Over \$200,001	1,096	0.7%	\$2,049,107,035	44.6%	\$12,576,065	12.7%		
Total	164,226	100.0%	\$4,590,541,116	100.0%	\$99,325,668	100.0%		
		Married	Filing Joint & Surviving	Spouse Filers				
No Taxable Income	79,274	21.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$10,117	0.0%		
Under \$25,000	44,186	11.8%	\$546,373,686	0.7%	\$12,753,683	1.3%		
\$25,000-\$50,000	54,776	14.7%	\$2,076,518,938	2.6%	\$70,524,419	7.0%		
\$50,000-\$75,000	52,815	14.1%	\$3,283,156,045	4.2%	\$121,353,938	12.0%		
\$75,000-\$100,000	40,442	10.8%	\$3,506,473,413	4.4%	\$131,724,571	13.0%		
\$100,000-\$200,000	63,102	16.9%	\$8,629,853,723	10.9%	\$310,381,936	30.7%		
Over \$200,001	38,944	10.4%	\$60,842,760,430	77.1%	\$364,183,465	36.0%		
Total	373,539	100.0%	\$78,885,136,235	100.0%	\$1,010,932,129	100.0%		
		N	Married Filing Separate	Filers				
No Taxable Income	2,587	20.9%	\$0	0.0%	\$34	0.0%		
Under \$25,000	3,287	26.5%	\$44,746,690	1.1%	\$1,469,401	7.1%		
\$25,000-\$50,000	2,993	24.1%	\$108,577,033	2.6%	\$4,240,939	20.4%		
\$50,000-\$75,000	1,393	11.2%	\$84,795,110	2.0%	\$3,238,417	15.6%		
\$75,000-\$100,000	697	5.6%	\$59,934,705	1.4%	\$2,216,898	10.7%		
\$100,000-\$200,000	740	6.0%	\$98,050,151	2.3%	\$2,923,090	14.0%		
Over \$200,001	706	5.7%	\$3,826,909,919	90.6%	\$6,725,065	32.3%		
0761 \$200,001	700	100.0%	\$4,223,013,608	70.070	\$20,813,843	32.370		

PERSONAL INCOME TAX BY INCOME BRACKET TABLE – TAX YEAR 2019 DATA

All Tax Filers									
Income Bracket	Number of Returns	Percent of Returns	New Mexico Taxable Income	Percent of NM Taxable Income	Estimated Tax on Taxable Income	Percent of Estimated Tax			
No Taxable Income	358,152	34.5%	\$0	0.0%	\$14,799	0.0%			
Under \$25,000	243,905	23.5%	\$2,694,672,773	2.8%	\$71,154,390	4.8%			
\$25,000-\$50,000	155,894	15.0%	\$5,700,336,246	5.9%	\$205,269,402	13.8%			
\$50,000-\$75,000	96,931	9.3%	\$5,962,014,906	6.1%	\$224,889,420	15.1%			
\$75,000-\$100,000	58,847	5.7%	\$5,081,924,371	5.2%	\$191,907,508	12.9%			
\$100,000-\$200,000	79,789	7.7%	\$10,825,324,864	11.1%	\$388,334,731	26.0%			
Over \$200,001	44,618	4.3%	\$67,172,024,447	68.9%	\$410,923,978	27.5%			
Total	1,038,136	100%	\$97,436,297,604	100.0%	\$1,492,494,229	100%			
N 70 11 1	199,632	39.6%	Single Filers	0.0%	\$4,898	0.0%			
No Taxable Income	151,417	39.6%	\$1,641,228,256	8.0%	\$45,993,824	11.1%			
Under \$25,000	80,125	15.9%	\$2,878,180,095	14.1%	\$107,983,459	26.0%			
\$25,000-\$50,000 \$50,000-\$75,000	35,709	7.1%	\$2,878,180,093	10.6%	\$84,037,802	20.2%			
\$75,000-\$75,000	15,922	3.2%	\$1,366,713,786	6.7%	\$51,892,798	12.5%			
\$100,000-\$200,000	14,658	2.9%	\$1,932,739,744	9.5%	\$67,698,361	16.3%			
Over \$200,001	6,435	1.3%	\$10,419,653,743	51.1%	\$57,698,231	13.9%			
Total	503,898	100.0%	\$20,408,775,498	100.0%	\$415,309,374	100.0%			
10001	300,070	200.070	Head of Household File		4110,000,001	1001070			
No Taxable Income	78,447	48.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$2,916	0.0%			
Under \$25,000	47,795	29.3%	\$495,477,324	13.5%	\$11,749,096	12.4%			
\$25,000-\$50,000	20,423	12.5%	\$741,663,064	20.2%	\$26,131,270	27.7%			
\$50,000-\$75,000	9,319	5.7%	\$563,712,615	15.3%	\$21,402,184	22.7%			
\$75,000-\$100,000	3,627	2.2%	\$310,358,776	8.4%	\$12,051,924	12.8%			
\$100,000-\$200,000	2,736	1.7%	\$355,343,327	9.7%	\$13,130,087	13.9%			
Over \$200,001	966	0.6%	\$1,210,911,265	32.9%	\$10,021,959	10.6%			
Total	163,313	100.0%	\$3,677,466,370	100.0%	\$94,489,436	100.0%			
			Filing Joint & Surviving	Spouse Filers					
No Taxable Income	77,328	21.6%	\$0	0.0%	\$46,887	0.0%			
Under \$25,000	41,339	11.5%	\$513,273,260	0.7%	\$11,955,894	1.2%			
\$25,000-\$50,000	52,400	14.6%	\$1,974,021,707	2.8%	\$66,967,076	6.9%			
\$50,000-\$75,000	50,491	14.1%	\$3,142,379,382	4.5%	\$116,098,354	12.0%			
\$75,000-\$100,000	38,631	10.8%	\$3,347,397,072	4.8%	\$125,815,693	13.0%			
\$100,000-\$200,000	61,740	17.2%	\$8,450,021,673	12.1%	\$304,650,111	31.6%			
Over \$200,001	36,645	10.2%	\$52,394,224,075	75.0%	\$339,269,200	35.2%			
Total	358,574	100.0%	\$69,821,317,170	100.0%	\$964,763,215	100.0%			
Married Separate Filers									
No Taxable Income	2,745	22.2%	\$0	0.0%	\$98	0.0%			
Under \$25,000	3,354 2,946	27.2% 23.9%	\$44,693,933 \$106,471,381	1.3% 3.0%	\$1,455,576 \$4,187,597	8.1% 23.4%			
\$25,000-\$50,000 \$50,000-\$75,000	1,412	11.4%	\$85,663,033	2.4%	\$3,351,080	18.7%			
	667	5.4%	\$57,454,736	1.6%	\$3,351,080	12.0%			
\$75,000-\$100,000	655	5.3%	\$87,220,120	2.5%	\$2,856,172	15.9%			
\$100,000-\$200,000 Over \$200,001	572	4.6%	\$3,147,235,364	89.2%	\$3,934,588	21.9%			
· ·	12,351	100.0%	\$3,528,738,567	100.0%	\$17,932,204	100.0%			
Total	12,351	100.0%	Φ3,340,/38,30/	100.0%	\$17,934,404	100.0%			

CORPORATE INCOME TAX BY INCOME BRACKET TABLE

Note: Only businesses that reported a valid NAICS codes are included in the chart below.

2-Digit NAICS Code	2018 Industries	Zero or Less Income			Taxable Income Less Than \$500K		Taxable Income Between \$500K & \$1,000K		ome over
		Taxpayers	Tax Paid	Taxpayers	Tax Paid	Taxpayers	Tax Paid	Taxpayers	Tax Paid
11	Agriculture, Fishery and Hunting	2,520	\$583	142	\$420,400	6	\$211,464	8	\$1,701,596
21	Oil and Gas and Other Mining	4,643	\$129,939	216	\$574,173	13	\$525,449	21	\$7,751,071
22	Utilities	274	\$91	24	\$92,287	<3	ı	<3	-
23	Construction	6,086	\$1,883	420	\$1,242,481	15	\$610,461	11	\$2,848,363
31-33	Manufacturing	2,578	\$27,588	645	\$2,987,949	34	\$1,329,084	69	\$36,950,600
42	Wholesale Trade	2,019	\$176	566	\$1,932,598	25	\$1,071,186	28	\$8,927,269
44-45	Retail Trade	4,112	\$875	395	\$1,336,705	28	\$1,102,625	43	\$20,741,905
48-49	Transportation & Warehousing	1,888	\$11,621	188	\$571,512	6	\$253,369	14	\$25,778,847
51	Information	1,281	\$6,776	189	\$572,446	10	\$435,271	17	\$8,627,463
52	Finance & Insurance	9,335	\$722,090	612	\$1,615,757	26	\$1,087,732	74	\$19,880,087
53	Real Estate& Rental & Leasing	15,726	\$89,065	541	\$1,034,189	11	\$461,365	9	\$3,742,331
54	Professional, Scientific & Technical	8,591	\$21,686	872	\$1,667,275	25	\$1,039,348	18	\$2,360,685
55	Management of Companies & Enterprises	1,816	\$115,212	345	\$1,343,558	28	\$1,109,548	47	\$15,609,594
56	Admin & Support & Waste Mgt Remediation	2,058	\$2,571	253	\$513,146	6	\$241,772	8	\$1,337,973
61	Educational Services	380	\$0	40	\$62,132	<3	-	<3	-
62	Health Care & Social Assistance	3,570	\$9,125	138	\$337,410	6	\$215,728	14	\$4,403,069
71	Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation	1,397	\$0	59	\$81,177	3	\$144,216	<3	-
72	Accommodation & Food Service	2,465	\$1,990	138	\$469,701	6	\$231,029	9	\$952,350
81	Other Services except Public Administration	2,611	\$39,023	208	\$394,952	<3	-	<3	-

TABLE NOTE: "-" MEANS DATA IS REDACTED DUE TO FEWER THAN 3 TAXPAYERS

DETERMINING TAX EXPENDITURES

The federal government defines tax expenditures as "those revenue losses attributable to provisions of the federal tax laws which allow a special exclusion, exemption, or deduction from gross income or which provide a special credit, a preferential rate of tax, or a deferral of tax liability...."11 To apply this concept to New Mexico laws, this report defines tax expenditures as "deviations from a baseline tax system created by specific tax law provisions." Tax expenditures may reflect an overarching statewide policy, such as promoting the general welfare of all citizens, or may reflect a specific purpose, such as incentivizing a certain type of consumer behavior, economic development, or job creation. The term "tax expenditure" reflects the perspective that foregoing revenue that would normally accrue to the state may be thought of as spending through the tax code.

With that definition in mind, not every credit, exemption, deduction, or rate differential constitutes a tax expenditure. Certain credits, exemptions and deductions are not considered tax expenditures due to constitutional prohibition, federal pre-emption, comity between governments, avoiding double-taxation, and defining a tax base.

The questions are: do different tax structures constitute "tax expenditures"? If so, what is the baseline from which they are deviating?

These questions are not always easily answered because taxing jurisdictions tax different activities in different ways and for different desired outcomes. Likewise, if a tax structure taxes different activities or products differently without establishing a base tax rate, these rate differentials are not treated as tax expenditures. If, however, a tax structure has a base tax rate and there are instances in which an activity or product is taxed at a different rate, these tax rate differences are treated as tax expenditures.

Reasonable minds with a shared understanding of these definitions may disagree on specific tax expenditure determinations. This report appeals to generally accepted standards for most deviations and includes a discussion of the rationale for each determination so the reader may form their own judgement. Similarly, the report conforms to generally accepted standards for examples of deviations that are not tax expenditures in that section.

Finally, the report includes a list of provisions on which consensus is lacking. This section ensures transparency and consistency in reporting. The report includes the statutory basis, intended purpose, evaluation, any recommendations, and the actual or estimated fiscal impact for each tax expenditure.

While not mandated by Executive Order 2011-071, the report also includes a reliability factor and a brief history of each statute to describe how the statute has evolved. The description of each expenditure shows which of the five categories of purposes (citizen benefit, economic development, etc.) to which the expenditure belongs. Many statutes have been amended several times so the year of amendment and substance of the change is noted.

¹¹ 2 USC § 622

In total, there are 127 tax expenditures, 107 provisions that are not tax expenditures, and 23 activities in which consensus is lacking.						

TYPES OF TAX EXPENDITURES

EXEMPTIONS AND DEDUCTIONS

In terms of the practical effect on tax liability, there is little difference between an exemption and a deduction, however, there are administrative differences between the two. An exemption is a statutory means of freeing a taxpayer from a duty, liability, or requirement to report income, receipts, or other activity. Exemptions come in several forms:

- broad exemptions for certain types of taxpayers from a tax program;
- exemptions for certain types of transactions; and
- exemptions for certain amounts of income, revenue, or gross receipts.

In most instances, exemptions free taxpayers of a reporting obligation with respect to the exempt entity, transactions, or amounts. Unless specifically required by statute or instruction, exemptions are not reported.

A tax deduction is a statutory mechanism that decreases a taxpayer's liability by reducing the amount of the taxpayer's income, revenue, or gross receipts that is subject to tax. Like exemptions, deductions can apply to certain types of entities, to transactions, or to amounts of income, revenue, or gross receipts. Unlike exemptions, deductions do not typically free taxpayers of reporting obligations. Rather, taxpayers that are entitled to deductions typically must file returns under the particular tax program to which the deduction pertains, identify the amount of the tax base before the deduction, and then reduce this tax base by the amount of the deduction. In addition, many deductions under the GRT program require the taxpayer to obtain nontaxable transaction certificates or alternative evidence to establish entitlement to the deduction.

As noted above, many deductions and exemptions in New Mexico statutes are not tax expenditures.

Tax exemptions and deductions typically cannot reduce a taxpayer's tax liability below zero.

CREDITS

A tax credit is a statutory mechanism which allows taxpayers that meet the qualifications for obtaining a credit to subtract the amount of the credit from the total tax liability they owe the State. Tax credits can be granted in recognition of taxes already paid or to encourage behaviors like investment or parenting. Most tax credits are reported on returns and are typically separately reported.

Unlike exemptions and deductions, tax credits can sometimes be used to reduce a taxpayer's tax liability below zero. Certain tax credits are refundable, resulting in a refund if they bring the taxpayer's tax liability below zero. Other tax credits can be carried forward to reduce tax liability in a subsequent reporting period.

NOTES ABOUT THE DATA

This report qualifies estimated expenditure amounts with Reliability Factors to help the reader understand the methodology for determining the fiscal impact of the tax expenditure. The fiscal impact of an expenditure needs to be considered in the context of that expenditure's reliability factor. The Reliability Factor is a scale of 1-4 as follows:



1: The most reliable information comes directly from taxpayer-reported data, as contained in GenTax, TRD's tax system of record. It requires no additional estimation or manipulation with one exception; instances where the GRT actual average rate is used to estimate the forgone tax instead of using the known rates in the different jurisdictions in the state. For reasons described in the discussion under "Gross Receipts Tax," this report has reduced the level of reliability of data reported under special deduction codes.



2: Estimated from taxpayer-reported data as summarized in GenTax reports (e.g. RP-80, RP-500). For example, if a GRT tax expenditure is industry-specific, an estimate can be prepared using data on the industry based on North American Industry Classification System ("NAICS") codes.



- 3: Estimated using national data and extrapolating to New Mexico.
- 4: The least reliable data. Estimates represent the TRD's best estimate about the value of a tax expenditure. Due to limited data for analysis, these estimates are frequently rounded up to the nearest hundred-thousand or million dollars.

In addition, a limited number of expenditures have unknown reliability, because there is no data available to make even an estimate of the amount. The table below shows the number of expenditures for each Reliability Factor.

Reliability Factor	Description	Number of Expenditures
1	Most reliable. No estimation	68
2	Estimated from GenTax data	19
3	Estimated from national data	16
4	Least reliable. Estimated from	9
	limited data	
Unknown	No data available	15

Taxpayers may amend their tax returns for up to three years, plus the current year. Amended returns often impact expenditure amounts. Therefore, when comparing this year's Tax Expenditure Report to prior versions, the reader should expect to see some changes to prior years. For example, the amount of a particular expenditure for FY2015 may be different in this 2019 and 2020 Tax Expenditure Report than it was in the 2018 Tax Expenditure Report. Amended returns comprise a significant, but not the sole, reason for these variations. Other sources of the variation include late filings by taxpayers or improvements to TRD methodologies for quantifying certain expenditures.

New Mexico, like many states, defines a year differently for different tax structures. For instance, the GRT tax year corresponds to the State's fiscal year (July 1-June 30), while the income tax

programs use a calendar year (January 1-December 31). This presents a challenge for organizing and reporting the data. We present the income tax programs by calendar year with the understanding the money accompanying those returns goes to the General Fund during the following fiscal year. In addition, many corporations delay their filings using the no-restriction extension to September of any given year. PIT data for tax year 2018 and 2019 and CIT data from tax year 2018 are the most complete datasets available at the time of publication of this report.

Finally, our goal is to provide data for each tax program affected by a tax expenditure. However, we are limited both by federal and state law from disclosing data that might reveal a taxpayer's identity, except where noted in statute, notably 7-1-8.3 NMSA 1978, *Information that may be revealed to public*. Therefore, for most expenditures when there are fewer than three taxpayers reporting a deduction, exemption, or credit against a particular tax, TRD combined the data for all of the tax programs affected by those deductions, exemptions, and credits to preserve statutorily-required confidentiality. When combining the data is not enough, we redact it. Further, as a visual cue throughout this document, the reader will find several blank entries in tables where the data are redacted.

CATEGORIZING EXPENDITURES

This report divides tax expenditures into the following five major categories according to their purpose: citizen benefits; economic development; environment, conservation, and renewable energy; health care; and specialized industry incentives.

As with the determination of whether a particular deduction, credit, or exemption constitutes a tax expenditure, there can be legitimate differences of opinion as to whether a particular expenditure belongs in one category or another. Certain expenditures may cross multiple categories. For example, an expenditure listed in the highly specialized industry category might also serve economic development purposes. However, to avoid double-counting, each expenditure is included in only one category.

The outline below sets forth types of expenditures included in each category. The full list can be found in Appendix B.

1. Citizen Benefits

- a. Expenditures that are available to, or that benefit, all individual taxpayers who engage in certain activities, such as the expenditures related to food, medical services, prescription drugs deductions, and education.
- b. Expenditures designed to alleviate burdens for a specific subset of the population, such as veterans, active military, low-income, or the elderly.
- c. Expenditures that lessen the demand for government services, such as certain nonprofit expenditures.

2. Economic Development

- a. Expenditures designed to stimulate investment in New Mexico, such as the angel investment PIT credit.
- b. Job creation expenditures, such as the high-wage and rural job tax credits.
- c. Expenditures designed to attract industries, such as the film production tax credit.

3. Environment, Conservation, and Renewable energy

a. Expenditures designed for environmental health, to promote conservation, and to promote renewable energy technologies and usage, such as the advanced energy credit (renewables and carbon sequestration), biofuels incentives, renewable energy production tax credit, and PIT/CIT credit for land conservation contributions.

4. Health Care


Expenditures designed to increase accessibility to and lower the costs of health care. Examples include the health care practitioners GRT deduction, hospital construction deduction, and rural health care practitioners PIT credit.


5. Highly Specialized Industries

Expenditures available to specific or highly specialized industries, such as agriculture, uranium and aerospace.

The charts below reflect the data available for all tax expenditures for Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020. The data presented is based on the un-redacted cost of the tax expenditures.








STATE AND LOCAL TAX EXPENDITURE INCIDENCE

This 2019 and 2020 Tax Expenditure Report provides additional transparency than past reports by separately estimating the state versus local government revenue impacts of individual expenditures. The only expenditures that generally have a local government revenue impact reside with Gross Receipts Taxes. Here is an example of the augmented reporting with the Back- to-School Tax-Free Weekend GRT Deduction.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Back-to- School Tax- Free Weekend GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$2,000	\$2,500	\$2,600	\$2,800	\$3,000
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,300	\$1,690	\$1,660	\$1,710	\$1,720

For fiscal year 2020, 87.1% of all estimated tax expenditures attributed to state revenues foregone, while 12.9% represented foregone local government revenue.





ADVANCED ENERGY GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX DEDUCTION

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: Receipts from selling or leasing tangible personal property or services, such

as design, construction, equipment, and equipment installation to a person that holds an interest in a qualified generating facility are deductible if the interest holder in the facility delivers a nontaxable transaction certificate to

the seller.

Similarly, the value of eligible generation plant costs from the sale or lease of tangible personal property to a person who holds an interest in a qualified generating facility may be deducted in computing the compensating tax.

The aggregate amount of all advanced energy tax deductions that may be claimed with respect to a qualified generating facility shall not exceed \$60,000,000. The NMENV certifies the qualified generating facility.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-114 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the construction and development of qualified generating

facilities in New Mexico and to sequester or control carbon dioxide

emissions.

History: Originally enacted in 2010 to allow a deduction for the sale of tangible

personal property or services that are eligible generation plant costs.

The 2011 amendment, allows the deduction of receipts from the leasing of

the tangible personal property.

Evaluation: EDD does not currently track the number of temporary construction jobs or

economic-based jobs related to the deduction. However, according to the NMENV, while there are few permanent jobs associated with the deduction, the number of temporary construction jobs from the projects certified by the

NMENV is notable.

Recommendations: Consider repealing. This deduction works in tandem with the advanced

energy credit, and neither are widely used.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: None to date.

ADVANCED ENERGY CREDIT AGAINST GRT, COMPENSATING TAX, WITHHOLDING TAX, PIT, OR CIT

Category: Economic Development, Industry-focused Stimulus

Brief Description: A taxpayer who holds an ownership interest in a qualified generating facility

located in New Mexico may claim an advanced energy credit against GRT, compensating tax or withholding tax. The allowable credit is 6% of the costs of designing and constructing the qualified generating facility. If the credit amount exceeds the taxpayer's GRT, compensating tax and withholding tax liability, the excess may be claimed against the taxpayer's New Mexico PIT or CIT return. A qualified generating facility is one that begins construction before December 31, 2015 and is:

(1) solar thermal electric,

(2) solar photovoltaic electric,

(3) geothermal electric,

(4) a recycled energy project, or

(5) new or repowered coal-based electric.

The aggregate amount of all advanced energy tax credits that may be claimed with respect to a single qualified generating facility may not exceed \$60,000,000.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward for up to 10 years.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.25, 7-2A-25, and 7-9G-2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize investment in energy generation facilities by mitigating all or

a portion of the GRT, compensating tax liability, withholding tax, PIT, and

CIT.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 as a credit against GRT, compensating tax, and

withholding tax.

Amended in 2009 to allow credit amounts that exceeds the claimant's GRT, compensating tax and withholding tax liability to claim the excess credit against PIT and CIT; made the GRT, compensating tax, and withholding tax

credit conform to the new credits.

Evaluation: The credit was amended in 2009 to include income tax, but it is not used. If

the income tax taxpayers were not aggregated into the total, the expenditure

information would be redacted.

Recommendations: This credit has not been widely used, and thus, has not realized its intended

purpose. If a comprehensive energy-related tax program is being considered, then this credit should be repealed. If no comprehensive program is being developed, consider allowing the credit to expire.

 $\textbf{Reliability Factor:} \quad \fbox{1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.}$

Fiscal Impact: None.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING CREDIT AGAINST MODIFIED COMBINED TAX, PIT, OR CIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A tax credit can be applied against GRT (less local option gross receipts

taxes), compensating tax, withholding, PIT, CIT, E911, and other CRS tax liabilities for investments in affordable housing projects equal to 50% of the amount of cash invested or the fair market value of the land, buildings, materials, or services relating to such projects. This tax expenditure is available to taxpayers receiving a tax credit voucher issued by the New

Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority (MFA).

Under the MFA's program, the tax credit voucher is administered based on donations made to affordable housing projects and the Affordable Housing Charitable Trust. The maximum amount for this expenditure is calculated annually by multiplying a base rate, adjusted for inflation, and the state's population. For tax year 2016, the ceiling was approximately \$4.4 million. Donations may include land, buildings, materials, cash or services. Cash equivalents such as store credit or waived invoices are considered a cash donation. Donations received by the project sponsor in exchange for tax credits may be used to fund the acquisition, substantial rehabilitation, and/or new construction of affordable housing projects throughout the state, including down payment and closing cost assistance for acquisition of affordable single-family housing. No minimum or maximum dollar limits are placed on donations made directly to the Affordable Housing Charitable Trust.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward for up to five years.

Statutory Basis: 7-9I-5 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the construction of affordable housing projects.

History: Originally enacted in 2005. An amendment to the Affordable Housing Tax

Credit Act in 2010 expanded where eligible "affordable housing projects" can be located from counties with a population of less than 100,000 to all

counties and to include materials as an allowable investment.

Evaluation: MFA reports this program is highly effective in leveraging donations for

affordable housing development organizations like Habitat for Humanity. TRD believes the credit is an important instrument to promote investment

in low-income housing projects.

Recommendations: None.

 $\textbf{Reliability Factor:} \quad \fbox{1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.}$

Affordable Housing Credit	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
against modified combined tax,	Claims	143	133	190	186	170
PIT or CIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$386	\$171	\$465	\$499	\$842

AGRICULTURAL BIOMASS CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: A dairy or feedlot owner is eligible for a credit of \$5 for each wet ton of

agricultural biomass that is transported from the owner's dairy or feedlot to a facility that uses agricultural biomass to generate electricity or make fuels for commercial use. The aggregate annual combined total of all agricultural biomass PIT and CIT credits is capped at \$5,000,000.

As used in this section:

(1) "agricultural biomass" means wet manure meeting specifications established by EMNRD from either a dairy or feedlot commercial operation;

- (2) "biocrude" means a non-fossil form of energy that can be transported and refined using existing petroleum refining facilities and that is made from biologically derived feedstocks and other agricultural biomass;
- (3) "feedlot" means an operation that fattens livestock for market; and
- (4) "dairy" means a facility that raises livestock for milk production.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward for up to four years and transferred to another taxpayer. The credit is applicable for taxable years after January 1, 2011 and ending prior to January 1, 2030.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.26 and 7-2A-26 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the sale and use of agricultural biomass as a fuel.

History: Originally enacted in 2010 with an amendment in 2020 extending the sunset

date to January 1, 2030.

Evaluation: EMNRD has begun to see applications for this tax credit and has issued

approved certificates. Due to credit transferability, TRD has received numerous inquiries regarding this credit from both in-state and out-of-state tax credit brokers. The certificates issued have all been transferred. High levels of transfers may indicate that the incentive is not properly scoped to

the taxpayers most directly involved in the desirable behavior.

Recommendations: Continue to monitor and evaluate credit utilization to determine whether

the incentive is effective in stimulating the desired outcome. Monitor transfers to determine if the credit has the proper scope. If a comprehensive energy-related tax program is proposed, then repeal of this credit should be considered. Amending the statute to clarify procedures and deadlines, and specifically to prescribe the tax year in which the credit should be claimed, might also be considered. TRD has addressed this issue through Bulletin B-

300.16, which indicates that the credit must be claimed for the tax year stated in the EMNRD certificate of eligibility. EMNRD has also partially addressed this issue through its regulations. Tying the delivery of the biomass to a tax year prevents unintended credit "stacking" and ensures smooth annual administration and awarding of the credit.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Agricultural	Tax Year (Calendar)	2016	2017	2018	2019
Biomass Credit against PIT or	Claims	3	3	0	0
CIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$135	\$284	\$0	\$0

AGRICULTURAL WATER CONSERVATION EXPENSES CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: CIT and PIT credits are available for agricultural water conservation

expenses incurred by the taxpayer for specific improvements in irrigation systems or water management methods. The expenses must comply with a water conservation plan approved by the local soil and water conservation district in which the improvement is located. The improvements must be primarily designed to substantially conserve water on the land in New Mexico that is owned or leased by the taxpayer and used to produce agricultural products, harvest or grow trees, or sustain livestock.

The credit amount is 35% of eligible expenses incurred in calendar year 2008 and 50% of expenses in subsequent years through December 31, 2012

when the credit expired.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward for up to five years. Carryforward claims may continue to be made through tax year 2017.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.20 and 7-2A-22 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize responsible water management and to offset the cost of

conservation-driven irrigation improvements incurred by the individual

farmer or rancher.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 with a delayed repeal of January 1, 2013.

Evaluation: The number of claims was relatively high early in the lifespan of this credit

and reduced over time. A plausible explanation is that the creation of the credit stimulated the necessary investment in irrigation systems and water management methods. Furthermore, this credit is not refundable, which means that the taxpayer must have enough liability over six years to offset the credit to get the full benefit of the credit. Once early initial investments were made, there were fewer farmers with the requisite liability to claim the

credit who needed to make this investment.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

NOTE: TY2014-16 has been redacted due to fewer than 3 taxpayers claiming

the credit.

Fiscal Impact:

Agricultural Water	Tax Year (Calendar)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Conservation Expenses	Claims	4	< 3	< 3	< 3	0
Credit against PIT and CIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$7	-	-	-	\$0

TABLE NOTE: "-" MEANS DATA IS REDACED DUE TO FEWER THAN 3 TAXPAYERS

AIRCRAFT SALES OR SERVICES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: Receipts from selling, maintaining, refurbishing, remodeling or otherwise

modifying a commercial or military carrier over ten thousand pounds gross

landing weight are deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-62.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize a more robust commercial and military aircraft industry in

New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2000 and amended in 2005. Amended in 2014 to

expand the deduction by adding the sale of an aircraft over 10,000 pounds to the activities that are deductible. A separate reporting requirement was

added.

Evaluation: At the time of publication, fewer than three taxpayers have claimed this

deduction. This deduction will likely benefit a very narrow section of the

economy and have little impact to state revenues.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 – This deduction is separately reported.

Fiscal Impact: Has been redacted due to fewer than 3 taxpayers taking the deduction.

ALTERNATIVE ENERGY PRODUCT MANUFACTURERS CREDIT AGAINST MODIFIED COMBINED TAX

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: Manufacturers of certain alternative energy products who hire at least one

new employee for every \$500,000 in qualified expenditures (up to \$30 million) and for every \$1,000,000 in qualified expenditures (over \$30 million) may receive a tax credit not to exceed 5% of qualified expenditures for purchase of manufacturing equipment used in the manufacturing

operation.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward for up to five years.

Statutory Basis: 7-9J-1 *et seq.* NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to encourage hiring and investment in the alternative energy

production sector.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 and amended in 2011.

The 2011 amendment included products of single cell photosynthetic organisms as eligible alternative energy products for taxable years 2011

through 2019.

Evaluation: This credit is underused. According to the Solar Energy Industries

Association's website, there are currently more than 100 solar companies at work throughout the value chain in New Mexico, these companies provide a wide variety of solar products and services ranging from solar system installations to the manufacturing of components used in photovoltaic

panels 15 manufacturers, 10 manufacturing facilities, 50

contractor/installers, 3 project developers, 8 distributors, and 23 engaged in other solar activities including financing, engineering and legal support. TRD believes the employment eligibility investment threshold requirements may be too high for this credit to be useful to small manufacturers. Additionally, manufacturers that qualify for this credit may also qualify for the investment tax credit, which has a higher credit rate, better carryforward period, and

credit refund provisions.

Recommendations: There is potential overlap with the investment tax credit (ITC). Consider:

(1) Repealing this credit and subsuming it in the ITC; or

(2) Making the ITC and this credit mutually exclusive and lower the investment and employment threshold requirements for the alternative energy product manufacturers' tax credit. Doing so would allow large manufacturers, even those that produce alternative energy products, to use the ITC. Yet, it would open this incentive to smaller alternative energy product producers. TRD will continue to monitor credit utilization,

especially with respect to the single sales factor phase-in for manufacturers, as that election may stimulate expansion or larger investment in alternative energy manufacturing in New Mexico. As there are several credits and deductions for related expenses, a comprehensive review of the relationships and interactions between these related expenditures could explain why some of them are underused.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact:

Redacted due to fewer than 3 taxpayers taking the deduction.

ANGEL INVESTMENT CREDIT AGAINST PIT

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: A taxpayer who files a New Mexico PIT return and makes a qualified

investment may claim a credit in an amount not to exceed 25% of not more than \$100,000 of the qualified investment (\$25,000). For the investment to qualify it must be made in a business that maintains its principal place of business in New Mexico and engages in high-technology research or

manufacturing activities in New Mexico.

Prior to January 1, 2015, the cap per statute did not exceed \$750,000. For credits issued during this time, unused credit may be carried forward for

three consecutive years.

For tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2015 until January 1, 2025, the credit amount per investment may be \$62,500 and the taxpayer may make five qualified investments per tax year. The aggregate annual cap is

increased to \$2,000,000. For credits issued after the legislative change, unused credit may be carried forward for five consecutive years.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.17 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the investment in qualified research and/or manufacturing

activities in New Mexico by angel investors.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 with a delayed repeal date of January 1, 2013.

The credit was amended in 2012, 2015 and 2020.

The 2012 amendment eliminated the delayed repeal and extended the date before which an investment must be made to qualify for the credit. The 2015 amendment changed the qualified investment amount, relaxed the limits on the number of investments, increased the annual cap and extended the carry forward period. The 2020 amendment moved the review and approval of

the credit from EDD to TRD and clarified the statute.

Evaluation: This credit is being used as intended.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Angel	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Investment Credit	Claims	95	98	126	145	43
against PIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$638	\$499	\$798	\$906	\$254

APPORTIONMENT ELECTION OF CIT FOR HEADQUARTERS

Category: Economic Development, Economic Investment

Brief Description: A taxpayer whose principal business activity is a headquarters operation

may elect to have its business income apportioned to New Mexico by using a

single sales factor.

Statutory Basis: 7-4-10(C) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage companies to locate their headquarters or center of

operations for their managerial activities in New Mexico, thereby increasing

employment and economic activity within the state.

History: Originally enacted in 2015, amended in 2019.

Evaluation: The special election was enacted for tax year 2015, but claiming this special

election has restrictions. A taxpayer that makes a special election shall not terminate the election until the special election has been used for at least three consecutive taxable years. Taxpayers that elected a manufacturing special election beginning in tax year 2014 were not eligible to change their

election until tax year 2017.

Recommendations: (1) Consider clarifying the definition of "headquarters." TRD receives

numerous questions based on the language of the current definitions.

(2) Consider either a shift to market-based sourcing for all taxpayers or, at minimum, for taxpayers making this election. The shift to a single sales factor for service providers that locate headquarters here and perform a majority of the services from locations in New Mexico will not create the desired incentive because those companies will have a very high sales factor in New Mexico under the income-producing activity/cost of performance

test currently prescribed by Section 7-4-18 NMSA 1978.

Reliability Factor: Insufficient data is currently collected to calculate prior apportionment

percentages for taxpayers making this election.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

APPORTIONMENT ELECTION OF CIT FOR MANUFACTURERS

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: A taxpayer whose principal business activity is manufacturing may elect to

have its business income apportioned to New Mexico by using a formula which puts a greater emphasis on the taxpayer's sales than on the taxpayer's property or payroll. The transition from allowing a taxpayer to use a double-weighted sales factor formula (2014) to allowing a taxpayer to use a fully phased-in single sales factor formula will be complete for tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2018. For tax year 2014, the formula prescribed a 50% sales factor. For tax year 2015 the formula prescribed a 60% sales factor. Tax year 2016 prescribed a 70% sales factor. The formulas for tax years 2017 and 2018 will prescribe an 80% and 100% sales factor,

respectively.

Statutory Basis: 7-4-10(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: As enacted in 1993, the purpose for having the double-weighted sales factor

formula election for manufacturers was to encourage investment and employment in this state by manufacturers who do not anticipate

substantial sales revenue within this state.

History: Originally enacted in 1993 and amended in 2001, 2002, 2009, 2013, 2015,

2019, and 2020.

The 2013 amendment provided a phase-in over five years of the use of a single sales factor by taxpayers whose principal business activity is manufacturing. The 2020 amendment allows for computer operating

facilities to use the sales apportionment election permitted to

manufacturers.

Evaluation: The single sales factor is an incentive for multi-state firms whose majority

sales are outside New Mexico. These firms gain the most from this election. For domestic New Mexico companies, the single sales factor will reduce their

income tax liability to the extent they have sales outside New Mexico.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 2 - This election is reported. Some estimation is required. Differences

between annual expenditure reports are attributed to taxpayers filing late or

amended returns.

Special Election,	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018
Manufacturer's Apportionment Formula	Claims	52	41	66	41
	Expenditure (thousands)	\$11,383	\$35,787	\$29,371	\$34,643

ARMED FORCES SALARIES EXEMPTION FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A salary paid by the United States government to a taxpayer for active duty

service in the armed forces of the United States is exempt from PIT.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-5.11 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To provide a tax benefit for our armed forces personnel.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: This is a discretionary incentive recognizing our armed forces personnel.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 – This exemption is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Armed Forces	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Salaries Exemptio	Claims	12,330	13,171	12,906	13,222	14,049
n from PIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$8,233	\$9,280	\$9,357	\$11,173	\$11,516

BACK-TO-SCHOOL TAX-FREE WEEKEND GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts from retail sales of specified tangible personal property if the sale

occurs during the first full weekend (Friday through Sunday) in August are deductible from gross receipts. The property specified by this statute includes certain clothing valued under \$100, computers valued under \$1,000, computer accessories valued under \$500, and school supplies.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-95 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the cost of school supplies and school clothes.

History: Originally enacted in 2005.

Evaluation: New Mexico is one of 16 states that will have a form of a tax-free holiday in

2017, a decrease from 2010 when 19 states held tax-free holidays. Tax-free

holidays do not generally grow the local economy, but rather shift

consumption within the economy from one time to another time. The intent reduces the cost of school necessities, but citizens who do not attend school

may also benefit from the tax-free weekend.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 9

4 - No direct data exists to estimate this deduction. TRD requires taxpayers who take advantage of this deduction to separately report the deductible amount, but there is no statutory authority to enforce the reporting requirement. The estimate is based on a percentage of August 2019 retail sales gross receipts identified for specific NAICS codes as reported by taxpayers. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average

GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Back-to- School Tax- Free Weekend GRT	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$2,000	\$2,500	\$2,600	\$2,800	\$3,000
Deduction	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,300	\$1,690	\$1,660	\$1,710	\$1,720

BIODIESEL BLENDING FACILITY TAX THIRTY PERCENT CREDIT AGAINST GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: A taxpayer who is a rack operator as defined in the Special Fuels Supplier

Tax Act, and who owns and installs biodiesel blending equipment at its facility to produce blended biodiesel fuel may claim a GRT or compensating

tax credit. The credit is 30% of the purchase and installation cost of

equipment.

Biodiesel is a renewable and biodegradable fuel derived from agricultural plant oils or animal fat. Blended biodiesel fuel is a diesel fuel that contains at

least 2% biodiesel.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward for up to four years.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-79.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize establishing or the expansion of a facility that produces

blended biodiesel fuel.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: According to EMNRD's Energy Conservation and Management Division,

fewer than three applicants for this credit have received certification.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is required to be separately reported.

Biodiesel Blending Facility Thirty Percent	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Credit Against GRT and	Claims	0	0	0	0	<3
Compensating Tax	Expenditure (thousands)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-

TABLE 1: "-" MEANS DATA IS REDACED DUE TO FEWER THAN 3 TAXPAYERS

BIOMASS-RELATED EQUIPMENT AND BIOMASS MATERIALS DEDUCTION FROM COMPENSATING TAX

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: The value of a biomass boiler, gasifier, furnace, turbine-generator, storage

facility, feedstock processing or drying equipment, feedstock trailer or interconnection transformer, and the value of biomass materials used for processing into bio power, biofuels or bio-based products is deductible

when computing the compensating tax due.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-98 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the construction of biomass power generation and use of

biomass materials.

History: Originally enacted in 2005.

Evaluation: According to EMNRD, several bioenergy projects are currently underway in

the state and typically involve partnerships between federal agencies, local governments, New Mexico higher education institutions, and foreign entities. These include Albuquerque's Southside Water Reclamation Plant and the Las Cruces Waste Water Treatment Plant. As these are run by local

governments, they are unaffected by the deduction.

EMNRD reports a very limited number of private bioenergy projects undergoing construction in the last few years, with only a single project operating and another ceasing construction after funding difficulties. The deduction insufficient to incentivize new private projects. A survey of publicly-available information indicates that proposed projects may not

been finalized at the time this report was finalized.

Note that this deduction can only be taken against compensating tax, which

could incentivize firms to purchase equipment out of state.

Recommendations: For consistency, a GRT deduction for in-state sales of the described

equipment would have to be included.

Reliability Factor: 8

4 - In previous publications, the limited number of private bioenergy projects that have undergone construction over the last few years were estimated from publicly-available sources of the size of the projects. A two-year construction timeframe was assumed on projects that continued, as well as assuming 50% of the project cost would involve purchasing equipment from out of state, and therefore be subject to compensating tax.

Research for this year's publication based on compensating tax internal reports did not yield any economic activity for NAICS codes associated with

the generation of energy with the use of biomass. It is assumed that no project was operational in FY2020.

Biomass-Related Equipment and Biomass Materials	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Deduction from Compensating Tax	Expenditure (thousands)	\$250	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0

BLENDED BIODIESEL FUEL CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: A taxpayer who is liable for payment of the special fuel excise tax is eligible

to claim a credit against PIT or CIT for each gallon of blended biodiesel fuel on which that person paid or would have paid the special fuel excise tax in the taxable year. This blended biodiesel fuel is a 3% mixture of biodiesel which is a different mixture from vegetable oil which is 99% biodiesel. The credit amount was phased down from 3 cents per gallon to 1 cent per gallon

over the life of the incentive, which expired December 31, 2012.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward for up to five years.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.21 and 7-2A-23 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the establishment or expansion of a facility that produces

blended biodiesel fuel.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 with an expiration of December 31, 2012.

Evaluation: The credit saw a decrease as the value of the credit reduced (from 2007-

2010, the credit was \$0.03 per gallon; in 2011, it was \$0.02 per gallon; and in 2012, it was \$0.01 per gallon). The credit is not available after December 31, 2012 and the only possibility for further expenditures from this credit

would be due to the use of a carry forward or amended return.

Recommendations: Repeal. The credit has expired, and the carry-forward period ended with tax

year 2017.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: None. The credit expired during tax year 2012 and the carry-forward period

expired at the end of 2017.

BORDER-ZONE TRADE-SUPPORT COMPANY GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The receipts of a trade-support company are deductible from gross receipts

if:

(1) the trade-support company first locates in New Mexico within twenty miles of a port of entry on New Mexico's border with Mexico on or after July 1, 2003 but before July 1, 2013; or they locate in a border-zone after July 1, 2016 but before January 1, 2021;

- (2) the receipts are received by the company within a five-year period beginning on the date the trade-support company locates in New Mexico and the receipts are derived from its business activities and operations at its border zone location; and,
- (3) the trade-support company employs at least two employees in New Mexico.

A "trade-support company" means a customs brokerage firm or a freight forwarder.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-56.3 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the location of trade-support companies to support and

increase economic activities at the various ports of entry (Santa Teresa,

Columbus, and Antelope Wells).

History: Originally enacted in 2003, amended in 2007 and 2015 to extend the credit

and add reporting requirements.

Evaluation: The expenditure is determined to be effective due to the utilization of the

deduction.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor:

2 – All gross receipts deductions for taxpayers classified as trade support companies per the RP-80 report are assumed to derive from this deduction. The trade support companies are in the following locations: Sunland Park, Anthony, and unincorporated areas of Luna, Dona Ana, and Hidalgo Counties. The deduction may be overestimated as the trade support classification does not match up perfectly to the definition of taxpayers who

are eligible. Furthermore, companies could be eligible for additional deductions which would mean that not all amounts are attributable to this deduction. The deductible amounts reported are multiplied by the statewide

average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost.

Davidson.	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Border- Zone Trade- Support Company	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$710	\$700	\$1,020	\$1,640	\$3,270
GRT Deduction	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$450	\$470	\$650	\$1,000	\$1,890

BUSES OPERATED BY RELIGIOUS AND NONPROFIT CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS EXEMPTION FROM WEIGHT DISTANCE TAX

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Use of the highways in New Mexico by buses operated by religious or

nonprofit charitable organizations is exempt from the weight distance tax

(WDT).

Statutory Basis: 7-15A-5(C) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To subsidize the activities of organizations the federal government has

determined to be performing socially-beneficial activities.

History: Originally enacted in 1988.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 3 - The number of buses registered as nonprofit was collected from the

Motor Vehicle Division. Exempt miles are not reported on any WDT form, so average miles traveled per school bus were calculated from national school sources. Weight was calculated as an average of weights between 26,000 and 30,000 pounds gross vehicle weight rating, which most type C buses are.

Buses Operated by Religious	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
and Nonprofit Charitable Organizations Exemption from WDT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$60	\$20	\$23	\$42	\$36

BUSES USED FOR TRANSPORTATION OF AGRICULTURAL LABORERS EXEMPTION FROM WEIGHT DISTANCE TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Use of the highways in New Mexico by buses used exclusively for the

transportation of agricultural laborers is exempt from the WDT.

Statutory Basis: 7-15A-5(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To lower the cost of labor for agricultural producers.

History: Originally enacted in 1988.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 🗿

3 –The number of buses registered for agricultural use was collected from MVD. This number dropped significantly beginning in FY2017 and it is unknown why. Exempt miles are not reported on any WDT form, and no public source is available for miles traveled by agricultural buses. Therefore, average miles traveled per school bus was calculated from national school sources (school buses operate on a similar twice-a-day schedule). Weight was calculated as an average of weights between 26,000 and 30,000 pounds

gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR), which most type C buses are.

Buses Used for	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Transportation of						
Agricultural Laborers Exemption from WDT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$6	\$0.3	\$7.5	\$6.8	\$5.2

BUSINESS FACILITY REHABILITATION CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: A taxpayer who owns a qualified business facility in an enterprise zone and

restores, renovates, or rehabilitates it may receive a credit of 50% per project cost (up to \$50,000) on PIT and CIT owed to New Mexico.

A "qualified business facility" is a building vacant for at least 24 months and intended to be put into use by a person in the manufacturing, distribution, or service industries. Cultural or historic properties do not qualify for this

credit.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward for up to four years.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.4 and 7-2A-15 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To stimulate the creation of new jobs and revitalize economically depressed

areas within New Mexico enterprise zones.

History: Originally enacted in 1994.

Evaluation: The credit is not being used because most individuals do not have enough

tax liability to apply the credit. Qualified individuals and corporations who take this credit are not eligible for the investment credit which provides a higher benefit. According to EDD, there are currently no enterprise zones completing the required annual reporting which indicates that the

enterprise zones that were established when this credit was originally

enacted have expired.

Recommendations: Repeal. There are no enterprise zones to establish eligibility.

Reliability Factor: ¶1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: None.

CAPITAL GAIN DEDUCTION FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A taxpayer may claim a deduction from net income in an amount equal to

the greater of:

(1) the taxpayer's net capital gain income for the taxable year for which the deduction is being claimed, but not to exceed \$1,000; or

(2) 40% of the taxpayer's net capital gain income for the taxable year for which the deduction is being claimed. (Note: 40% effective for tax year 2019; threshold had previously been 50%.)

A taxpayer may not claim this deduction if the taxpayer pays federal income tax on a qualified diversifying business net capital gain, has claimed a credit against their PIT liability equal to a capital gain tax differential, and if the taxpayer allocates the qualified diversifying business net capital gain to New Mexico.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-34 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: The capital gain deduction exists to incentivize savings and investment and

to recruit individuals earning investment income to New Mexico. The deduction also decreases tax liability for tax filers who sell a business or

other asset at a gain.

History: Originally enacted in 1999 and amended in 2003 and 2019. The 2003

amendment expanded the capital gains deduction at the same time income tax rates were reduced. The 2019 amendment reduced the 50% threshold

to 40%.

Evaluation: Most of the financial benefit is realized by high earning individuals whose

income is derived from investments. However, many New Mexicans regardless of income level will benefit from this deduction at some point. Any taxpayer who recognizes a capital gain during a tax year will reduce

their tax liability in that year by using this incentive.

Recommendations: Because the deduction has no cap for an individual taxpayer, most of the

financial benefit is realized by high-income taxpayers. Capping at taxpayer's net deductible capital gain income would reduce the amount of this expenditure without unduly harming lower-income beneficiaries. The benefit could also be structured to allow a more generous deduction for sale

of a business or home than for passive investment earnings.

Reliability Factor: 1 – The deduction amounts for each taxpayer claiming this deduction were computed, making this data more reliable than in past years, which used an computed, making this data more reliable than in past years, which used an average rate for all taxpayers.

Capital	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Gains	Claims	103,275	95,773	113,541	115,890	95,151
Deduction	Expenditure (thousands)	\$50,937	\$55,215	\$77,602	\$75,303	\$41,226

CERTAIN DISABLED MILITARY VETERAN EXEMPTION FROM MOTOR VEHICLE EXCISE TAX

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A person is exempt from the MVX if the person is a resident of New Mexico

who served in the armed forces of the United States and who suffered, while serving in the armed forces or from a service-connected cause, the loss or

complete and total loss of use of:

(1) one or both legs at or above the ankle; or

(2) one or both arms at or above the wrist.

Statutory Basis: 7-14-6(E) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To ease the tax burden of individuals whose service in the armed forces

resulted in disability.

History: Originally enacted in 1978 and amended in 1988, 1990, 1994, 2004, and

2007 to include Subsection E.

Evaluation: This tax expenditure meets its intended purpose of lowering the tax burden

of disabled veterans. The estimated fiscal impact of this expenditure suggests that the exemption from the excise tax has had a positive effect on

vehicle purchases.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 🧃

3 - The number of disabled veterans in the State of New Mexico was obtained from the US Census Bureau, to only include those with at least disability rating to match the loss of limb usage as given in the statute. The national average prices of new and used vehicles were collected for each year and averaged together. Per the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute, in 2011, 1 out of 19.7 drivers bought a vehicle, either new or used. The total number of disabled veterans with a sufficient disability rating was divided by 19.7 and then multiplied by the average price of a new and used vehicle. The resulting dollar amount was multiplied by the MVX rate of 3% in FY2016 through FY2019, and 3.5% in FY2020. Higher tax rate and higher average vehicle prices account, at least in part, for the increase in FY2020.

Certain Disabled Military Veteran Exemption from MVX	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,030	\$1,220	\$1,700	\$1,700	\$2,000

CHILD CARE TO PREVENT INDIGENCY CREDIT AGAINST PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Any resident who files a PIT return and who is not a dependent of another

taxpayer may claim a credit for child daycare expenses incurred and paid to a caregiver in New Mexico during the taxable year. The credit is available to a taxpayer who has a modified gross income, including child support

payments, if any, of not more than the annual income that would be derived

from earning double the federal minimum wage.

The credit is for 40% of actual compensation paid to a caregiver and may not

exceed \$480 for each qualifying dependent or \$1,200 for all qualifying

dependents in any taxable year. This credit is refundable.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To protect the health, safety and well-being of children of low-income

families and to give the parents an opportunity to place their children in day

care while they work.

History: Originally enacted in 1981 and amended in 1990, 1995, 1999, and 2015.

Evaluation: The number of taxpayers claiming this credit is decreasing in part due to this

credit being tied to the federal minimum wage which currently is \$7.25 and

has not seen an increase since 2009.

Recommendations: Consider benchmarking the modified gross income to an index which is

adjusted annually for inflation.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required. Differences

between annual expenditure reports are attributed to updates in GenTax as

tax filers provide late and/or amended returns.

Child Cone Condit	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Child Care Credit against PIT	Claims	1,505	1,418	1,442	1,186	1,065
	Expenditure (thousands)	\$576	\$550	\$587	\$474	\$425

COAL EXEMPTION FROM SEVERANCE SURTAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The following exemptions are currently in effect: (1) coal sold and delivered

pursuant to genuinely new contracts entered into on or after July 1, 1990 without evidence of either producer and/or purchaser purposely taking advantage of the exemption; (2) coal sold and delivered, based on annualized amounts should the term be less than the production years specified, pursuant to contracts already in effect on July 1, 1990, that exceeds the greater of either the average calendar year deliveries under the contract during production years 1987, 1988, and 1989, or the highest contract minimum during 1987-1989. Should an annualized amount fall below the threshold, the exemption shall no longer be applicable unless the deliveries are reduced due to causes beyond the reasonable control of either party to the contract; and (3) if a contract existing on July 1, 1990, and renegotiated after May 20, 1992, requires the purchaser to take annual coal deliveries in excess of the greater of the average calendar year deliveries from 1987-1989 or the highest annual contract minimum from 1987-1989, the surtax does not apply to such excess deliveries for the remaining term of

the renegotiated contract.

The taxpayer must register any contract for the sale of coal deemed to meet

this exemption with the TRD prior to taking the exemption.

Statutory Basis: 7-26-6.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: This tax expenditure is an incentive for continued production by the New

Mexico coal mining industry, providing reduced tax liability under new or

restructured contracts.

History: Originally enacted in 1990 and amended in 1992, 1994, 1995, 1997, and

1999.

Evaluation: The tax expenditure provided through this exemption directly benefits the

coal mining industry by providing an exemption from the severance surtax. Since 2010, new agreements have been entered into which have resulted in previously unallowable activity to be eligible for this exemption. In the last three years, all coal mined in New Mexico has met exemptions from the surtax. Lower cost of coal can mean lower energy costs for New Mexico consumers as coal is still the majority source of fuel in New Mexico. Nationally, natural gas exceeded coal in 2016 as the majority fuel for electricity generation. Natural gas is a less expensive energy source that is more efficient in energy conversion and has lower carbon emissions when compared to coal. As PNM plans to retire the final two coal fired generating

units at the San Juan Generating Station in 2022, the current market for New

Mexico coal could decrease.

Recommendations: Evaluate benefits of exemption given no revenues received from severance surtax.

Reliability Factor:

1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required. In the 2018 report, this data was redacted, although not statutorily required. This year's report includes the data, as is allowed pursuant to Section 7-1-8 NMSA 1978 and, more specifically, Section 7-1-8.3(B) NMSA 1978.

Coal	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Exemption from	Claims	3	3	2	2	2
Severance Surtax	Expenditure (thousands)	\$15,238	\$14,352	\$11,951	\$10,306	\$9,918

COMMERCIAL MOTOR CARRIER VEHICLES OPERATING EXCLUSIVELY WITHIN 10 MILES OF MEXICO BORDER EXEMPTION FROM TRIP TAX AND WEIGHT DISTANCE TAX

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: Use of New Mexico highways by commercial motor carrier vehicles while

operating exclusively within 10 miles of a border with Mexico in conjunction with crossing the border with Mexico is exempt from the trip tax and the WDT.

Statutory Basis: 7-15-3.2 and 7-15A-5(D) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize companies that have cross-border activities to do business in

New Mexico instead of Texas and Arizona.

History: Originally enacted in 2006.

Evaluation: The New Mexico Border Authority reports that the number of commercial

vehicles crossing the New Mexico/Mexico border has increased since FY2011. This tax expenditure meets its intended purpose of creating a competitive environment for business in New Mexico. The opening of the large Union Pacific intermodal transfer yard in 2014 near Santa Teresa also

indicates this expenditure meets its intended purpose.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 3 - Data for truck entries at Santa Teresa was obtained from the U.S.

Department of Transportation. The port at Columbus has very few facilities for transfer of freight and was not included. From conversations with NMDOT it was assumed that all of the Santa Teresa entry traffic would stay within the 20-mile North American Free Trade Agreement commercial zone and was destined for the large Union Pacific transfer station 10 miles from the border, or other nearby freight transfer facilities. 20 miles per entry was assumed for a round-trip total road miles calculation. The total number of miles was then multiplied by the average WDT rate of all the weight classes. The same procedure was followed to calculate the trip tax expenditure.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Commercial Motor Carrier Vehicles Operating Exclusively within 10 Miles of Mexico Border Exemption from Trip	Weight Distance Tax Expenditure (thousands)	\$60	\$60	\$66	\$64	\$70
Tax and WDT	Trip Tax Expenditure (thousands)	\$285	\$284	\$313	\$303	\$330

CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS USED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF SOLE COMMUNITY PROVIDER HOSPITALS GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: Receipts from sales of construction equipment or construction materials to a

foundation or nonprofit organization for use in the new facility construction of a sole community provider hospital located in a federally designated health professional shortage area are deductible from gross receipts under

certain circumstances.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-100 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the costs of constructing sole community provider hospitals.

History: Originally enacted in 2006.

Evaluation: According to the HSD, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and

Modernization Act of 2003 established a rural community hospital

demonstration project for five years to study a reasonable reimbursement methodology for such hospitals. Section 10313 of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) expanded and extended this demonstration for another five years

until 2013. Holy Cross Hospital in Taos, NM participated in this

demonstration, but withdrew in 2011. San Miguel Hospital Corporation in Las Vegas, NM was selected to participate in this demonstration under the expansion and extension of this program by the ACA. However, because the extension for the federal pilot program has expired, there are no more federal matching funds to support the services provided at sole community provider hospitals. As a result, and based on information received from HSD, TRD is unaware of any recent new construction of sole community provider

hospitals.

Recommendations: Because the ACA did not preclude an entity from building or making capital

investments in rural (sole) community provider hospitals, the deduction could still fulfill its purpose as rural health facilities find alternative sources

of funding for operations.

Reliability Factor: 1 - No new sole community provider hospitals were built in New Mexico

over the last several years. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: No taxpayers have claimed this deduction.

CONSTRUCTION OF SOLE COMMUNITY PROVIDER HOSPITALS GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale of engineering, architectural, and construction

services to a foundation or nonprofit organization for use in the new facility construction of a sole community provider hospital located in a federally-designated health professional shortage area are deductible from gross

receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-99 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce the costs of constructing sole community provider

hospitals.

History: Originally enacted in 2006.

Evaluation: According to the HSD, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and

Modernization Act of 2003 established a rural community hospital

demonstration project for five years to study a reasonable reimbursement methodology for such hospitals. Section 10313 of the Affordable Care Act expanded and extended this demonstration for another five years until 2013. Holy Cross Hospital in Taos, NM participated in this demonstration, but withdrew in 2011. San Miguel Hospital Corporation in Las Vegas, NM was selected to participate in this this demonstration under the expansion and extension of this program by the ACA. However, because the extension

for the federal pilot program has expired, there are no more federal matching funds to support the services provided at sole community provider hospitals. As a result, and based on information received from HSD, TRD is

unaware of any recent new construction of sole community provider

hospitals.

Recommendations: Based on the presumption that the ACA did not preclude an entity from

building or making capital investments in rural (sole) community provider hospitals, this deduction would be able to fulfill its purpose as rural health

facilities find alternative sources of funding for operations.

Reliability Factor: 1 - No new sole community provider hospitals were built in New Mexico

over the last several years. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: None.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF INVENTORY TO NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS OR GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES DEDUCTION FROM COMPENSATING TAX

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: The value of tangible personal property that is removed from inventory and

contributed to a United States or New Mexico government entity or the governing body of an Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo for use on an Indian reservation or pueblo grant or to 501(c)(3) organizations, is deductible in

computing the compensating tax due.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-91 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the contribution of inventory to government entities and

nonprofit organizations.

History: Originally enacted in 2001.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: Consider repealing the cross-over PIT deduction under § 7-9-91(A) for

owners of a pass-through entity from this compensating tax deduction. The legal incidence of compensating tax is on the business, even if that business is a pass-through entity. Compensating taxes do not generally flow through to owners. As a matter of clarity, having a PIT deduction buried in the language of a compensating tax deduction, when there is no corresponding reference to the deduction within the PIT statutes, can be confusing for TRD

as well as taxpayers.

Reliability Factor: No data is available to estimate the fiscal impact of this deduction.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

CONVEYANCE OF LAND FOR CONSERVATION OR PRESERVATION FIFTY PERCENT CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: Taxpayers may receive a credit against CIT or PIT for donations of land or

interests in land if: (1) the donation is for the purpose of open space, natural

resource or biodiversity conservation, agricultural preservation or

watershed or historic preservation by the landowner or taxpayer; and (2) the entity receiving the donation is a public or private conservation agency

eligible to hold the land and interests therein for conservation or

preservation purposes. The credit equals 50% of the fair market value of

land or interest therein that was donated.

The amount of the credit that may be claimed by a taxpayer shall not exceed

\$100,000 for a conveyance made prior to January 1, 2008 and shall not

exceed \$250,000 for a conveyance made on or after that date.

The credit is not refundable but may be transferred in increments of

\$10,000 or more or may be carried forward up to 20 years.

A taxpayer may claim only one tax credit per taxable year.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.10 and 7-2A-8.9 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: These credits were enacted as part of the Land Conservation Incentives Act to

encourage private landowners to be stewards of lands that are important habitat areas, or concern significant natural, open space, and historic resources by providing incentives. The credits encourage the protection of private land for open space, natural resources, biodiversity conservation, outdoor recreation, farmland and forest preservation, historic preservation,

and land conservation purposes.

History: Originally enacted in 2003 and amended in 2007.

Evaluation: As demonstrated by the chart below, for tax years 2015 through 2019,

115,467 acres of land (or interests therein) have been conveyed for preservation and conservation purposes. This roughly corresponds to total foregone revenue of \$5.9 million to the State in the past five years. The

resulting average cost per acre is approximately \$51.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required. The data

source for acres conserved is EMNRD, which collects the data during the

certification process for this credit.

Conveyance of	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Land for Conservation	Claims	38	34	31	50	45
or Preservation Fifty Percent Credit against PIT and CIT	Acres Conserved (by Fiscal Year)	13,771	8,471	963	14,627	77,655
TT unu GT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$954	\$832	\$906	\$1,138	\$2,069

CORPORATE-SUPPORTED CHILD CARE CREDIT AGAINST CIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Corporations providing or paying for licensed child care services for

employees' children under 12 years of age may claim a credit equal to 30% of eligible expenses from their CIT liability for the tax year in which the expenses occur. This credit has a three-year carry forward. The credit may

not exceed \$30,000 per taxpayer in any taxable year.

Statutory Basis: 7-2A-14 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the provision of childcare by corporations.

History: Originally enacted in 1983 and amended in 1986 and 1995.

Evaluation: This credit is not believed to be widely used.

Recommendations: If incentivization is desired, consider increasing the per taxpayer limit,

possibly based on how many employees' children are participating.

Fiscal Impact: None.

DISABLED PERSON EXEMPTION FROM MOTOR VEHICLE EXCISE TAX

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A person is exempt from the MVX if the person has a disability at the time

the person purchases a vehicle and can prove to the Motor Vehicle Division of TRD or its agent, that modifications have been made to the vehicle that

are:

(1) due to that person's disability; and

(2) necessary to enable that person to drive that vehicle or be

transported in that vehicle.

Statutory Basis: 7-14-6(D) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To lower the tax burden of purchasing a vehicle by individuals with a

disability who need to modify their vehicles to drive them.

History: Originally enacted in 1998 and amended in 1990, 1994, 2004, and 2007

when Subsection D was added.

Evaluation: This tax expenditure meets its intended purpose of lowering the tax burden

of disabled persons.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor:

3 - Information on the number of parking placards for mobility-impaired individuals was collected from TRD's internal database. According to the Bureau of Transportation Statistics, 2.3% of disabled people have a specially modified vehicle. The national average prices of new and used vehicles were collected for each year and averaged together. According to the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute, in 2011 one out of 19.7 drivers bought a new or used vehicle. The total number of mobility-impaired placards was multiplied by the ratio of those with specially modified vehicles, then divided by 19.7 and then multiplied by the average price of a new and used vehicle. The resulting dollar amount was multiplied by the MVX rate of 3% in FY2016 through FY2019, and 3.5% in FY2020. Higher car prices in recent years, combined with the higher tax rate in FY2020 partly explain the increase in the estimate. TRD's internal database also registered a higher number of mobility-impaired placards in FY2019, explaining the uptick in the estimate.

Disabled Person Exemption from	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
MVX	Expenditure (thousands)	\$51	\$46	\$46	\$91	\$83

DISABLED STREET VENDORS EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts of disabled street vendors from the sale of goods are exempt from

GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-41.3 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To eliminate the burden of having to file and pay GRT for disabled street

vendors.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: The compliance rate of the disabled vendors prior to the enactment of the

exemption would be expected to be low, resulting in a minimal effective loss

of revenue.

Recommendations: None.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

DOH-LICENSED HOSPITALS CREDIT AGAINST GRT

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: Certain hospitals licensed by the DOH may claim a credit against GRT, escalating

from as little as 0.755% starting July 1, 2007, to as much as 5% after July 1, 2011, depending in part on whether the hospital is located in a municipality or

in an unincorporated area of a county.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-96.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To provide equitable tax treatment between for profit hospitals and not-for-

profit hospitals who are exempt from GRT.

History: Originally enacted in 2007; phased-in the credit over five years. Repealed in

2019.

Evaluation: The credit was repealed in the 2019 legislative session as part of overall

hospital GRT reform that began taxing non-profit and governmental hospitals.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

DOH-Licensed	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019
Hospitals Credit against GRT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$11,904	\$11,901	\$18,980	\$21,389

DOH-LICENSED HOSPITALS SIXTY PERCENT GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: 60% of the receipts of hospitals licensed by the DOH are deductible from

gross receipts.

This deduction may be applied only to the taxable gross receipts remaining

after all other appropriate deductions have been taken.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-73.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To provide equitable tax treatment between for-profit hospitals and not-for-

profit hospitals who are exempt from GRT.

History: Originally enacted in 1991 and amended in 1993, 1995 and 2019.

The 2019 amendment increased the deduction amount from 50% to 60%

and added a deduction against governmental gross receipts for

governmental hospitals.

Evaluation: The economic subsidy provided by this deduction against gross receipts is a

social policy decision taken to reduce the total cost borne by New Mexicans

for eligible hospital care.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 2 - The estimate uses individual tax filings from qualifying entities and

assumes these taxpayers claim the maximum deduction allowable against

their liability.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
DOH- Licensed Hospitals Sixty Percent	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$32,500	\$36,950	\$44,100	\$54,300	\$172,300
GRT Deduction	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$21,600	\$24,600	\$29,400	\$36,200	\$42,800

DURABLE MEDICAL EQUIPMENT GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale or rental of durable medical equipment and supplies

are deductible from gross receipts and governmental gross receipts tax. Qualified taxpayers must derive no less than ninety percent of their gross receipts from the sale or rental of durable medical equipment, supplies, or infusion therapy services and medications used in infusion therapy.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-73.3 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the cost of durable medical supplies and equipment.

History: Originally enacted in 2014. Amended in 2020 to extend the sunset date of

the deduction from July 1, 2020 to July 1, 2030.

Evaluation: This deduction removes the gross receipts tax burden from sellers who

would otherwise pass it on to consumers of these medically necessary goods. In fiscal year 2020, 20 separate taxpayers claimed the deduction.

The expenditure is determined to be effective based on the utilization of the

deduction and testimony from the industry.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: § 2 – The deduction is separately reported. The deduction amount is

multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the

cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Claims	102	100	89	102	94
Durable Medical Equipment Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$220	\$1,000	\$300	\$600	\$720
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$140	\$670	\$190	\$370	\$420

EDUCATION TRUST FUND PAYMENT **DEDUCTION FROM PIT**

Citizen Benefits Category:

Brief Description: A taxpayer may claim a deduction from net income in an amount equal to

> the payments made by the taxpayer into the Education Trust Fund pursuant to a college investment agreement or prepaid tuition contract under the Education Trust Act in the taxable year for which the deduction is being

claimed.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-32 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize saving for college.

Originally enacted in 1997. **History:**

Evaluation: The use of this incentive has been increasing over time.

Recommendations: This tax program is crafted to promote investment into post-secondary

education, specifically a college education, though this may not be the best path for all New Mexicans. This deduction could be expanded to incentivize a

broader array of post-secondary education options.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This deduction is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Education	Fiscal Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Trust Fund Payment	Claims	4,407	4,457	4,711	4,919	4,648
Deduction	Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,338	\$1,372	\$1,650	\$1,841	\$1,620

ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND STORAGE FACILITIES GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX DEDUCTION

Category: **Highly Specialized Industry**

Brief Description: Receipts from selling equipment to the New Mexico Renewable Energy

> Transmission Authority ("RETA") or an agent or lessee of the authority are deductible from gross receipts if the equipment is installed as part of an electric transmission facility or an interconnected storage facility acquired

by the authority, pursuant to the New Mexico Renewable Energy Transmission Authority Act, are deductible from gross receipts.

The value of equipment installed as part of an electric transmission facility or an interconnected storage facility acquired by the authority pursuant to

the New Mexico Renewable Energy Transmission Authority Act, is

deductible in computing the compensating tax due.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-101 and 7-9-102 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the development of renewable energy transmission

infrastructure in New Mexico.

History: Both deductions were originally enacted in 2007 as part of legislation that

enacted the New Mexico Renewable Energy Transmission Authority Act.

Evaluation: At the time of this publication was prepared, RETA had not issued their

> annual report for the year ending on June 30, 2017. Verbal confirmation was obtained about the information shown in the fiscal impact table for FY 2017.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 2 - The 2019 annual report from RETA does not indicate further bond issuance or that RETA has made any qualified expenditures as of EV20

issuance or that RETA has made any qualified expenditures as of FY2020.

Fiscal Impact: None.

ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND STORAGE FACILITIES SERVICES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from providing services to the New Mexico Renewable Energy

Transmission Authority (RETA) or an agent or lessee of RETA for the planning, installation, repair, maintenance or operation of an electric transmission facility or an interconnected storage facility acquired by the authority pursuant to the RETA Act, are deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-103 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: The deduction is intended to encourage the development of renewable

energy transmission infrastructure in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 as part of legislation that enacted the New Mexico

Renewable Energy Transmission Authority Act.

Evaluation: RETA has reported expenditures for planning and other "governmental"

activities." Presumably, this will lead to qualified expenditures for the other

related deductions.

Recommendations: It would be beneficial for RETA to present RSTP and/or LFC on the status of

their current and planned operations as this and other RETA-based

deductions have shown very little utilization in recent years.

Reliability Factor: 2 - RETA's annual audit reports list expenditures for planning and

development services. All expenditures, excluding personal services and benefits, are assumed to be eligible for this deduction. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to

estimate the cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019
Electric Transmission and Storage Facilities Services GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$5	\$4	\$8	\$24
2 3 3 3 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$3	\$3	\$5	\$15

ELECTRICITY CONVERSION GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from the transmission of electricity where voltage source

conversion technology is employed to provide such services, and from

ancillary services, are deductible from gross receipts.

This deduction works in tandem with the electricity exchange deduction

provided in Section 7-9-103.2 NMSA 1978.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-103.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: This deduction is intended to encourage businesses using voltage source

conversion technology to locate in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2012.

Evaluation: Tres Amigas, LLC first announced its plan to create an electrical superstation

connecting three U.S. power grids, with Clovis, New Mexico at the center, in

2009. According to the Tres Amigas website, the U.S. is home to vast quantities of clean energy resources — wind, solar, geothermal, and hydropower. Yet, it lacks a modern interstate transmission grid to deliver carbon-free electricity to customers in highly populated areas of the country. Voltage source conversion is an emerging technology. In 2017, the company announced that the project had been scaled back from an initial estimate of

\$1.5 billion in investment to \$200 million.

Recommendations: None.

Mexico.

Fiscal Impact: No taxpayers have claimed this deduction.

ELECTRICITY EXCHANGE GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from the transaction and exchange of electric power, as a part of

the transmission of electricity where voltage source conversion technology is employed to provide such services and from ancillary services, are

deductible from gross receipts.

This deduction works in tandem with the electricity conversion deduction

provided in Section 7-9-103.1 NMSA 1978.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-103.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the location of electricity exchanges in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2012.

Evaluation: Tres Amigas, LLC first announced its plan to create an electrical superstation

connecting three U.S. power grids, with Clovis, New Mexico at the center, in

2009. According to the Tres Amigas website, the U.S. is home to vast quantities of clean energy resources — wind, solar, geothermal, and hydropower. Yet, it lacks a modern interstate transmission grid to deliver carbon-free electricity to customers in highly populated areas of the country. Voltage source conversion is an emerging technology. In 2017 the company announced that the project had been scaled back from an initial estimate of

\$1.5 billion in investment to \$200 million.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 – The deduction is separately reported.

Fiscal Impact: None.

ELECTRONIC ID READER CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A taxpayer licensed to sell cigarettes, other tobacco products, or alcoholic

beverages may claim a one-time credit of up to \$300 against PIT and CIT for the purchase of electronic card-reading equipment for age verification. The credit is allowed for each business location where the business installs the

equipment.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.8 and 7-2A-18 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the use of the equipment necessary to electronically verify the

age of purchasers of tobacco and alcohol by subsidizing its cost.

History: Originally enacted in 2001.

Evaluation: This credit is not used.

Recommendations: This credit should be re-evaluated, considering input from industry

stakeholders, or be repealed as ineffective and unused. Industry changes with respect to electronic age verification equipment and systems as well as

industry saturation should be considered.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: None. This credit has never been claimed.

EXCESS OF ELDERLY TAXPAYERS MAXIMUM PROPERTY TAX LIABILITY REBATE FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Taxpayers who are 65 and older may claim a PIT rebate for the amount of

their property tax that exceeds their maximum liability (ranging from \$20 to \$300 depending on the taxpayer's modified gross income). No tax rebate shall be allowed to any taxpayer whose modified gross income exceeds \$16,000 unless the taxpayer's principal place of residence is in a county that has in effect a resolution authorizing an increase to a \$25,000 gross income

cap for the taxable year.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To offset the property tax costs for taxpayers who are often on fixed income.

History: Originally enacted in 1977 and amended in 1981, 1993, 1997, 1999, and

2003.

Evaluation: According to the U.S. Census, the number of people over 65 who are in the

labor force is increasing. By continuing to work, the likelihood is that their income exceeds the statutory threshold so that they no longer qualify for

this rebate.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This rebate is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Excess of Elderly	Fiscal Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Taxpayers Maximum Property Tax Liability	Claims	16,458	16,225	16,004	15,513	13,456
Rebate from PIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$3,378	\$3,359	\$3,342	\$3,250	\$2,843

FEES FROM SOCIAL ORGANIZATIONS EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts from dues and registration fees of nonprofit social, fraternal,

political, trade, labor, or professional organizations and business leagues,

are exempt from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-39 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the tax burden of certain nonprofit entities.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1977.

Evaluation: With no direct data, a proper evaluation is difficult.

Recommendations: For clarity and consistency with other statutes addressing nonprofits,

reference the United States Internal Revenue Code organization subsections that correspond to the description of organizations and leagues in statute.

Reliability Factor:

4 – The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) releases data for many nonprofits exempt from federal income tax who file an annual Form 990 Return. The National Center for Charitable Statistics has assembled IRS Form 990 data to report aggregate statistics by state. State-level data is available for total revenue and total assets by nonprofits sub-divided by the IRS subsections for 501(c)s. While membership dues and registration fees are reported on IRS Form 990 returns, this detail is only aggregated at the national level. Calculating the national estimates of membership dues and registration fees as a percentage of total revenues, these estimates are applied to state level total revenue. Assumptions are made as to which 501(c) organizations this statute applies to and the corresponding percentage of revenue coming from dues and fees. This percentage was assumed to be 50% for nonprofits that fell under included organization categories.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019
Fees from Social Organizations Exemption from	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,610	\$1,370	\$1,880	\$1,860
GRT	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,030	\$920	\$1,180	\$1,140

FILM AND TELEVISION CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description:

For film production companies that commence principal photography on or after January 1, 2016, a credit against PIT or CIT is available for 25% of direct production and postproduction expenditures made in New Mexico that are subject to taxation by the State of New Mexico and directly attributable to the production of a film or commercial audiovisual product.

An additional 5% is available for direct production expenditures for TV pilots and series with at least six episodes in a single season and a budget of at least \$50,000 per episode. The additional 5% also applies to direct production expenditures that are directly attributable to the wages and fringe benefits paid to a New Mexico resident directly employed in an industry crew position, excluding a performing artist, on a production with a total budget of:

- (1) not more than \$30,000,000 that shoots at least ten principal photography days at a qualified production facility in New Mexico; or
- (2) \$30,000,000 or more that shoots at least fifteen principal photography days at a qualified production facility in New Mexico.

Provides an additional 5% credit for direct production and postproduction expenditures for qualified film and television production located at least sixty miles outside of Bernalillo and Santa Fe Counties.

An aggregate annual cap limits payouts of the film production tax credit to \$110 million across both PIT and CIT programs in any fiscal year.

Statutory Basis: 7-2F et seq. NMSA 1978

Intended Purposes: The purposes and goals of the film production tax credit are to:

- 1. establish the film industry as a permanent component of the economic base of New Mexico;
- 2. develop a pool of trained professionals and businesses in New Mexico to supply and support the film industry in the state;
- 3. increase employment of New Mexico residents;
- 4. improve the economic success of existing businesses in New Mexico; and
- 5. develop the infrastructure in the state necessary for a thriving film industry.

History:

Originally enacted in 2002 and amended in 2003, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2011, 2013, 2015, 2016 and 2019.

The 2011 amendment added a \$50 million cap, provided tracking requirements, required film production companies to submit the application/claim within one year, and required mandatory income tax withholding on non-resident actors. Although the 2011 amendment capped the annual payout to \$50 million, it did not stop credits from being approved for payment in subsequent years, thereby creating a large backlog of approved but unpaid credits that were ultimately repaid in FY2019.

The 2013 amendment allowed an additional 5% to be added to the calculation for the film production tax credit for television shows subject to certain requirements (the "Breaking Bad" provision); also allowed for any amount of annual film credit that is unused in Fiscal Years 2013-2015 under the \$50 million cap — up to a maximum of \$10 million — to be carried forward and added into the subsequent fiscal year's cap; in any year where the \$50 million cap is not reached, if there are amounts that would be paid in a subsequent year under the multi-year provisions of the film credit, those subsequent year amounts may be paid in the current year up to the \$50 million cap; provided more specific requirements for withholding taxes related to services provided by artists under the "direct production expenditures" provisions of the credit, and provided for a definition of "qualified production facility" and clarified tax obligations of non-resident vendor services.

In 2015, the film and television tax credit added credits for television pilots and series, qualified production facilities, non-resident industry crews, limited payments for performing artists, and added requirements to contract with certain vendors. An additional amendment added a provision for a film production company that is eligible to receive a film production credit, to assign payment of all or a portion of the credit to either a third-party financial institution or another authorized third party. The 2015 amendment had no impact on the time periods covered by this report.

The 2019 amendment allowed for a film credit of 5% for direct expenditures of qualified film and television productions located more than sixty miles outside of the boundaries of Bernalillo and Santa Fe counties, and increased the annual cap of the credit from \$50 million to \$110 million. The 2019 expanded cap excludes credits paid to New Mexico film partners that have made a 10 or more year commitment by purchasing property or entering a lease.

Evaluation:

The film and television industry has a cyclical characteristic, and production locations change in accordance with the whims of popular culture and the highly competitive film production industry. Thus, while the incentive has been extremely effective, New Mexico competes with other states as well as other countries to attract major film and television productions.

Recommendations: None.

 $\textbf{Reliability Factor:} \ \ \boxed{1} \ - \ \text{This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required}.$

Film and Television Credit	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Against PIT and CIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$48,967	\$49,971	\$49,965	\$148,220	\$55,596

FOSTER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A taxpayer who is not a dependent of another taxpayer and employs a

qualified foster youth in New Mexico is eligible for a credit against the taxpayer's PIT or CIT liability. The credit amount is up to \$1,000 of gross wages paid during the taxable year and may be claimed for multiple qualified foster youth, but the credit must be claimed within one calendar year from the date of hire.

A "qualified foster youth" is defined as an individual:

(1) who:

- (a) is currently in the legal custody of the Children, Youth and Families Department or a New Mexico Indian nation, tribe or pueblo or the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Division of Human Services; or
- (b) within the seven years prior to the taxable year for which the credit is claimed was aged 14 years or older and was in the legal custody of the Children, Youth and Families Department or a New Mexico Indian nation, tribe or pueblo or the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Division of Human Services.
- (2) who works at least 20 hours per week during the taxable year for which the credit is claimed; and
- (3) who was not previously employed by the taxpayer during the prior taxable year.

Any portion of credit that is not used against the taxpayer's liability may be carried forward for up to three years. This credit cannot be transferred to another taxpayer, but it can be allocated based on a taxpayer's ownership interest in a business.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.30 and 7-2A-29 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the employment of individuals who as youth were adjudicated

as abused or neglected or who were in the legal custody of the Children, Youth and Families Department or a New Mexico Indian nation, tribe or pueblo or the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian

Affairs, Division of Human Services.

History: Originally enacted in 2018.

Evaluation: Due to the sensitivity and stigma surrounding an individual's history as a

foster youth, the certification process for this credit is difficult. To date, the

credit has never been claimed.

Recommendation: A review of the certification process is being initiated by policymakers and

state agencies to address the sensitivity for these employees and employers. TRD recommends that certification for the credit be performed by an agency other than TRD, perhaps by CYFD, DWS, or tribal entities as applicable. As currently written, the credit appears to state that each youth can only get up to \$1,000 pf credit during their lifetime and for one employer. With that restriction, it may be too burdensome for employers to claim this credit for the limited benefit to be received. The credit also requires the youth to work 1040 hours per year, which is 20 hours per week. This work requirement

may not be feasible for youth who are in school.

Reliability Factor: 1 – The credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: None. This credit has never been claimed.

FUEL FOR SPACE VEHICLES EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale and the use of fuel, oxidizer, or a substance that

combines fuel and oxidizer to propel space vehicles or to operate space

vehicle launchers are exempt from GRT and compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-26.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize space-related launches at Spaceport America and elsewhere

in the state.

History: Originally enacted in 2003 as part of legislation exempting several space-

related activities from GRT and compensating tax.

Evaluation: From publicly available information and that published by the Spaceport, in

2017 a company expanded at the Spaceport to include manufacturing fueled motors. Two other operators that would require refueling activities have also made significant investments at the site and others have signed partnerships with the Spaceport Authority. Additionally, a space focused small business relocated its headquarters to New Mexico, but progress on actual launches appears to be sporadic. Additionally, effects from the COVID-19 pandemic on the national economy have created uncertainty

surrounding the future of commercial space flights.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: No data is available to estimate the fiscal impact, but it is probably minimal.

Without a reporting requirement, it is difficult to ascertain the size of this

exemption.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

FUTURE DISTRIBUTION TO A NONRESIDENT BENEFICIARY DEDUCTION FROM PIT

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: A taxpayer that is an estate or trust may claim a deduction from net income

in the amount equal to income set aside for future distribution to a

nonresident individual beneficiary. The deduction excludes income derived from New Mexico real property, oil and gas, and water interests as well as income that the estate or trust would allocate or apportion to New Mexico.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-38 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To increase estate and trust business in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2019. This deduction has a sunset provision of January

1, 2025.

Evaluation: The fiscal impact below represents the first applicable year for this

deduction. Further data will need to be accumulated to assess whether this deduction increases estate and trust business in New Mexico. Although most states tax all income of resident trusts and then offer a credit for taxes paid to another state on trust income, New Mexico is different. New Mexico allows trust income to be apportioned. Apportioning trust income outside of New Mexico means that it is not subject to New Mexico tax and no credit for taxes paid to other states is needed. This deduction allows trust income owed to a non-resident beneficiary to be deducted; that does not seem necessary since New Mexico allows it to be apportioned elsewhere to avoid taxation in New Mexico. Further, by providing a benefit to resident trusts but not to non-resident trusts, this bill may raise issues with the commerce

clause of the United States Constitution.

Recommendation: Consider repealing, as this deduction may result from a misunderstanding of

how estates and trusts are taxed in New Mexico.

Reliability Factor: 2 – This expenditure is calculated directly using taxpayer data as the

deduction is separately reported on fiduciary income tax returns. The reliability factor is at a 2 given the degree of estimation involved under a

fiduciary income tax return.

Future Distribution to a Non-Resident Beneficiary Deduction from PIT	Tax Year (Calendar)	2019
	Claims	153
	Expenditure (thousands)	\$266

GEOTHERMAL GROUND-COUPLED HEAT PUMP CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: A taxpayer who purchases and installs after January 1, 2010 but before

December 31, 2020 a geothermal ground-coupled heat pump in a residence, business, or agricultural enterprise in New Mexico may claim a credit up to

30% of the purchase and installation costs against PIT or CIT.

A "geothermal ground-coupled heat pump" is a device that provides space or water heating or cooling via ground water or water circulating through the ground. The total geothermal ground-coupled heat pump tax credit allowed

to a taxpayer shall not exceed \$9,000. The department may allow a

maximum annual aggregate of \$2,000,000 in geothermal ground-coupled

heat pump tax credits. EMNRD certifies taxpayer credits.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.24 and 7-2A-24 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To subsidize the geothermal ground-coupled heat pump industry.

History: Originally enacted in 2009.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Geothermal Ground-Coupled Heat Pump	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Credit against PIT	Claims	265	333	242	181	188
and CIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$762	\$881	\$495	\$400	\$451

GOODS AND SERVICES FOR THE DOD RELATED TO DIRECTED ENERGY AND SATELLITES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Prior to January 1, 2031, receipts from the sale by a qualified contractor of

qualified research and development services and qualified directed energy and satellite-related inputs, may be deducted from gross receipts when sold

pursuant to a contract with the U.S. Department of Defense.

A taxpayer allowed a deduction pursuant to this section shall report the amount of the deduction separately in a manner required by TRD.

Definitions:

(1) "directed energy" means a system, including related services, that enables the use of the frequency spectrum, including radio waves, light and

x-rays;

(2) "inputs" means systems, subsystems, components, prototypes and demonstrators or products and services involving optics, photonics,

electronics, advanced materials, nanoelectromechanical and

microelectromechanical systems, fabrication materials and test evaluation and computer control systems related to directed energy or satellites.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-115 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To promote new and sophisticated technology, enhance the viability of

directed energy and satellite projects, attract new projects and employers to New Mexico and increase high-technology employment opportunities in

New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2015 amended in 2019.

Evaluation: The deduction has incentivized the growth of this advanced technology

industry in New Mexico as demonstrated by the growth in the use of the

deduction.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

DoD Directed Energy and Satellites Deduction	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Claims	-	43	67	82	103
	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	-	\$100	\$370	\$660	\$1,610
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	-	\$60	\$250	\$420	\$980

HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER SERVICES GRT DEDUCTION AND HOLD HARMLESS DISTRIBUTION

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: Receipts of licensed health care practitioners from payments by managed

health care providers or by health care insurers for commercial contract $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

services or by Medicare Part C services provided by a health care

practitioner, are deductible from gross receipts.

The deduction is separately reported by the taxpayer. In 2016, Section 7-1-69.2 was created to add a penalty for incorrectly filing for this instead of taking other exemptions or deductions which must be taken first, resulting in a hold harmless distribution. The penalty is equal to 20% of the value of the hold harmless distribution resulting from the incorrect deduction.

Enacted with the originating legislation were provisions in the Tax Administration Act that revenues of municipal and county governments

would be held harmless from the deduction.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-93, 7-1-6.46, and 7-1-6.47 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To recruit and retain health care providers in the state.

History: All three sections were originally enacted in 2004 and amended in 2006 and

2007. Sections 7-1-6.46 and 7-1-6.47 NMSA 1978 were also amended in 2013. Section 7-9-93 was amended in 2016 to reinstate the presumed original intention of the deduction by restricting it to health care

practitioners only and not hospitals.

Evaluation: Because of the local hold harmless provision and the loss of the revenue, this

deduction comes at a significant cost to both the State General Fund and the

very taxpayers it is benefitting.

The significant increase of this deduction in FY2016 was caused by amended tax returns and applications for refund resulting from a hearing officer's decision and order known as the "HealthSouth" decision. The HealthSouth decision ruled that, contrary to longstanding TRD regulations, for-profit hospitals could claim the deduction under Section 7-9-93 NMSA 1978 for services provided by health care practitioners at those facilities. It also indicated that TRD conceded that for-profit hospitals could claim the deduction under Section 7-9-77.1 NSMA 1978 for health care services when

the payments for those services came from specified federal sources. Legislative changes enacted in 2016 closed the loophole and led to the HealthSouth decision and precluded for-profit hospitals from claiming these

deductions subsequent to the 2016 amendment.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 – This deduction is separately reported. No estimation is required. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Fiscal Impact: Health Care Practitioner Services GRT	State General Fund Expenditure from Local Hold Harmless Distributions (thousands)	\$49,000	\$28,500	\$21,100	\$21,100	\$19,500
Deduction and Hold Harmless Distribution	State General Fund Expenditure from Deduction (thousands)	\$67,400	\$42,000	\$34,300	\$36,600	\$37,100
	Local Government Expenditure from Deduction (thousands)	\$52,100	\$32,300	\$25,700	\$27,700	\$27,900

HEARING AND VISION AIDS GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale of vision and hearing aids or from fitting and

dispensing of these types of aids are deductible from gross receipts.

Definitions:

(1) "vision aids" are defined as closed circuit television systems, monoculars, magnification systems, speech output devices or other systems specifically designed for use by persons with low vision or visual impairment and not normally used by a person who does not have low vision or visual impairment;

- (2) "visual impairment" is defined as a central visual acuity of 20/200 or less in the better eye with use of a correcting lens, or a limitation in the fields of vision so the widest diameter of visual field subtends an angle of 20 degrees or less; and,
- (3) "hearing aids" are defined as small electronic prescription devices that amplify sound and are usually worn in or behind the ear of a person with impaired hearing.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-111 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To benefit persons who, due to medical conditions, need hearing and vision

aids. The deduction reduces the tax burden imposed by GRT and increases

the taxpayers' disposable income.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reports that half of

> adults who are 75 and older report some hearing loss. This is a population that is primarily covered by Medicare, but Medicare does not reimburse for hearing aids or the exams needed for fitting aids. Those who served in the US military can have hearing aids covered through the Department of Veterans Affairs. The cost of one hearing aid can be between \$1,000 and \$4,000 making it cost prohibitive for many people to purchase one aid let alone two. Access to affordable vision and hearing aids improves quality of

life.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 4 – Using data from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2012 Economic Survey, the estimated sales of hearing aids in New Mexico is used to calculate a portion of this deduction. The 2012 estimate for hearing aids sales was grown by the growth of the New Mexico population 60 and older as estimated by the U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year estimates. This deduction estimate is under-estimating the total expenditure amount as the data only covers sales of hearing aids and not related services for fitting hearing aids. Also not included is any data related to vision aids as detailed in statute. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost. It is anticipated that new data will be available from the U.S. Census Bureau Economic Survey in 2021.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Hearing and Vision Aids GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$180	\$180	\$190	\$200	\$210
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$110	\$120	\$120	\$120	\$120

HIGH-WAGE JOBS CREDIT AGAINST MODIFIED COMBINED TAX

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: Eligible employers who create high-wage jobs in New Mexico may apply for

a tax credit against State GRT (not GRT imposed by counties or

municipalities), compensating tax, withholding tax, E911, and CRS tax due.

An "eligible employer" is an employer that is eligible for the Job Training

Incentive Program administered by EDD.

The amount of the high wage jobs tax credit ("HWJTC") is equal to 8.5% of the qualifying wages and benefits in an eligible job up to \$12,750 for each new job. If an eligible employer meets the requirements, there is no upward

limit on the potential number of total credits claimed.

Statutory Basis: 7-9G-1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To provide an incentive for businesses to create and fill new high-wage jobs

in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2004 and amended in 2007, 2008, 2013, and 2016.

The 2013 amendment clarified the application of the high-wage jobs tax credit; defined benefits and wages; added the purpose section; clarified the \$12,000 limitation applied per job per qualifying period; limited the time for which a taxpayer can apply for approval of the credit to no later than 12 months following the end of the calendar year in which the taxpayer's final qualifying period closes; closed a loophole with respect to mergers, acquisitions, and reorganizations; changed the population threshold for rural/urban distinction from 40,000 to 60,000; clarified that the eligible employee must be employed in New Mexico; clarified that the goods or services sold must be produced in New Mexico; added a requirement that the taxpayer be certified by EDD as eligible for development training program assistance in order to be an "eligible employer"; clarified that a "new high-wage economic-based job" must be a new job and must be in New Mexico; extended the deadline to hire to July 1, 2020; and increased the wages that must be paid to qualify after July 1, 2015 (from \$40,000 to \$60,000 in urban communities and from \$28,000 to \$40,000 in rural communities).

The 2016 amendment changed the eligibility requirements to qualify for the credit and required annual filing, whereas previously, taxpayers could file for multiple qualifying periods at once. The amendment closed gaps that allowed unintended recipients to receive the credit. The amendment also removed employee benefits from the calculation of the credit value.

The 2019 amendment removed the requirement of "economic based" from the high wage job classification. The reimbursement rate was reduced from 10% of wages to 8.5%, and the amendment increased the eligible benefit per job from \$12,000 to \$12,750.

Evaluation:

Because 2013 amendments and the flurry of credit claims that preceded the effective date of those changes, TRD approved significant amounts of HWJTC claims in FY2015 and FY2016. More recent credit claims are in line with TRD's expectations following the 2016 and 2019 amendments.

Recommendations:

Tie eligible employer definition to criteria in JTIP program. Remove "penalty box" provisions that prevent application if employment drops. Reduce credit rate to top personal income tax rate (rising from 4.9% to 5.9% for tax year 2020).

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

High-Wage Jobs Credit	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
against Modified Combined Tax	Expenditure (thousands)	\$45,975	\$12,518	\$9,757	\$10,081	\$3,462

HOSTING WORLD WIDE WEB SITES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: Receipts from internet connected facilities that store data are deductible

from gross receipts. Despite the title, the statute indicates it is not limited to facilities that provide web-hosting. Any facility storing data and connected

to the internet qualifies for this deduction.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-56.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to incentivize data centers to relocate in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1998.

Evaluation: According to Data Center Map (<u>datacentermap.com</u>), there are currently 7

colocation data centers operating in the state; 6 of them are located in Albuquerque, and 1 in Taos. The majority of these companies started operations in New Mexico after the deduction became effective. However, the statute is written broadly enough that any facility storing data and connected to the internet qualifies. Although a large multinational company

has recently constructed a data center in the state, it is unlikely this

deduction will affect the amount of GRT the company pays.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 2 – The GRT deduction taken by all the companies filing under a NAICS code indicating a primary business of Data Processing Hosting and Polated

indicating a primary business of Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services was summed, assuming that one-third of this amount was affected

because of this deduction.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Hosting World Wide Web Sites GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$90	\$100	\$130	\$170	\$250
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$60	\$70	\$80	\$100	\$150

HYBRID VEHICLE EXEMPTION FROM MVX

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Gasoline-electric hybrid vehicles with a rating of at least 27.5 miles per

gallon, as certified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency are eligible for a one-time exemption from the MVX at the time of issuance of the

original title.

The exemption was effective from July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2009.

Statutory Basis: 7-14-6(G) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the purchase of gasoline-electric hybrid vehicles, presumably

to help with their overall adoption while also improving air quality, reducing

carbon emissions and reducing dependence on petroleum.

History: The section was originally enacted in 1988 and amended in 1990, 1994,

2004, and 2007.

This particular exemption was enacted by the amendment in 2004.

Evaluation: Data is unavailable for hybrid vehicles sales back to the time this statute was

in effect. Overall, sales in the US of various forms of hybrid and electric vehicles is much more common than when the statute was enacted, but currently this still only amounts to 2.2% of new and used sales in New Mexico, versus a US average of 2.6%. Other states can incentivize to achieve

sales in the 3% to 7% range.

Recommendations: If encouragement of hybrid and electric vehicle sales is still desired, amend

the effective dates of the statute and modernize to a higher requirement on mileage rating, battery size, plug-in capability and/or full electric capability. Income tax liability deductions are also used as incentives in many states

and with the federal government.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This exemption expired on June 30, 2009. Since then, no hybrid vehicle

qualifies for the exemption.

Fiscal Impact: None.

INVESTMENT CREDIT AGAINST GRT, COMPENSATING TAX, OR WITHHOLDING TAX

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: The investment credit is for equipment owned and introduced into New

Mexico for use by a taxpayer in a new or expanded manufacturing operation.

To be eligible for the credit, until June 30, 2020, the taxpayer must employ one full-time equivalent ("FTE") for every \$500,000 of qualified equipment claimed (up to \$30 million) and one FTE for every \$1 million of qualified equipment claimed (over \$30 million). After June 30, 2020, the taxpayer must employ one FTE for every \$100,000 of qualified equipment claimed.

The credit may be applied against a maximum of 85% of a taxpayer's gross receipts, compensating, and withholding tax liability, but may not be taken against any GRT imposed by a county or municipality.

The credit is refundable only up to \$250,000 if the taxpayer's available credit is less than \$500,000 and the sum of the taxpayer's gross receipts, compensating, and withholding tax due for the previous calendar year was less than 35% of the taxpayer's available credit but more than \$10,000.

There is no limit to how long the credit can be carried forward.

Statutory Basis: 7-9A-1 et seq. NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To provide a favorable tax climate for manufacturing businesses and to

promote increased employment in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1979 and amended in 1983, 1986, 1990, 1991, 2001,

2002, 2003, 2009 and 2020.

Evaluation: EDD reports that due to this incentive, the state has attracted manufacturing

businesses from key industries such as oil and gas producers, breweries and

wineries.

Recommendations: Establish procedures for when the credit may be claimed. The credit should be

claimed in consecutive months once the company starts claiming the credit.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Investment Credit against GRT,	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Compensating Tax, or Withholding Tax	Expenditure (thousands)	\$2,004	\$1,157	\$1,254	\$1,458	\$1,646

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT OR ADVISORY SERVICES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: Receipts from fees received for performing management or investment

advisory services for a mutual fund, hedge fund, or real estate investment

trust, are deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-108 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize fund managers to move to New Mexico, thereby increasing

income tax revenues and disposable income that will circulate through the

State's economy.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 📳

4 - TRD does not have any direct data to estimate this deduction. Gross receipts for taxpayers classified in certain financial activities are aggregated to establish a bound for this deduction. This estimate should be understood to represent the general magnitude of the deduction. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost. The estimated impact amount includes both state and local revenue.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Investment Management or Advisory Services GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,000	\$700	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,100
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$600	\$500	\$600	\$600	\$600

IET FUEL FIFTY-FIVE PERCENT GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX DEDUCTION

Category: **Economic Development**

Brief Description: From July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2017, 55% of the receipts from the sale

of fuel specially prepared and sold for use in turboprop or jet-type engines,

as determined by TRD, are deductible from gross receipts.

From July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2017, 55% of the value of the fuel specially prepared and sold for use in turboprop or jet-type engines as determined by TRD, may be deducted in computing compensating tax.

After June 30, 2017, the amount of the deductions is reduced to 40% of the

receipts from the sale or value of the fuel.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-83 and 7-9-84 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to incentivize routing of air traffic through New Mexico by

reducing the effective cost of refueling in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1993 and amended in 2003, 2006, and 2011, when the

deduction was extended through 2017 and amended down from 55% to

40% of the receipts attributable to the sale of Jet Fuel.

Evaluation: According to the U.S. Dept. of Transportation, for the last several years

> before 2017, total departures from the Albuquerque Sunport have been decreasing. Although the repeal of the Wright amendment resulted in a larger than usual decrease in FY2015, the decrease has been getting smaller, and is estimated at 2.2% for FY2016. It was then up 2.7% in 2017. As shown below, expenditures for jet fuel have been moving more significantly than the change in flight count. This is generally attributed to changing fuel prices overall, as well as increasing efficiency in airliners. Therefore, it is unclear if this deduction is exerting any influence on purchases of jet fuel in

New Mexico.

Recommendations: Amend statute to require that this deduction be separately reported. TRD

> distributes funds to the State Aviation Fund from the taxable sales of jet fuel in the state. Separately-reporting the deduction would help ensure that distributions are based on taxable sales after the deduction has been taken.

Reliability Factor: 3 - EIA's published statewide expenditures on jet fuel were used for this estimate. 80% is assumed to be subject to GRT at the statewide average tax

rate; the remainder is assumed to fall under compensating tax.

Jet Fuel Fifty-Five Percent GRT and	Fiscal Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	Comp Tax Expenditure (thousands)	\$500	\$400	\$500	\$500	\$300
Compensating Tax Deduction	State General Fund GRT Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,586	\$1,281	\$1,555	\$1,594	\$1,055
	Local Government GRT Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,014	\$819	\$1,045	\$1,006	\$645

JOB MENTORSHIP CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Businesses hiring qualified students in a school-sanctioned, career-

preparation education program may claim a credit against PIT and CIT. Qualifying businesses must employ students attending an accredited New

Mexico secondary school full-time.

Credits are equal to 50% of the gross wages paid, subject to limitations. In no event shall a taxpayer claim a credit in excess of \$12,000 in any taxable

year.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.11 and 7-2A-17.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage New Mexico businesses to hire youth participating in a career

preparation education program.

History: Originally enacted in 2003.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: This credit does not appear to be incentivizing businesses to hire qualified

students. A requirement for qualified programs at secondary schools could be implemented, and a concerted effort at outreach to both businesses and

schools may improve awareness of the credit.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

NOTE: TY2016 and TY2018 data have been redacted due to fewer than 3

taxpayers claiming the credit.

Fiscal Impact:

Job Mentorship	Tax Year (Calendar)	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Credit against PIT	Claims	5	5	<3	4	<3
and CIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$13.1	\$12.1	-	\$7.6	-

TABLE NOTE: "-" MEANS DATA IS REDACED DUE TO FEWER THAN 3 TAXPAYERS

LABORATORY PARTNERSHIP WITH SMALL BUSINESS CREDIT AGAINST GRT

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: A national laboratory that offers certain types of eligible assistance to

individual small businesses in New Mexico, and incurs expenses for doing so, may claim a credit against the state portion of GRT of up to \$10,000 per business (\$20,000 per business in a rural area). The tax credits claimed by an individual national laboratory shall not exceed \$2,400,000 in a given

calendar year.

Statutory Basis: 7-9E-1 *et seq.* NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To bring the technology and expertise of the national laboratories to small

businesses in New Mexico to promote economic development in the state,

with an emphasis on rural areas.

History: Originally enacted in 2000, amended in 2007 and 2019.

The 2019 amendment increased the maximum amount that may be claimed for businesses in urban areas from \$10,000 to \$20,000, and increased from

\$20,000 to \$40,000 for businesses located in rural areas.

Evaluation: According to New Mexico Small Business Assistance ("NMSBA") annual

reports, since inception, the program has assisted 3,000 small businesses

with 7,800 jobs created and retained.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact:

Laboratory Partnership with Small	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019
Business Credit against GRT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$4,700	\$4,700	\$4,600	\$4,700

NOTE: We are not required to redact this information even though it relates to fewer than three taxpayers because the data is published independently by both taxpayers.

LOAN-RELATED COSTS GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts from charges made in connection with the origination, making, or

assumption of a loan or from charges made for handling loan payments are

deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-61.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce the costs of borrowing, thereby increasing access to

capital in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1981.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: This deduction has no reporting requirement and TRD does not have any

direct data to estimate it.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

LOCOMOTIVE ENGINE FUEL GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX DEDUCTION

Category: **Highly Specialized Industry**

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale of fuel to a common carrier to be loaded or used in a

locomotive engine are deductible from gross receipts.

The value of fuel to be loaded or used by a common carrier in a locomotive

engine in a locomotive engine is deductible when computing the

compensating tax due.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-110.1 and 7-9-110.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the construction, renovation, maintenance and operation of

railroad locomotive refueling facilities and other railroad capital

investments in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2011; became effective July 1, 2013 upon certification

by EDD that construction had commenced.

Evaluation: According to UP's annual report for FY20, the company has directly

> provided 391 jobs, of which 229 are UP's employees and 162 are contractors. The company reports that the average salary of the 229 employees is \$100,622. 51 of the Santa Teresa employees are subject to Withholding Tax. Burlington Northern Santa Fe ("BNSF") reports 875 jobs for FY20. These are existing jobs. BNSF reported operating revenue for 2019

at \$1.8 billion, an increase of \$273 million since 2013.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 2 - Gross purchases and deduction amounts are separately reported directly by taxpayers to EDD. Some estimation is required by TRD.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Locomotive Engine Fuel GRT and Compensating Tax Deduction	Comp Tax Expenditure (thousands)	\$11,400	\$10,757	\$12,310	\$14,300	\$10,304
	State General Fund GRT Expenditure (thousands)	\$2,806	\$3,669	\$6,119	\$5,463	\$3,206
	Local Government GRT Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,794	\$2,465	\$3,863	\$3,337	\$1,853

LOTTERY RETAILER RECEIPTS **GRT DEDUCTION**

Highly Specialized Industry Category:

Brief Description: Receipts of a lottery game retailer from selling lottery tickets pursuant to the

New Mexico Lottery Act may be deducted from gross receipts.

7-9-87 NMSA 1978 **Statutory Basis:**

Intended Purpose: To reduce the cost of lottery tickets to increase lottery ticket sales, a portion

of which goes to the Lottery Scholarship Program.

Originally enacted in 1995. **History:**

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

2 – The cost of this deduction was estimated using gross revenues published in New Mexico Lottery annual reports multiplied by the statewide average **Reliability Factor:**

GRT rate for each year.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019
Lottery Retailer Receipts GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$6,590	\$5,260	\$5,760	\$6,520
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$4,210	\$3,540	\$3,640	\$3,980

LOW-INCOME COMPREHENSIVE TAX REBATE AND PROPERTY TAX REBATE AGAINST PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: This expenditure consists of two separate statutory provisions. The low-

income comprehensive tax rebate ("LICTR") is a partial offset for state and local consumption taxes paid by low income taxpayers. It may be claimed by taxpayers with a modified gross income of less than \$22,000. The rebate amount is dependent upon modified gross income as well as the number of personal exemptions, defined as the sum of the taxpayer, spouse and dependents reported on the taxpaver's federal return, claimed and varies

between \$10 and \$450.

The low-income property tax rebate is a partial offset for property taxes paid by low income residents. It may be claimed by individuals with:

> (1) a principal place of residence in a county that has enacted an ordinance authorizing the rebate, and

(2) modified gross income of less than \$24,000.

This rebate is calculated as a percentage of the taxpayer's property tax liability and based on the taxpayer's modified gross income. The rebate amount cannot exceed \$350, or \$175 if married filing separately.

The State is reimbursed annually by the authorizing county for any lowincome property tax rebates granted under this section.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-14(A) and 7-2-14.3 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To ease the consumption tax burden for low-income taxpayers and to offset

property taxes for those whose income is insufficient to cover their property

taxes so that they are not forced out of their homes.

LICTR was originally enacted in 1972 and amended in 1973, 1974, 1975, **History:**

> 1977, 1978, 1981, 1986, 1987, 1990, 1992, 1994, and 1998. The low-income property tax rebate was originally enacted in 1994 and amended in 1997

and 2003.

Evaluation: It is important to combine different strategies to support successful

> transition out of poverty and evaluate them as a whole. LICTR provides a valuable offset to New Mexico's regressive GRT, which has a relative high rate compared to gross receipts and sales taxes in other states. LICTR has not been increased for inflation since 1998. As a result, fewer taxpayers are

eligible to claim it each year as a result of inflation.

The low income property tax rebate has only been adopted by two counties at this time – Santa Fe and Los Alamos. Because counties bear the cost of this tax expenditure, the cost of the rebate may be prohibitive for some counties to implement. Counties with high rates of poverty coupled with high rates of property value growth are encouraged to consider adopting the program.

Recommendations: None.

Rebate, Low	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Income	Claims	246,339	241,418	227,361	217,296	208,086
Comprehe nsive Tax (LICTR)	Expenditure (thousands)	\$19,691	\$19,158	\$17,947	\$17,004	\$16,221

Rebate,	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Low Income	Claims	1,924	1,965	1,994	1,854	1,726
Property	Expenditure (thousands)	\$596	\$605	\$621	\$580	\$538

LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME TAXPAYERS **EXEMPTION FROM PIT**

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: An individual may claim an exemption equal to the number of personal

> exemptions, defined as the sum of the taxpayer, spouse and dependents reported on the taxpayer's federal return, multiplied by \$2,500. The amount

of the exemption is deducted from the individual's net income.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-5.8 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: The exemption reduces the tax liability of households with less than a

specified threshold of modified gross income. This results in lower income

households retaining more of their income.

History: Originally enacted in 2005 and amended in 2007.

The 2007 amendment increased the adjusted gross income threshold

amounts for taxpayers eligible for the exemption.

Evaluation: This exemption excludes more than \$2 billion of income from the PIT base

> each year. The exemption amount phases out as adjusted gross income rises. Given that the savings rate among lower income taxpayers is relatively low, there is a high likelihood that this money is recirculating throughout the New Mexico economy to increase consumption and

economic activity in New Mexico.

Recommendations: Although the number of eligible households claiming the exemption are

> significant, the income thresholds are currently defined by filing status and do not adjust for inflation. A more accurate alternative may be to use the federal poverty guidelines, published annually by the Department of Health & Human Services. Alternatively, consider updating statutory thresholds for

inflation since 2007.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 – The exemption amounts for each taxpayer claiming this exemption were computed, making this data more reliable than in past years when an average rate for all taxpayers was used. The differences between annual expenditure reports are attributed to updates in GenTax as tax filers provide

late and/or amended returns.

Exemption,	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Low-Middle	Claims	587,997	588,377	568,175	557,894	540,739
Income	Expenditure (thousands)	\$20,207	\$20,305	\$20,046	\$23,552	\$18,872

MEDICAL AND HEALTH CARE SERVICES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: **Highly Specialized Industry**

Brief Description: Receipts from payments by the U.S. government or any agency thereof for

Medicare services received by certain medical practitioners and medicalrelated facilities, receipts of medical doctors and osteopathic physicians from payments by a third-party administrator of the federal TRICARE program, and receipts of a medical doctor or osteopathic physician from payments by or on behalf of the Indian Health Service of the U.S. Department of Health and HSD for the provision of medical and other health services to

covered beneficiaries are deductible from gross receipts.

7-9-77.1 NMSA 1978 **Statutory Basis:**

Intended Purpose: To recruit and retain healthcare practitioners in the state. According to DOH

> and the Indian Affairs Department, the deduction makes it more profitable for medical providers to serve Native American populations in New Mexico as those communities face difficulties in recruiting health care practitioners

due to below-average salaries.

History: Originally enacted in 1998, amended in 2000, 2003, 2007, 2014, and 2016.

Evaluation: Because medical services of this type are typically reimbursed based on pre-

established rate schedules, health care providers would likely not be able to

recoup the GRT, even if their services were subject to GRT.

Likewise, the out-of-pocket portion of the payment is also predetermined,

leaving the provider unable to pass the burden of the tax on to the

consumer.

The expenditure is determined to be effective due to the extensive

utilization of the deduction.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This deduction is separately reported. No estimation is required, making this deduction more reliable than in previous years when the department used data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services in combination with the statewide average tax rate to calculate the cost of the deduction.

Medical and Health Care Services GRT Deduction	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Claims	341	457	552	816	1,287
	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$590	\$1,380	\$1,320	\$1,560	\$2,710
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$380	\$930	\$830	\$950	\$1,570

MEDICAL CARE SAVINGS ACCOUNT EXEMPTION FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: The interest earned on medical care savings accounts and money

reimbursed to an employee for eligible medical expenses from those accounts or money advanced to the employee by the employer for eligible

medical expenses are exempt from PIT.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-5.6 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the investment in medical care savings accounts.

History: Originally enacted in 1995.

Evaluation: This incentivizes individuals to save for future medical expenses, which

increases the likelihood that New Mexicans will be able to afford medical

care and may lead to a healthier population.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 – The exemption amounts for each taxpayer claiming this exemption were

computed, making this data more reliable than in past years when an average rate for all taxpayers was used. Differences between annual

expenditure reports are attributed to updates in GenTax as tax filers provide

late and/or amended returns.

Medical Care Savings	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Account Exemption	Claims	1,242	1,218	1,260	1,515	1,554
from PIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$91	\$78	\$78	\$115	\$112

MICROBREWER BEER AND SMALL WINERIES RATE DIFFERENTIAL FROM LIQUOR EXCISE TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Beginning in 2013, beer manufactured or produced by a microbrewer and

sold in this state is taxed at a rate of \$0.08 per gallon on the first 10,000 gallons sold and \$0.28 per gallon on all gallons sold more than 10,000 gallons but fewer than 15,000 gallons. For all gallons sold 15,000 or more,

the tax rate is \$0.41 per gallon.

Until 2013, beer manufactured or produced by a microbrewer and sold in this state was taxed at a rate of \$0.08 per gallon; beer produced by larger brewers was taxed at \$0.41 per gallon.

Beginning in 2013, a tax of \$0.10 per liter on the first 80,000 liters sold, \$0.20 per liter on all liters sold over 80,000 liters but not over 950,000 liters, and \$0.30 per liter on each liter sold over 950,000 liters but not 1.5 million liters, is imposed on wine manufactured or produced by a small winegrower and sold in New Mexico; larger winegrowers are subject to an excise tax of \$0.45 per liter tax.

Prior to 2013, a tax of \$0.10 per liter on the first 80,000 liters sold and \$0.20 per liter on all liters sold over 80,000 liters but less than 950,000 liters, was imposed on wine manufactured or produced by a small winegrower and sold in New Mexico while larger winegrowers were subject to an excise tax of \$0.45 per liter tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-17-5(A)(5) and 7-17-5(A)(6) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to stimulate the microbrewery and small winery industry in

New Mexico by reducing their tax expense.

History: Originally enacted in 1993 and amended in 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 2000,

2000 (2nd Special Session), 2008, and 2013.

The 2013 amendment decreased the tax rate on gallons of beer

manufactured or produced by a microbrewer and sold between 10,000 and 15,000 barrels and reduced the tax rate on liters wine sold between 950,000

and 1.5 million.

Evaluation: Preliminary indicators, with respect to microbreweries and small wineries,

suggest that this credit has been effective. According to information presented to the Legislature by the New Mexico Microbrewers Guild, the number of microbreweries in New Mexico has increased from 23 (with 33

locations) in 2013 to an anticipated 55 (with 70 locations) by 2016.

Similarly, the Guild reports that brewery expansions resulted in \$14 million in capital investment in New Mexico in 2015. In 2017 the number of microbrewery locations reported by the Guild has further increased to 82,

and qualifying amounts of beer and wine volumes have increased by an additional 14-17 percent over FY 2016. Expansion has continued during 2018 with the Guild now reporting 96 microbrewery locations in New Mexico. The New Mexico Wine Association currently shows 53 wineries and/or vineyards in the state.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - Qualifying beer and wine production is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Microbrewer	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Beer and Small Wineries Rate Differential	Microbrewery Expenditure (thousands)	\$733	\$878	\$1,058	\$913	\$1,037
from Liquor Excise Tax	Small Winery Expenditure (thousands)	\$495	\$539	\$542	\$507	\$480

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION SERVICES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from military construction services provided at New Mexico

military installations located in Curry County or Otero County to implement a fighter aircraft pilot training mission project pursuant to contracts entered into with the United States Department of Defense are deductible from gross

receipts.

The deduction provided in this section applies to reporting periods prior to

July 1, 2022.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-106 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the U.S. Department of Defense to relocate fighter aircraft

squadrons from others states to a military installation in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 through report periods ending December 31,

2010. The deduction was amended in 2018 extending the reporting period to July 1, 2022 and changing the military project from special operations to

fighter aircraft pilot training missions.

Evaluation: At the time of publication, no entity had claimed this deduction.

Recommendations: Records show that this deduction has not been claimed. Consider revising

the eligibility requirements to achieve the intended purpose.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This deduction going forward is to be reported separately.

MILITARY TRANSFORMATIONAL ACQUISITION PROGRAMS GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from military transformational acquisition programs performing

research and development, test, and evaluation services at New Mexico major range and test facility bases are deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-94 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the location of military transformational acquisition

programs at U.S. Air Force bases in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2005 with an expiration date of June 30, 2008.

Amended in 2006 to extend the expiration date to June 30, 2016.

Amended in 2015 to extend the expiration date to June 30, 2025.

Evaluation: The expenditure is determined to be effective due to the utilization of the

deduction in recent years.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 – This deduction is separately reported.

Fiscal Impact: Redated, fewer than three taxpayers claim this deduction.

NATURAL GAS EXEMPTION FROM OIL AND GAS SEVERANCE TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Exempts natural gas from a production restoration project for the first 10

years after the restoration of production when the annual average price of WTI crude oil was less than \$24 per barrel. The statute specifies a non-standard calendar of June through May when calculating the average annual

WTI price.

Statutory Basis: 7-29-4(B)(1) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the development of natural gas from a production restoration

project when prices are low.

History: Originally enacted in 1980 and amended in 1987, 1989, 1992, 1995, 1999,

and 2005.

Evaluation: No wells have qualified for this incentive in the past six years due to the

price of WTI crude oil exceeding \$24 per barrel (exemption threshold). While it is unknown whether the price of WTI crude oil will drop below \$24

per barrel, the production and market for natural gas has changed significantly during the history of this exemption. Enhanced extraction techniques have increased production and improved cost efficiencies. Natural gas exceeded coal since 2016 as the majority fuel for electricity generation in the United States. Other non-tax factors such as infrastructure

to transport natural gas to markets may be stronger determinants of

production.

Recommendations: A review of this and other extraction tax expenditures should be initiated to

reevaluate intended purpose and relevance in current market climate.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 –There have been no expenditures resulting from this exemption.

NATURAL GAS RATE DIFFERENTIAL FROM OIL AND GAS EMERGENCY SCHOOL TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The emergency school tax rate on natural gas is 4%, unless the product is

taxed pursuant to a different rate as provided in statute.

When the average annual taxable value of natural gas is equal to or less than \$1.15 per thousand cubic feet (MCF) in the previous calendar year, the tax

rate on natural gas from a stripper well property is 2%.

When the average annual taxable value of natural gas is greater than \$1.15 per MCF but not more than \$1.35 per MCF in the previous calendar year, the

tax rate on natural gas from a stripper well property is 3%.

Statutory Basis: 7-31-4(A)(6) and 7-31-4(A)(7) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize high-cost, low-yield production when natural gas prices are

low.

History: The section was originally enacted in 1959 and amended in 1963, 1983,

1993, 1999, and 2005.

Evaluation: Data reported in ONGARD (prior to March 2018) and GenTax were used to

determine the average taxable value per MCF of natural gas. No wells qualified for this rate differential due to value exceeding \$1.35 per MCF. While it is unknown whether the price of natural gas will drop below \$1.35

per MCF, the production and market for natural gas has changed

significantly during the history of this rate differential. Enhanced extraction

techniques have increased production and improved cost efficiencies. Natural gas exceeded coal since 2016 as the majority fuel for electricity generation in the United States. Other non-tax factors such as infrastructure

to transport natural gas to markets may be stronger determinants of

production.

Recommendations: A review of this and other extraction tax expenditures should be initiated to

determine intended purpose and relevance in current market climate.

Reliability Factor: 1 - There have been no expenditures resulting from this rate differential.

NATURAL GAS RATE DIFFERENTIAL FROM OIL AND GAS SEVERANCE TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The oil and gas severance tax rate on natural gas is 3.75% unless the product

is taxed pursuant to a different rate as provided in statute.

When the average annual price of WTI crude oil is less than \$24 per barrel in the previous year, the tax rate on natural gas from a well workover project is 2.45%. The statute specifies a non-standard calendar of June through May

when calculating this average annual WTI price.

When the average annual taxable value of natural gas is equal to or less than \$1.15 per thousand cubic feet (MCF) in the previous calendar year, the tax

rate on natural gas from a stripper well property is 1.875%.

When the average annual taxable value of natural gas is greater than \$1.15 per MCF but not more than \$1.35 per MCF in the previous calendar year, the

tax rate on natural gas from a stripper well property is 2.8175%.

Statutory Basis: 7-29-4(A)(4), 7-29-4(A)(6), and 7-29-4(A)(7) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize high-cost, low-yield production when prices are low.

History: The section was originally enacted in 1980 and amended in 1987, 1989,

1992, 1995, 1999, and 2005.

Evaluation: No wells have qualified for this incentive for the time periods covered by

this report due to the price of WTI crude oil exceeding \$24 per barrel. Also, the taxable value of natural gas (as reported by the ONGARD system (prior to 2018) and in GenTax) has exceeded \$1.35 per MCF. While it is unknown whether the price of natural gas will drop below \$1.35 per MCF or if WTI crude oil will drop below \$24 per barrel, the production and market for natural gas has changed significantly during the history of this rate

differential. Enhanced extraction techniques have increased production and improved cost efficiencies. Natural gas exceeded coal since 2016 as the majority fuel for electricity generation in the United States. Other non-tax factors such as infrastructure to transport natural gas to markets may be

stronger determinants of production.

Recommendations: A review of this and other extraction tax expenditures should be initiated to

determine intended purpose and relevance in current market climate.

Reliability Factor: \$\begin{aligned} \text{1} - \text{There were no expenditures resulting from this rate differential.} \end{aligned}\$

NEW MEXICO NATIONAL GUARD MEMBER PREMIUMS PAID FOR GROUP LIFE INSURANCE EXEMPTION FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Payment for a service members' group life insurance premium from the

National Guard Service Member's Life Insurance Reimbursement Fund are

exempt from PIT.

7-2-5.10 NMSA 1978 **Statutory Basis:**

Intended Purpose: The passage of the New Mexico National Guard life insurance bill in 2005,

> which provides reimbursements for life insurance premiums for service members, resulted in the unintended consequence of taxing those reimbursement amounts. This exemption passed in the subsequent legislative session rectified the oversight and established the intent to

exclude these reimbursements from PIT.

History: Originally enacted in 2006.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - The exemption amounts for each taxpayer claiming this exemption were

computed, making this data more reliable than in past years when an average rate for all taxpayers was used. Differences between annual

expenditure reports are attributed to updates in GenTax as tax filers provide

late and/or amended returns.

NM National Guard Member Life Insurance	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Premiums Exemption	Claims	1,180	1,240	1,160	1,191	604
from PIT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$11	\$15	\$17	\$18	\$12

NEWSPAPER SALES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from selling newspapers, except from selling advertising space, are

deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-64 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the growth and operations of newspaper companies and

decrease the consumer cost of purchasing newspapers.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

Evaluation: According to the nationwide daily newspaper circulation data through 2016,

year-over-year circulation continues to decrease. The average annual

decrease in circulation for daily newspapers nationwide from 2011 to 2016

was about 5%. With the proliferation of digital mobile devices, this deduction may not be enough to counter the market shift from print to electronic media. It is expected that newspapers will seek profitability from

other sources, including consolidation of printing operations, new

advertising revenue streams, and electronic subscriptions.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 8

3 - The News Media Alliance, collects national annual newspaper paid circulation data that is aggregated by Statista, an online data website. New Mexico specific newspaper circulations for 2016 are used to benchmark the national numbers to annual New Mexico sales. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Newspaper Sales GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$4,800	\$4,300	\$4,000	\$3,800	\$3,500
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$3,100	\$2,900	\$2,600	\$2,300	\$2,100

NONATHLETIC SPECIAL EVENT AT NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts from admissions to nonathletic special events held at the Pan

American Center at New Mexico State University ("NMSU") are deductible

from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-104 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To bring nonathletic events to NMSU rather than having them go to El Paso,

Texas.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 with an expiration of June 30, 2012.

Amended in 2012 to extend the expiration to June 30, 2017.

Amended in 2017 to extend the expiration to June 30, 2022.

Evaluation: According to NMSU, the vast majority of nonathletic events held at the Pan

American Center would otherwise be held in various venues located in El

Paso if it was not for the incentive generated by this deduction.

Recommendations: Note: In the 2017 session, this deduction was renewed for another 5 years

and is now scheduled to expire on June 30, 2022.

Reliability Factor: § 3 – Gross ticket sale figures provided by NMSU's Auxiliary Business Support

Office were used to estimate the cost of this deduction. The deduction amount is multiplied by the Las Cruces GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost. The estimated impact amount includes both state and local

revenue.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Claims	11	10	10	7	4
Nonathletic Special Event at NMSU GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$126	\$238	\$90	\$38	\$8
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$80	\$160	\$57	\$23	\$4

NONPROFIT ELDERLY CARE FACILITY EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts of nonprofit entities from the operation of facilities designed and

used for providing accommodations for retired elderly persons are exempt

from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-16 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Reduce the cost of caring for the elderly and increase the affordability of

receiving care.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1970 and 1975.

Evaluation: According to HSD, there are 15 private, non-profit, non-tribal nursing

facilities in the state. Between 2015 and 2017, revenue increased at these facilities by 3.8%, however no new facilities have begun operations. This expenditure must be evaluated in concert with other expenditures for

medical facilities and non-profits.

Note also that these facilities are already exempt from GRT because of their

non-profit status pursuant to section 7-9-29 NMSA.

Recommendations: Repeal. Non-profit entities, including those that care for retired elderly

persons, already qualify for a GRT exemption based on their non-profit status. If future tax reform removes or diminishes the non-profit exemption,

consider reinstating this exemption.

Reliability Factor: 8

3 – Data from the National Center for Charitable Statistics were used in combination with the effective gross receipts tax rate to determine an estimate. The calculation is based on the forgone revenue were nonprofits not already exempt. Note, this estimate would also be included in the non-profit exemption, leading to double counting of this tax expenditure in

aggregate.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Nonprofit Elderly Care Facility Exemption from GRT	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$5,730	\$5,800	\$6,190	\$6,520	\$6,850
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$3,670	\$3,900	\$3,910	\$3,980	\$3,960

NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Purchases and receipts of an organization that was granted a tax exemption

under Section 501(c)(3) or Section 501(c)(6) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code are exempt from GRT if the receipts are not derived from an unrelated trade or business as defined in Section 513 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. 501(c)(3) organizations comprise the most commonly understood type of nonprofit entities: health care services, charities, churches,

universities, scientific organizations, animal shelters, and others. 501(c)(6) organizations consist of business leagues and associations, chambers of commerce, boards of trade, and other similar organizations. With respect to both types of entities, a benefit or profit cannot pass to any member, owner,

director, or officer.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-29 and 7-9-15 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To eliminate the tax burden on organizations that contribute to the overall

social and economic welfare of their communities.

History: The GRT exemption was originally enacted in 1970 and amended in 1983,

1988, and 1990.

The exemption from compensating tax was originally enacted in 1969 and

amended in 1970, 1983, and 1990.

Evaluation: Non-profit organizations contribute to the overall social and economic

welfare in New Mexico, and this exemption increases their ability to deliver services to a broader population at a lower cost. However, by exempting this large sector of the economy from the tax base, this exemption leads to higher tax rates being necessary on other economic activity that is subject to the gross receipts and compensating taxes to achieve the same revenue

collection.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: § 4 - There are no direct data to estimate this exemption. National Center for

Charitable Statistics (NCCS) data provided by the Urban Institute indicates that in 2019 4,031 non-profit organizations were located in New Mexico. Income data from NCCS is used in the estimate, and TRD assumed that 50%

of the receipts would otherwise be taxable gross receipts.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Nonprofit Organizations Exemption from GRT	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$22,920	\$26,540	\$28,620	\$29,730	\$31,130
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$14,650	\$17,830	\$18,070	\$18,160	\$17,990

NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS FUNDRAISERS **GRT DEDUCTION**

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Organizations exempt from federal income tax under Section 501(c) of the

> Internal Revenue Code (IRC) may deduct the receipts from two fund-raising events each calendar year. This deduction does not apply to 501(c)(3) organizations, as their receipts are exempt under Section 7-9-29 NMSA 1978. It does apply to other 501(c) organizations listed in the IRC, such as civic leagues (501(c)(4)), labor, agricultural and horticultural organizations

> (501(c)(5)), social, and recreational clubs (501(c)(7)), and fraternal associations (501(c)(8)). It should be noted that contributions these organizations receive are not gross receipts. This deduction applies to fundraising events, in which these organizations provide a good or service to

generate funding for their missions.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-85 NMSA 1978

To allow these organizations to conduct fundraising events to support their **Intended Purpose:**

missions without incurring GRT obligations.

History: Originally enacted in 1994.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: Clarify the law by excluding 501(c)(6) organizations that carry on chamber

> of commerce, visitor bureau, and convention bureau functions of the organization as their receipts are exempt under Section 7-9-29 NMSA 1978. This deduction specifically excludes 501(c)(3) organizations because their

receipts are already exempt under Section 7-9-29 NMSA 1978.

Reliability Factor: § 4 - The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) releases data for many nonprofits exempt from federal income tax who file an annual Form 990 Return. The National Center for Charitable Statistics (NCCS) has assembled IRS Form 990 data to report aggregate statistics by state. State level data is available for total revenue and total assets by nonprofits in each of the IRS subsections for 501(c)s. In previous years, fundraising event revenue was only aggregated at the national level. Calculating the national estimates of revenue from fundraising events as a percentage of total revenues, these estimates were applied to state level total revenue for 501(c) organizations listed in statute. The total estimated deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost. For FY19 and FY20, the NCCS reported income from fundraising events by states. The estimated impact amount includes both state and local revenue.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Nonprofit Organizations Fundraisers GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$160	\$160	\$100	\$110	\$180
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$110	\$110	\$70	\$70	\$110

OFFICIATING AT NEW MEXICO ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATION EVENTS EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts from refereeing, umpiring, scoring, or other officiating at school

events sanctioned by the New Mexico Activities Association are exempt from

GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-41.4 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the cost of public education institution events that provide

athletic opportunities for their students.

History: Originally enacted in 2009.

Evaluation: This exemption reduces the burden on taxpayers as officials would

otherwise be required to register and file GRT for a relatively small amount

of receipts.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: § 4 - Officials' fees published annually by the New Mexico Activities

Association are used to estimate the impact of this exemption. Given the number of high school teams and games or matches in an academic year, an estimate of receipts was aggregated and multiplied by the statewide average

GRT rate. The estimated impact amount includes both state and local

revenue.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Officiating at New Mexico Activities Association Events Exemption from GRT	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$68	\$68	\$70	\$70	\$69
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$44	\$46	\$44	\$43	\$40

OIL AND OTHER LIQUID HYDROCARBONS EXEMPTION FROM OIL AND GAS SEVERANCE TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Exempts oil and other liquid hydrocarbons that come from a production

restoration project for the first 10 years after the restoration of production when the annual average price of WTI crude oil was less than \$24 per barrel. The statute specifies a non-standard calendar of June through May when

calculating the average annual WTI price.

Statutory Basis: 7-29-4(B)(2) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the development of oil and other liquid hydrocarbon from a

production restoration project when prices are low.

History: Originally enacted in 1980 and amended in 1987, 1989, and 1992, 1995,

1999, and 2005.

Evaluation: This exemption provides incentive for well restoration activity. No wells

have qualified for this incentive for the time periods covered by this report

due to the price of WTI crude oil exceeding \$24 per barrel. While it is

unknown whether the price of WTI crude oil will drop below \$24 per barrel, the production of oil has changed significantly during the history of this exemption. As oil reserves have become more difficult to extract, enhanced

extraction techniques have increased production and improved cost

efficiencies.

Recommendations: A review of this and other extraction tax expenditures should be initiated to

determine intended purpose and relevance in current market climate.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - There are no expenditures resulting from this exemption.

OIL AND OTHER LIQUID HYDROCARBONS RATE DIFFERENTIAL FROM OIL AND GAS EMERGENCY SCHOOL TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The emergency school tax on oil and other liquid hydrocarbons is 3.15%

unless the product is taxed pursuant to a different rate as provided in

statute.

When the average annual taxable value of oil is equal to or less than \$15 per barrel in the calendar year preceding July 1 of the fiscal year in which the tax rate is imposed, the tax rate on oil and other liquid hydrocarbons that are

removed from a stripper well is 1.58%.

When the average annual taxable value of oil in the previous calendar year was greater than \$15 per barrel but not greater than \$18 per barrel, the tax rate on oil and other liquid hydrocarbons that are removed from a stripper

well is 2.36%.

Statutory Basis: 7-31-4(A)(4) and 7-31-4(A)(5) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the removal of oil and other liquid hydrocarbon from a

stripper well when prices are low.

History: Originally enacted in 1959 and amended in 1963, 1983, 1993, 1999, and

2005.

Evaluation: This exemption provides incentive for well restoration activity. No wells

have qualified for this incentive for the time periods covered by this report due to the average annual taxable value of oil exceeding \$18 per barrel. While it is unknown whether the average annual taxable value of oil will drop below \$18 per barrel, the production of oil has changed significantly during the history of this exemption. As oil reserves have become more difficult to extract, enhanced extraction techniques have increased

production and improved cost efficiencies.

Recommendations: A review of this and other extraction tax expenditures should be initiated to

determine intended purpose and relevance in current market climate.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - There are no expenditures resulting from this rate differential provision.

OIL AND OTHER LIQUID HYDROCARBONS RATE DIFFERENTIAL FROM OIL AND GAS SEVERANCE TAX RATE

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The oil and gas severance tax rate on oil and other liquid hydrocarbons is

3.75% unless the product is taxed pursuant to a different rate as provided in

statute.

When the average annual price of WTI crude oil is less than \$28 per barrel in the previous year, the tax rate on oil and other liquid hydrocarbons from a qualified enhanced recovery project is 1.875%. The statute specifies a non-standard calendar of June through May when calculating the average WTI

price.

When the average annual price of WTI crude oil is less than \$24 per barrel in the previous year, the tax rate on oil and other liquid hydrocarbons from a well workover project is 2.45%. Note the statute specifies a non-standard calendar of June through May when calculating the average WTI price.

When the average annual taxable value of oil is equal to or less than \$15 per barrel in the previous calendar year, the tax rate on oil and other liquid

hydrocarbons from a stripper well property is 1.875%.

When the average annual taxable value of oil was greater than \$15 per barrel but not more than \$18 per barrel in the previous calendar year, the tax rate on oil and other liquid hydrocarbons from a stripper well property

is 2.8125%.

Statutory Basis: 7-29-4(A)(3), 7-29-4(A)(5), 7-29-4(A)(8), and 7-29-4(A)(9) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize high cost production when prices are low.

History: Originally enacted in 1980 and amended in 1987, 1989, 1992, 1995, 1999,

and 2005.

Evaluation: No wells have qualified for this incentive for the time periods covered by

this report due to the price of WTI crude oil exceeding \$28 per barrel and the average annual taxable value of oil exceeding \$15 per barrel (rate differential thresholds). While it is unknown whether the average annual taxable value of oil will drop below \$18 per barrel or WTI average annual prices will be less than \$28 per barrel, the production of oil has changed significantly during the history of this exemption. As oil reserves have become more difficult to extract, enhanced extraction techniques have

increased production and improved cost efficiencies.

Recommendations: A review of this and other extraction tax expenditures should be initiated to

determine intended purpose and relevance in current market climate.

ORGAN DONATION DEDUCTION FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A taxpayer may claim a deduction from net income in an amount not to

exceed \$10,000 of organ donation-related expenses, including lost wages, lodging expenses, and travel expenses, incurred during the taxable year by the taxpayer or the taxpayer's dependent as a result of the taxpayer's or dependent's donation of a human organ to another person for transfer of

that human organ to the body of another person.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-36 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To help remove obstacles that prevent people from making living organ

donations by reducing the associated financial losses.

History: Originally enacted in 2005.

Evaluation: While factors like blood type serve as an unchangeable barrier to donating

organs, for those who are matches, this credit helps to offset related

expenses.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 – The deduction amounts for each taxpayer claiming this deduction were

computed, making this data more reliable than in past years, when an average rate for all taxpayers was used. Differences between annual

expenditure reports are attributed to updates in $\mbox{\it GenTax}$ as tax filers provide

late and/or amended returns.

Organ	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Donation	Claims	61	71	81	103	97
Deduction	Expenditure (thousands)	\$4.4	\$5.1	\$4.7	\$9.5	\$5.9

PENALTY PURSUANT TO SECTION 7-1-71.2 NMSA 1978 CREDIT AGAINST GRT, COMPENSATING TAX AND WITHHOLDING TAX

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: Prior to July 1, 2010, taxpayers who paid the double local option penalty in

effect prior to July 1, 2007— imposed for incorrectly reporting food and medical GRT deductions created in 2004—could claim this credit. The credit

is equal to the amount of the penalty paid.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-105 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To refund penalties paid for incorrectly reporting the food deduction in

Section 7-9-92 NMSA 1978 or the health care practitioner services

deduction in Section 7-9-93 NMSA 1978.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: This credit has no current effect as all claims were submitted prior to July 1,

2010.

Recommendations: Repeal. The credit has not been available since July 1, 2010.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: No possible fiscal impact.

PERSONS ONE HUNDRED AND OLDER EXEMPTION FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: The income of an individual who is (1) a natural person, (2) one hundred

years of age or older, and (3) not a dependent of another individual is

exempt from PIT.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-5.7 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To eliminate the income of aged persons from the tax base and reduce the

reporting and financial burden on this aging population.

History: Originally enacted in 2002.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: $\cite{1}{2}$ – The exemption is separately reported. Unmarried centenarians are not

required to file a return unless they want to claim other rebates and credits.

Some estimation is required.

Over 100	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Income	Claims	108	119	134	139	143
Exemption	Expenditure (thousands)	\$88	\$81	\$103	\$136	\$179

PERSONS SIXTY-FIVE AND OLDER OR BLIND EXEMPTION FROM PIT AND COMPREHENSIVE TAX REBATE

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: An individual who is 65 years or older or who is blind may claim an

exemption from PIT based on a sliding scale, not to exceed \$8,000.

An individual who is 65 years or older or blind may claim additional

exemptions for the low-income comprehensive tax rebate and so qualify for

a higher rebate.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-5.2 and 7-2-14(C) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the financial burden on older, disabled taxpayers.

History: The PIT exemption was originally enacted in 1985 and amended in 1987.

The comprehensive tax rebate was originally enacted in 1972 and amended in 1973, 1974, 1975, 1977, 1978, 1981, 1986, 1987, 1990, 1992, 1994, and

1998.

Evaluation: The number of claims has remained relatively constant over the last four

fiscal years, while the amount of the expenditure has decreased due to the sliding scale amount of the exemption remaining the same while inflation occurred. Both the number of claims and the amount of expenditure may increase in keeping with demographic trends toward an older population.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 -Information is reported separately for the rebate. No estimation is

required. The exemption amounts for each tax payer were computed, making $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

this data more reliable than in past years when an average rate for all

taxpayers was used

65 and	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
older or blind	Claims	101,315	102,738	100,898	99,888	94,987
Exemption	Expenditure (thousands)	\$2,421	\$2,452	\$2,443	\$1,997	\$1,875

65 and older or	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
blind additional	Claims	37,308	36,517	35,443	34,222	30,734
Low- Income Comprehen sive Tax Rebate	Expenditure (thousands)	\$4,071	\$3,984	\$3,898	\$3,721	\$3,302

PHYSICIAN PARTICIPATING IN CANCER TREATMENT CLINICAL TRIALS CREDIT AGAINST PIT

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: A licensed oncologist who practices in rural New Mexico may claim a credit

of \$1,000 for each patient participating in a cancer clinical trial under the oncologist's supervision. Rural New Mexico is defined as a class-B county in which no municipality has a population over 60,000 according to the most recent decennial census. The non-refundable credit is capped at \$4,000 per physician per year and the credit may only be claimed for the taxable year in which the physician participated as an investigator in a clinical trial.

This credit was applicable starting in taxable year January 1, 2012 and

ending before January 1, 2015.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.27 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage physicians in rural New Mexico to participate as clinical trial

investigators by performing clinical trials of new cancer treatments in New Mexico and making cancer clinical trials more readily available to cancer

patients in the state.

History: Originally enacted in 2011 legislative session.

Evaluation: This credit was effective for the taxable years 2012 through 2014. During

that time, TRD never had an application for this credit.

Recommendations: Repeal. The time period that this credit could have been claimed has

expired. As the original bill did not have a delayed repeal this statute is still

in law.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required. No taxpayer

may claim this credit for tax years beginning after December 31, 2014.

Fiscal Impact: None.

PRESCRIPTION DRUGS AND OXYGEN GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale of prescription drugs, oxygen, and oxygen services

provided by a licensed Medicare durable medical equipment provider are

deductible from gross receipts and governmental gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-73.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the effective cost of prescription drugs and oxygen as a public

welfare mechanism.

History: Originally enacted in 1998 and amended in 2003 and 2007.

Evaluation: This deduction removes the gross receipts tax burden from sellers who

would otherwise pass it on to consumers of these medically necessary

goods.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor:

3 - State specific data published by the Kaiser Family Foundation on total retail sales of prescription drugs was used to calculate this deduction. For this year's publication, data for oxygen and oxygen services was obtained from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services as it was not included in previous versions of this report. The more than two-fold increase in the estimated cost from FY2016 to FY2017 can likely be associated with the Affordable Care Act which has increased insurance coverage under the Medicaid Program and for individuals. As the newly insured seek and establish care with physicians and other medical providers, statewide prescription drug sales should increase. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost. The estimated impact amount includes both state and local revenue. Note that additional estimation was required for oxygen and oxygen services due to lack of available data after calendar year 2017. The annual percentage growth in spending in health care published by IHS Global Insight was used to estimate the costs in 2018-2020.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Prescription Drugs and Oxygen GRT and GGRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$47,200	\$102,700	\$104,500	\$105,000	\$105,900
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$27,300	\$62,700	\$65,900	\$70,600	\$67,700

PRESERVATION OF CULTURAL PROPERTY CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Taxpayers may take a credit on a PIT or CIT return of 50% of the cost of

restoring, rehabilitating, or preserving properties listed on the New Mexico

Register of Cultural Properties.

The credit may not exceed \$25,000 unless the property is located within a designated arts and cultural district. The credit may not exceed \$50,000 for

properties located within an arts and cultural district.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward up to four years.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.2 and 7-2A-8.6 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the restoration, rehabilitation and preservation of cultural

properties.

History: The PIT credit was originally enacted in 1984 and amended in 2007.

The CIT credit was originally enacted in 1984 and amended in 1986 and

2007.

Evaluation: Claims of this credit have risen in two of the most recent years. The credit is

non-refundable, so a taxpayer must have enough tax liability to take the

credit.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required. Differences

between annual expenditure reports are attributed to updates in GenTax as

tax filers provide late and/or amended returns.

Preservation	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
of Cultural	Claims	64	70	53	65	82
Property Credit	Expenditure (thousands)	\$180	\$192	\$142	\$250	\$324

PRODUCTION OR STAGING OF PROFESSIONAL CONTESTS GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from producing or staging professional boxing, wrestling, or

martial arts contests that occur in New Mexico, including receipts from

ticket sales and broadcasting, are deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-107 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize professional boxing, wrestling, and martial arts contests to

take place in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: <a>\old{a} 3 - The New Mexico Regulations and Licensing Department provides annual

data on the number of sanctioned events and associated fees. A calculation

of associated gross receipts and foregone revenue is computed. The

deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each

year to estimate the cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Production or Staging of Professional Contests GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$59	\$46	\$58	\$13	\$7
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$38	\$31	\$37	\$8	\$4

PUBLICATION SALES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from publishing newspapers or magazines are deductible from

gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-63 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize growth and operations of publishing companies in the state

and decrease the consumer cost of purchasing publications.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

Evaluation: With the proliferation of digital mobile devices, this expenditure may not be

enough to counter the market shift from print to electronic media. It is expected that publishers will seek profitability from other sources, including consolidation of printing operations, new advertising revenue streams, and

electronic subscriptions.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 2 - Taxpayer reported deductions filed by publishing-related NAICS sectors

were used to estimate the cost of this deduction. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the

cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	20168	2019	2020
Publication Sales GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$60	\$50	\$60	\$50	\$50
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$40	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30

PURSES AND JOCKEY RENUMERATION AT NEW MEXICO RACETRACKS EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The receipts of horsemen, jockeys, and trainers from race purses at New

Mexico horse racetracks subject to the jurisdiction of the state racing

commission are exempt from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-40(A) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the tax burden of horsemen, jockeys, and trainers.

History: Originally enacted in 1970 and amended in 1971, 1985, and 1989.

Evaluation: Although horse racing attendance and the total number of horse racing

events are down statewide, and nationwide, annual purses have not

decreased in New Mexico.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 🖁

3 – Yearly data on races and purse sizes from the Jockey Club's annual New Mexico Fact Book was combined with an industry standard rate of 10% of purses going each to jockeys and trainers. The 2020 New Mexico Fact Book has data through 2019 used in the estimations below. The FY2020 cost was estimated based on reported number of races and average purse in 2019. The exemption amount is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost. The estimated impact amount includes both

state and local revenue.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Purses and Jockey Remuneration at NM Racetracks Exemption from GRT	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$110	\$120	\$120	\$130	\$60
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$70	\$80	\$70	\$80	\$30

RAILROAD EQUIPMENT, AIRCRAFT, AND SPACE VEHICLES EXEMPTION FROM COMPENSATING TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The use of railroad locomotives, trailers, containers, tenders or cars

procured or bought for use in railroad transportation; the use of commercial

aircraft bought or leased primarily for use in the transportation of

passengers or property for hire in interstate commerce; and the use of space vehicles for transportation of persons or property in, to, or from space are

exempt from compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-30 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the cost of railroad transportation, aircraft transportation, and

space transportation; potentially to comply with the preemption doctrine.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1988 and 2003.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: No data are available to estimate the fiscal impact.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

REAL ESTATE TRANSACTIONS GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from real estate commissions on the sale of real property which is

subject to the GRT (new construction under Section 7-9-53(A) NMSA 1978) are deductible from gross receipts. Typically, brokerage commissions are deductible from gross receipts when the underlying sales are not subject to tax. With respect to new construction, the part of the sale that reflects the value of the newly constructed improvements is taxable, but the value of the

underlying real estate is deductible.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-66.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Incentivize real estate construction in the state.

History: Originally enacted in 1984 and amended in 1990.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 🚪

3 - Yearly valuations of new residential construction by county is tracked by DFA. This sum is multiplied by the national ratio of the amount of properties that are built to be sold ("on spec") determined from US Census data. It is assumed that little to no commercial real estate is constructed and then sold in such a way. A commission rate of 6% was assumed and a yearly statewide average GRT rate applied to that.

	Calendar Year	2016	2017	2018	2019
Real Estate Transactions GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$990	\$1,090	\$1,190	\$1,320
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$630	\$730	\$750	\$800

RENEWABLE ENERGY PRODUCTION CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: Taxpayers are allowed a credit against PIT and CIT for producing electricity

using solar light or heat, wind, or biomass. The credit only applies to taxpayers that hold title to a facility that generates the electricity for sale to third parties or to taxpayers who lease such property under an industrial revenue bond agreement and where the electricity was first produced on or

before January 1, 2018.

The amount of the credit is \$0.01 per kilowatt-hour (kWh) up to 400,000 megawatt-hours (MWh) annually per taxpayer for wind- or biomass-derived electricity. The aggregate cap for all wind projects is set at 2 million MWh.

The amount of the credit ranges from \$0.015 cents to \$0.04 cents per kWh up to 200,000 MWh annually per taxpayer for solar-light-derived or solar-heat-derived electricity, depending on the consecutive taxable year for which the credit is being claimed. The aggregate cap for all solar projects is set at 500,000 MWh.

The wind aggregate cap maximized at 2 million MWh represents total annual credit payments of \$20 million. The solar credit varies by year, on average the yearly credit is \$0.0275 per kWh. The solar credit ranges from \$0.015 in the first year of production to \$0.04 in the sixth year of production, down to \$0.02 in the tenth year. Whenever the 500,000 MWh are used, the average total annual credit is \$13.75 million.

A qualified taxpayer is eligible for the renewable energy production tax credit for a maximum of ten consecutive years, beginning on the date the qualified energy generator begins producing electricity.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.18 and 7-2A-19 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the development of renewable energy generating facilities in

New Mexico. If the renewable facilities replace coal or natural gas-fired facilities, their increased use will assist the state in reducing emissions associated with its electricity supply, including carbon dioxide and other

pollutants regulated by the EPA.

History: The PIT credit was originally enacted in 2007. The CIT credit was originally

enacted in 2002 and amended in 2003, 2005 and 2007.

Evaluation:

The mechanics of implementation limit the number of taxpayers eligible to claim this credit. There is a queue of taxpayers seeking to claim this credit, but due to production limits are not fully qualified to claim the credit.

For those who began producing before 2008, the credit is not refundable, but may be carried forward for five years.

The taxpayer that holds title to a renewable energy production facility is eligible to claim the credit. Consequently, this credit is frequently used to engage in "tax credit financing" of renewable energy production facilities. The credit may be allocated without regard to proportional ownership interest. Thus, many renewable energy production facilities are structured as pass-through entities so that this credit can be passed-through to a financing owner.

Recommendations:

The state should try to determine the cost-effectiveness of this credit before the expiration date is reached. Generation costs of renewable facilities have fallen sharply in recent years. According to a 2017 survey by Scientific American, many wind-powered facilities now produce for as little as 2 cents per kWh, making the current credit rate equal to 100% of the value of the power. This is an unusually high rate of subsidy when compared with other incentives offered by the State. If wind power is sufficiently competitive with other power sources, it may not need a subsidy at this level to continue to increase its share of the State's electricity generation market.

Reliability Factor:

1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required. The eligibility period for this credit is limited to facilities that first generated electricity on or before January 1, 2018. Given the ten-year period for claiming credits, tax liabilities will be reduced under this program through fiscal year 2027.

Credit, Renewable	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	Claims	30	33	29	20	34
Energy Production	Expenditure (thousands)	\$23,696	\$30,687	\$30,334	\$18,972	\$7,009

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SMALL BUSINESS CREDIT AGAINST GRT OR FIFTY PERCENT CREDIT AGAINST WITHHOLDING TAX

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: A qualified research and development small businesses may claim a credit

equal to the sum of all gross receipts or 50% of withholding taxes owed to New Mexico for the reporting period in which the business qualifies for the

credit.

A qualified business is a corporation, general partnership or similar entity with 25 or fewer employees, with annual revenues under \$5 million, and whose qualified research and development expenditures for the prior 12 months was equal to at least 20% of total expenditures for those calendar

months.

Statutory Basis: 7-9H-1 *et seq.* NMSA 1978 (repealed).

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the foundation and expansion of small research and

development businesses.

History: Originally enacted in 2005 to be effective against GRT and compensating tax

with an expiration date of June 30, 2009. The credit was not extended prior

to its expiration date.

Reenacted in 2011, with an expiration date of June 30, 2015 removed

compensating tax, and to reduce the withholding tax credit to 50%.

Evaluation: This credit expired for a second time on June 30, 2015 and was

underutilized. Its relationship to similar credits and deductions should be studied to understand how they interact. Alternative approaches to stimulating small businesses critical to the modern economy, such as

research and development business, should be explored.

Recommendations: Repeal. The credit has expired.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: None.

RURAL HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER CREDIT AGAINST PIT

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: Licensed doctors, osteopathic physicians, dentists, clinical psychologists,

podiatrists, and optometrists who provide health care services in New Mexico in a rural health care, underserved area in a taxable year may claim a

credit of up to \$5,000 against PIT.

Licensed dental hygienists, physician assistants, certified nurse midwives, certified registered nurse anesthetists, certified nurse practitioners, and clinical nurse specialists who provide health care services in New Mexico in a rural health care, underserved area in a taxable year may claim a credit of

up to \$3,000 against PIT.

To qualify for the full credit a practitioner must provide health care for 2,080 hours at a practice site in an approved area. If the practitioner provided health care for at least 1,040 hours, the practitioner is eligible for

50% of the credit.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.22 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To improve access to health care in rural or underserved areas of the state

by providing a tax benefit to health care practitioners who work in those

areas.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: A report by the New Mexico Healthcare Workforce Commission indicates

some counties appear below the benchmark set for physicians, especially primary care physicians, serving rural areas. Their analysis indicates that rural residents in some areas face traveling longer distances to receive care in metropolitan areas. (New Mexico Health Care Workforce Committee 2019 Annual Report). In addition, the committee continues to recommend

the expansion of this credit to other health care provider such as

pharmacists, counselors and social workers. The reports note a shortage of pharmacists in rural areas of the state. To the extent the purpose of this credit is to improve access to health care in rural and underserved areas, an evaluation of the full scope of health care needed for these areas of the state should be considered. This recommendation is underscored by the New Mexico Health Care Workforce Committee recommendation for DOH and TRD to evaluate the retention of health practitioners through this credit. (Recommendation 13, New Mexico Health Care Workforce Committee 2019

Annual Report).

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: § 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Credit, Rural	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Healthcare	Claims	2,059	2,095	2,191	2,204	1,818
Practitioner	Expenditure (thousands)	\$6,776	\$7,006	\$7,274	\$7,471	\$6,150

RURAL JOB CREDIT AGAINST MODIFIED COMBINED TAX, PIT, AND CIT

Category: Economic Development, Workforce Development

Brief Description: Eligible employers may earn the rural job tax credit for each qualifying job

created after July 1, 2000, applying it to GRT (less local option GRT),

compensating tax, withholding tax, PIT, or CIT.

An eligible employer is one whom EDD has approved for Job Training

Incentive Program assistance.

A qualifying job means a job filled by an eligible employee for 48 weeks in a

12-month qualifying period.

The total credit authorized is 25% of the first \$16,000 of wages paid if the job is performed or based in a Tier 1 Area and 12.5% of the first \$16,000 of wages paid if the job is performed or based in a Tier 2 Area. However, the credit is taken in annual increments: 6.25% of the first \$16,000 in wages paid over four qualifying periods (years) if the job is performed at a location in a Tier 1 Area; 6.25% of the first \$16,000 in wages paid over two qualifying

periods if the job is performed at a location in a Tier 2 Area.

Statutory Basis: 7-2E-1.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage businesses to expand into rural areas of the state.

History: Originally enacted in 2007, the credit was amended in 2013 to tighten the

definition of qualifying jobs and to clarify the definition of wages.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Rural Jobs	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Credit	Claims	90	97	49	21	8
or our	Expenditure (thousands)	\$5,301	\$1,378	\$1,734	\$141	\$119

SALE AND USE OF AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENTS, FARM TRACTORS, AIRCRAFT, AND MOTOR VEHICLES THAT DON'T HAVE TO BE REGISTERED

GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: (A) 50% of the receipts from selling, or of the value of, farm tractors and

agricultural implements when used by persons engaged in the business of farming or ranching, are deductible from gross receipts and from the total

value before computing compensating tax.

50% of the receipts from selling, or of the value of, vehicles not required to be registered under the Motor Vehicle Code are deductible from gross receipts and from the total value before computing compensating tax.

50% of the receipts from selling, or of the value of, aircraft not bought or leased primarily for use in the transportation of passengers or property for hire in interstate commerce are deductible from gross receipts and from the total value before computing compensating tax.

(B) Receipts of an aircraft manufacturer or affiliate from selling aircraft, or from selling aircraft flight support, pilot training or maintenance training services are deductible from gross receipts.

(C) Receipts from selling aircraft parts or maintenance services for aircraft or aircraft parts are deductible from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-62 and 7-9-77 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize agricultural activities by reducing the effective cost of

agricultural implements and farm tractors. To incentivize the development of the aircraft industry in New Mexico by reducing related costs of selling and using aircraft for other than transportation of passengers or property

for hire in intrastate commerce.

History: The GRT deduction was originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1975,

1998, 2000, 2007, and 2014.

The 2014 amendment expanded the deduction to allow any entity selling aircraft parts or maintenance services for aircraft or aircraft parts to take

the deduction starting July 1, 2015.

The compensating tax deduction was originally enacted in 1966 and was

amended in 1969, 1975, 1988, and 1998.

Evaluation:

To the extent that the agricultural implements and aircraft are used in the production of agricultural goods intended for sale, this deduction could be viewed as reducing the effects of pyramiding.

From FY2015 forward, taxpayers wishing to take this deduction will be required to separately state the amount deducted. However, this requirement only applies to GRT. Compensating Tax deductions are not separately reported.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor:

1 - The GRT deduction is separately reported, no estimation is required.

2 - The Compensating Tax estimate is based on internal data, using selected NAICS industry groups.

Sale and Use of Agricultural Implements, Farm Tractors, Aircraft, and Motor Vehicles that Don't Have to be Registered - GRT Deduction	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Taxpayers	19	19	17	12	16
	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$4,012	\$8,579	\$2,892	\$4,150	\$8,260
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$2,565	\$5,764	\$1,826	\$2,534	\$4,773

Sale and Use of Agricultural Implements, Farm Tractors, Aircraft,	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
and Motor Vehicles that Don't Have to be Registered - Compensating Tax Deduction	Expenditure (thousands)	\$841	\$690	\$958	\$759	\$1,105

SALE OF CERTAIN SERVICES TO AN OUT-OF-STATE BUYER GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: Receipts from performing a service in New Mexico are deductible from gross

receipts if the sale of the service is made to an out-of-state buyer. To qualify for the deduction, the product of the service must be delivered to the buyer outside of New Mexico and be initially used by the buyer outside of New

Mexico.

The buyer must present an appropriate nontaxable transaction certificate or

other evidence acceptable to the TRD Secretary.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-57 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage service exportation by equalizing tax treatment with out-of-

state service providers, most of which are not subject to a sales tax on

services.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1973, 1977, 1983, 1988, 1989,

1998, and 2000.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: No data are available to estimate the fiscal impact.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

SALE OF FOOD AT RETAIL FOOD STORES GRT DEDUCTION AND HOLD HARMLESS DISTRIBUTION

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts from qualifying food sales at retail food stores as defined under the

federal food stamp program are deductible from gross receipts.

The deduction is required to be separately stated by the taxpayer.

The original legislation enacted provisions in the Tax Administration Act states that the revenues of municipal and county governments would be held harmless from any lost revenue resulting from the deduction.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-92, 7-1-6.46 and 7-1-6.47 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the burden of taxing food.

History: The GRT deduction was originally enacted in 2004 and has not been

amended.

The hold harmless provision was originally enacted in 2004 and was amended in 2006, 2007, 2013 and 2016. The 2013 amendment phased-out the hold harmless distribution to larger counties and municipalities over a 15-year period starting July 1, 2015. In 2016, the statute was amended to add a penalty for incorrectly filing for the food and medical deductions that result in hold harmless distributions instead of other exemptions or deductions that must be taken first. The penalty is equal to 20% of the value of the hold harmless distribution resulting from the incorrect deduction.

Evaluation: The GRT deduction reduces the effective cost of food for home consumption

(as opposed to restaurant meals or prepared foods). With the hold harmless provision and the loss of the revenue being made up through other tax burdens, this reduction has a significant cost to both the General Fund and the taxpayers it benefits. The food deduction benefits lower-income individuals who spend a greater share of their income on necessities

including food, but it also benefits higher-income taxpayers.

The expenditure amount reported in FY2020 and associated hold harmless distributions are higher than past years due primarily to a taxpayer amending returns to claim the deduction for the past 36 months. The FY2020 amount may also be somewhat higher due to the COVID-19 pandemic, which shifted food consumption away from restaurants and

towards food purchased at grocery stores.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This deduction is separately reported. The estimate reflects the amounts of CPT revenue forgone by multiplying the CPT deduction amounts by the of GRT revenue forgone by multiplying the GRT deduction amounts by the statewide average GRT rate for each year. The hold-harmless is reported separately and no estimation is required.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Sale of Food at Retail Food Stores GRT Deduction and Hold Harmless Distribution	State General Fund Expenditure from Local Hold Harmless Distributions (thousands)	\$105,400	\$94,500	\$102,700	\$92,300	\$142,900
	State General Fund Expenditure from Deduction (thousands)	\$146,900	\$138,600	\$160,800	\$155,500	\$252,700
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$112,100	\$107,400	\$125,200	\$121,400	\$204,100

SALE OF SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale of software development services that are performed

in a rural area by an eligible software company are deductible from gross receipts. A rural area is anywhere except an incorporated municipality with

a population of more than 50,000.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-57.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To stimulate new business development in rural areas.

History: Originally enacted in 2002.

Evaluation: It is difficult to determine whether this deduction is the cause of any

expansion in the targeted locations or industries, but, based on TRD's estimate methodology, the amount of the applicable deductions has

increased significantly in recent years.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 2 - Gross receipts deductions for taxpayers classified as software publishers

and computer programmers reported outside of incorporated municipalities are assumed to fall. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide

average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Sale of Software Development Services GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,440	\$1,480	\$1,590	\$1,660	\$1,750
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$920	\$990	\$1,010	\$1,020	\$1,010

SALE OF TEXTBOOKS IN CERTAIN BOOKSTORES EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts of certain bookstores from selling textbooks and other materials

required for courses at a public post-secondary educational institution to a student enrolled at the institution are exempt from GRT. Only bookstores located on the campus of the institution and operated pursuant to a

contractual agreement with the institution are eligible.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-13.4 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the cost of educational materials to those attending higher

educational institutions.

History: Originally enacted in 2002.

Evaluation: While the cost of textbooks is only one component of the overall cost of a

college education, the exemption provides some relief.

Recommendations: Consider expanding the exemption so that it is not limited to bookstores

operated by a post-secondary education institution. Off-campus school bookstores frequently stock required textbooks and materials for university

courses.

Reliability Factor:

4 - Using survey results from The National Association of College Stores, an estimate of the cost of required course materials has been applied for the 2018 TER. Enrollment numbers for public post-secondary institutions, reported by the New Mexico Higher Education Department, in combination with the cost of required course materials, calculates an aggregate total cost across all public intuitions. GRT rates by institution location were used to calculate foregone revenue. Declining enrollments and use of technology to access course materials contribute to the downward trend in the exemption amount. New Mexico public universities and colleges have collectively had an average annual 3.7% drop in enrollment over the last five years. Student behavior also has changed as students access course material electronically, buy books on-line, and rent textbooks. The estimated impact amount

includes both state and local revenue.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Sale of Textbooks in Certain Bookstores Exemption from GRT	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$2,900	\$2,800	\$2,300	\$1,900	\$1,900
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,500	\$1,900	\$1,900	\$1,300	\$1,200

SALES TO NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS GRT, GGRT, AND COMPENSATING TAX DEDUCTION

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Gross receipts from selling tangible personal property to 501(c)(3)

organizations for use in tax exempt functions, and gross receipts from the sale of construction materials to a 501(c)(3) organization formed for the purpose of providing homeownership opportunities to low-income families

are deductible from gross receipts and governmental gross receipts.

Also, out-of-state purchases of an organization that was granted a tax exemption under Section 501(c)(3) or Section 501(c)(6) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code are exempt from the Compensating Tax so long as the receipts are not derived from an unrelated trade or business as defined in

Section 513 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-60 and 7-9-15 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To decrease the operating costs of nonprofit entities that provide

homeownership opportunities to low-income families.

History: Originally enacted in 1953, amended in 1969, reenacted in 1970, and

amended in 1983, 1990, 1992, 1995, 2001, and 2007, and 2018

Evaluation: This tax expenditure may allow a greater number of low-income families to

be served by those nonprofits whose mission relates to low-income

homeownership, or for enhanced services to be offered.

Recommendations: None.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

SALES TO QUALIFIED FILM PRODUCTION COMPANY GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Category: Economic Development, Industry-focused Stimulus

Brief Description: Receipts from selling or leasing property to, and from performing services

for, a qualified production company are deductible from gross receipts or

from governmental gross receipts.

The buyer (a film production company) must present a nontaxable

transaction certificate (NTTC) or alternative evidence to the seller and can

only deliver one with respect to production costs.

This deduction cannot be used in conjunction with the film production tax

credit as described in Section 7-2F-1(L).

Statutory Basis: 7-9-86 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize operations of the film industry and film productions in New

Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1995 and amended in 2003.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: Repeal. This deduction cannot be claimed by a film production company if

they claim the film production tax credit. Based on the relative value to the taxpayer, the possibility of claiming this deduction instead of the Film

Production Tax Credit is very small.

Reliability Factor: No data is available to estimate the fiscal impact as this deduction is not

separately reported.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

SALES TO STATE-CHARTERED CREDIT UNIONS GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: Receipts from selling tangible personal property to state-chartered credit

unions are deductible from gross receipts to the same extent that receipts from the sale of tangible personal property to federal credit unions are

deductible.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-61.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To provide equitable tax treatment between federally-chartered credit

unions and state-charted credit unions and treat state-chartered credit

unions as an instrumentality of state government.

History: Originally enacted in 2000.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 🙍

4 - According to the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), New Mexico had 19 state-chartered credit unions in 2020. NCUA has also published a document on operating costs for a state-chartered credit union. From this document the tangible property costs estimate (including an estimated 20% of "Other Operating Costs" and "Marketing Costs") was scaled using the compensation data shown for each of the state-chartered credit unions against those shown in the document. The total of these deductions is multiplied by the statewide average GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Sales to State-	Credit Unions	20	19	20	19	19
Chartered Credit Unions GRT and GGRT	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$290	\$210	\$260	\$260	\$270
Deduction	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$190	\$140	\$160	\$160	\$160

SMALL BUSINESS SATURDAY THANKSGIVING WEEKEND GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: Receipts from retail sales of specified tangible personal property are

deductible from GRT if the sale occurs during the first Saturday after the Thanksgiving holiday. The deduction may be taken on sales of property with a value of less than \$500. Qualified retailers must be a business in New Mexico and have employed no more than 10 employees at any one time in

the previous fiscal year.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-116 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the cost of retail purchases and encourage purchases at small

businesses in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2018. Amended in 2020 to extend the sunset of the

deduction from July 1, 2020 to July 1, 2025.

Evaluation: The deduction is like the back to school tax free weekend which is widely

used in New Mexico. This deduction however restricts purchases to those made at small businesses and is believed to have much lower usage. While

the deduction may result in a small increase in purchases at small

businesses during the popular holiday shopping season, the overall benefit is limited. The number of businesses that claimed the deduction decreased from FY19 to FY20, which may indicate that businesses do not find the

burden to claim the deduction is worth the benefit received.

Recommendations: Monitor usage of the deduction through its sunset date. Consider annual

public outreach campaigns to small businesses to increase awareness of the

deduction.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This

1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

	Fiscal Year	2019	2020
Small Business Thanksgiving	Claims	40	30
Saturday GRT Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$2.7	\$1.3
	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$1.6	\$0.8

SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEMS GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale or installation of solar energy systems, including solar

panels, solar hot water heaters, and trombe walls, are deductible from gross receipts if the equipment is used to generate power for on-site consumption.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-112 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the installation and use of solar energy systems at the

consumer level.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: The steady growth rate in TRD's estimated deduction utilization suggests

that this deduction continues to benefit solar purchasers.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 3 - The solar market development credit, authorized in Section 7-2-18.14

NMSA 1978, is used as a proxy to estimate the size of this deduction. However, that incentive expired in 2016. Data from the Solar Energy

Industries Association on residential and non-residential installations which excludes utilities is used to estimate the growth and cost of the deduction in the estimate. The deduction amount is multiplied by the statewide average

GRT rate for each year to estimate the cost.

	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Solar Energy Systems Deduction	State General Fund Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,530	\$1,560	\$1,960	\$2,360	\$2,660
2011	Local Government Expenditure (thousands)	\$980	\$1050	\$1,240	\$1,440	\$1,540

SOLAR MARKET DEVELOPMENT CREDIT AGAINST PIT

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: Taxpayers who purchase and install a solar thermal system or a photovoltaic

system in a residence, business, or agricultural enterprise owned in New Mexico may apply for a solar market development tax credit of up to 10% of the purchase and installation cost of the system against their PIT. This purchase must have been made after January 1, 2006 but before December 31, 2016.

The credit shall not exceed \$9,000. The maximum aggregate amount of credits allowed in any tax year is \$2 million for solar thermal systems and \$3 million for photovoltaic systems. The credit is not refundable but may be

carried forward for up to 10 years.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.14 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the growth of the solar industry by subsidizing a portion of

the purchase and installation costs of solar systems in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2006 and amended in 2009 to reduce the credit from

30% to 10% and remove the cumulative federal and state cap of 30%.

Evaluation: As TRD does not collect information regarding individual systems and

system capacity, the energy cost savings cannot yet be determined.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Solar Market	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Development	Claims	1,411	1,290	382	204	76
Credit	Expenditure (thousands)	\$2,683	\$2,465	\$417	\$189	\$60

SPACEPORT-RELATED ACTIVITIES GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Deductible from GRT are receipts from:

(1) launching, operating, or recovering space vehicles or

payloads;

(2) preparing a payload;

(3) operating a spaceport; or

(4) providing research, development, testing, and evaluation

services for the U.S. Air Force Operationally Responsive

Space Program.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-54.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the development of the space industry in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1995 and amended in 1997, 2001, 2003, and 2007. The

2007 amendment added a deduction for receipts from the provision of research, development, testing, and evaluation services for the U.S. Air Force

Operationally Responsible Space Program.

Evaluation: According to publicly available information, there are several out of state

companies conducting launches in New Mexico. Spaceport America also hosts a collegiate annual competition in which students from across the world launch rockets from Spaceport America. Virgin Galactic has moved its headquarters to the state and is preparing vehicles for commercial It is assumed that events derived from Covid-19 pandemic have caused a delay in commercial launches at the site. News reports point to the test suborbital

spaceflight occurring in the Fall of 2020 with the expectation that

commercial spaceflights will follow shortly thereafter.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: No data are available to estimate the fiscal impact. Many companies involved

in this business could be described as having irregular cash flow. Without a reporting requirement, it is difficult to ascertain which companies qualify

and how much of the deduction is being applied.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

SPACE-RELATED TEST ARTICLES DEDUCTION FROM COMPENSATING TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Deduction of the value of space-related test articles used in New Mexico

exclusively for research or testing, or placed on public display after use, or stored for future use. The value of equipment and materials used in New Mexico for research or testing to support the research or testing of space-related test articles, or for storage of such equipment or materials to support the research and testing of space-related test articles, is deductible in

computing compensating tax due.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-54.4 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Incentivize the development of the space industry in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2003.

Evaluation: According to publicly available information, there are several companies

conducting tests in New Mexico. These companies hold proprietary information in a new and emerging industry which makes capturing

accurate data very difficult.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: No data are available to estimate the fiscal impact. Companies in this

industry belong to a highly-specialized niche that holds proprietary information, making it difficult to find external sources of information. Without a reporting requirement it is difficult to ascertain which companies

qualify and how much of the deduction is being applied.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

SPECIAL NEEDS ADOPTED CHILD CREDIT AGAINST PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A taxpayer who has adopted a special needs child (an individual certified as

a "difficult to place child") may claim a credit against PIT in the amount of

\$1,000.

If the amount of credit due to a taxpayer exceeds the taxpayer's PIT liability,

the excess is refunded.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.16 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To provide tax relief for those who adopted a special needs child.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: Restrict this refundable tax credit to taxpayers who are New Mexico resident

filers to better target this tax relief to New Mexicans. The Department estimates that about 25 non-resident filers claim this expenditure annually

at a cost of about \$45,000 per year.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Credit, Special	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Needs	Claims	1,002	1,011	1,023	1,052	977
Adopted Child	Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,819	\$1,837	\$1,877	\$1,927	\$1,778

SUSTAINABLE AND NEW SUSTAINABLE BUILDING CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: Enacted in 2007, Sections 7-2A-21 and 7-2-18.19 NMSA 1978 provide the

sustainable building tax credit. Sections 7-2A-28 and 7-2-18.29 NMSA 1978, enacted in 2015 and applicable to tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2017, provide the new sustainable building tax credit. Until December 31, 2016, a taxpayer may claim a credit against PIT and CIT for investments in constructing or renovating sustainable residential or commercial buildings

that meet specific "green" building standards.

The amount of the credit that may be claimed ranges from \$0.70 per square foot to \$9.00 per square foot depending on the square footage of the building, the type of building, and the certification level the building has achieved in the LEED green building rating system.

For credits that are less than \$100,000, a maximum of \$25,000 will be applied against the taxpayer's liability each year as needed; for credits of \$100,000 and more, a maximum of 25% will be applied against the taxpayer's liability each year.

The credit is not refundable but is transferable. It may also be carried forward up to seven years.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.19, 7-2-29, 7-2A-21 and 7-2A-28 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the construction of sustainable buildings and the renovation

of existing buildings into sustainable buildings.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 and amended in 2009 and 2013.

The 2013 amendment extended the expiration date, changed the aggregate cap for commercial building from \$5 million to \$1 million and from \$5 million to \$4 million for residential buildings, and established thresholds for how much of the gradit can be also and in each year.

how much of the credit can be claimed in each year.

In 2015, legislation was enacted to re-enact a new sustainable building tax credit¹², which: (1) provided the new credit through 2026; (2) lowered the qualified square footage and credit amount for residential buildings; and (3) reinstated a per-credit (as opposed to an aggregated credit) methodology

for determining annualized credit claim amounts.

¹² Sections 7-2-18.29 and 7-2A-28 NMSA 1978

Evaluation:

According to EMNRD, the sustainable building tax credit reached its cap in FY2015 and FY2016. In the 12-month period through October 2013, EMNRD received, reviewed, and processed 1,078 applications comprising 2.7 million square feet of floor area, including: six commercial buildings of 663,970 square feet; 216 multifamily housing units of 201,763 square feet; 67 manufactured homes of 111,082 square feet; and 795 single-family homes of 1,787,047 square feet. Construction for the buildings that meet the ENERGY STAR, LEED, or the Build Green New Mexico standards provided jobs in 22 New Mexico counties.

TRD used data provided by EMNRD in thousands of British Thermal Units (MMBTUs) to estimate the savings. Using the Public Service Company of New Mexico's monthly rates per kilowatt-hour ("kWh"), the Department estimated the savings in energy costs as a result of the credit. In FY2014, the total savings in residential homes was about \$570,000. The future energy savings projections over 10 years were estimated to be \$27 million, compared to \$18 million in tax credits. Total savings for commercial buildings over 10 years were estimated at \$30 million, compared to \$2.2 million in tax credits. It should be noted that the monetized energy savings are annual savings and do not project or consider the cumulative energy savings over the useful life of the properties.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor:

1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required. Differences between annual expenditure reports are attributed to updates in GenTax as tax filers provide late and/or amended returns. Given the seven-year carry forward period for claiming credits under the expired credit, tax liabilities will be reduced under this program through fiscal year 2023.

Credit, Sustainable	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Building	Claims	750	968	1,041	791	36
(expired)	Expenditure (thousands)	\$3,777	\$3,681	\$3,758	\$2,656	\$155

Credit, New Sustainable	Tax Year (Calendar)	2017	2018	2019
Building	Claims	599	626	85
Credit	Expenditure (thousands)	\$3,775	\$3,416	\$381

TAX STAMPS RATE DIFFERENTIAL IN CIGARETTE TAX

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: TRD sells tax stamps at face value to distributors of cigarettes. Unless the

face value of tax stamps sold in a single sale is less than \$1,000, a discount of 0.55% is applied for the first \$30,000 of stamps purchased in one calendar

month. A 0.44% discount is applied for the next \$30,000 of stamps purchased in that month, and a 0.27% discount is applied for any stamps

purchased in excess of \$60,000.

Statutory Basis: 7-12-7(D) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably, this provides a scaled increase of the price of stamps. Rather

than providing for a floor with increases at certain thresholds, it provides a ceiling with decreases at certain thresholds. This meets the definition of a

tax expenditure but the intent to make it one is unclear.

History: Originally enacted in 1943 and amended in 1947, 1949, 1953, 1963, 1968,

1970, 1971, 1988, 2006, and 2010. The 2010 amendment reduced the

discounts.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - The discounted amounts are reported separately. No estimation is

required.

Tax Stamps Rate Differential in	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Cigarette Tax	Claims	20	21	13	16	16
	Expenditure (thousands)	\$248.6	\$242.1	\$232.7	\$224.8	\$202.2

TECHNOLOGY JOBS AND RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CREDIT AGAINST GRT, COMPENSATING TAX, WITHHOLDING TAX, PIT, OR CIT

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description:

A taxpayer who conducts qualified research and development at a facility in New Mexico, except at a facility operated for the U.S. government, may claim a basic credit equal to 5% (4% before January 1, 2016) of qualified expenditures against GRT, compensating tax, or withholding tax. This is doubled to 10% when the qualified facility is in a rural area.

The taxpayer may qualify for an additional 5% credit against PIT and CIT liabilities by raising its in-state payroll by \$75,000 for every \$1 million in qualified expenditures claimed. This credit doubles if the qualified facility is in a rural area.

A 2015 amendment excluded local option gross receipts tax from the taxes that the basic credit may be claimed against. The legislation also required separate reporting by the taxpayer.

Qualified research and development small business is defined as a taxpayer that:

- (1) employed no more than fifty employees as determined by the number of employees for which the taxpayer was liable for unemployment insurance coverage in the taxable year for which an additional credit is claimed;
- (2) had total qualified expenditures of no more than \$5,000,000 in the taxable year for which an additional credit is claimed; and
- (3) did not have more than 50% of its voting securities or other equity interest with the right to designate or elect the board of directors or other governing body of the business owned directly or indirectly by another business; Prior to January 1, 2016 the credit is not refundable but may be carried forward as long as needed.

Starting January 1, 2016, the credit is refundable if the taxpayer's total qualified expenditures for the taxable year for which the claim is made is:

- (1) less than \$3 million, the excess additional credit shall be refunded to the taxpayer;
- (2) greater than or equal to \$3 million and less than \$4 million, two-thirds of the excess additional credit shall be refunded to the taxpayer; and
- (3) greater than or equal to \$4 million and less than or equal to \$5 million, one-third of the excess additional credit shall be refunded to the taxpayer.

Statutory Basis: 7-9F-1 *et seq.* NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the growth of technology-based businesses engaging in

research, development and experimentation by providing a favorable tax climate and to promote increased employment and higher wages in those

fields in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2000 and amended in 2015, to create the Technology

Jobs and Research and Development Tax Credit Act from the Technology Jobs Tax Credit. Section 7-9F-9 NMSA 1978 was amended to set forth the mechanism for claiming the basic credit, and to exclude local option gross receipts tax from the taxes that the basic credit may be claimed against. A

taxpayer reporting requirement was also created.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Credit,	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Tech Jobs	Claims	280	299	245	257	137
and R&D	Expenditure (thousands)	\$3,475	\$3,175	\$2,537	\$3,305	\$1,483

TEST ARTICLES DEDUCTION FROM COMPENSATING TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The value of test articles upon which research or testing is conducted in New

Mexico pursuant to a contract with the U.S. Department of Defense is

deductible from the compensating tax due. The deduction does not apply to the value of property purchased by a prime contractor operating a facility

designated as a national laboratory by an act of Congress.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-54.5 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the retention of U.S. Air Force bases in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 2004.

Evaluation: The deduction is determined to be effective as New Mexico has retained its

air force bases while others outside of the state have been closed due to

consolidation.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: No data are available to estimate the fiscal impact.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

TIDD - TAX INCREMENT FOR DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT DEDICATED GRT INCREMENTS

Category: **Economic Development**

Brief Description: State and local governments may dedicate a portion of incremental GRT

> revenue attributable to activities within a TIDD, and local governments may dedicate a portion of incremental property tax revenues for use by a TIDD to

fund eligible activities of the TIDD.

Statutory Basis: 5-15-15 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To create a mechanism for providing GRT and property tax increment

> financing to decrease developer costs of constructing public infrastructure to incentivize the support of economic development and job creation.

History: Originally enacted in 2006 and amended in 2009 and 2014.

> The 2009 amendment clarified the following: that approval of the plan is by the governing body of the municipality or county within which the TIDD projects are proposed, that the deposit the petitioners make may be reimbursed from proceeds from the sale of bonds issued by the TIDD, to direct the governing body of the local government to notify TRD, DFA, and the LFC when a TIDD formation resolution is adopted, to add requirements for the content of the notice of hearing, and to clarify some administrative provisions.

The 2014 amendment created a mechanism for adjusting a base year one time. To date, the base year adjustment criteria has only been met the

Winrock TIDD.

Evaluation: TIDDs allow for state and local governments to contribute tax revenue to

> developments that are deemed to be mutually beneficial. Administratively, TIDDs require great care in the initial setup and baseline setting stage.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 – State GRT reporting within TIDDs are reported separately. No estimation

is required. Local fiscal impacts are not included in the calculation.

Tax Increment for	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Development District Dedicated Increments	Expenditure (thousands)	\$5,210	\$7,810	\$7,380	\$6,940	\$3,550

URANIUM ENRICHMENT PLANT EQUIPMENT DEDUCTION FROM COMPENSATING TAX

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: The value of equipment and replacement parts for that equipment may be

deducted in computing the compensating tax due if the taxpayer uses the equipment or replacement parts to enrich uranium in a uranium enrichment

plant.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-78.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize uranium enrichment plants to locate in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1999.

Evaluation: Operating as intended. The only current commercial enrichment facility in

the United States opened in New Mexico a decade after this statute was

enacted.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: This deduction is not separately reported. No data are available to estimate

the fiscal impact.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

URANIUM HEXAFLUORIDE AND URANIUM ENRICHMENT GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Highly Specialized Industry

Brief Description: Receipts from selling uranium hexafluoride and uranium enrichment are

deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-90 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the uranium hexafluoride industry and uranium enrichment

plants to locate in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1999 and amended in 2012. The 2012 amendment

allowed the deduction for the sale of uranium hexafluoride.

Evaluation: Operating as intended. The only current commercial uranium enrichment

facility in the United States opened in New Mexico a decade after this statute

was enacted.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This deduction is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: Has been redacted in all years due to fewer than three taxpayers taking the

deduction.

UNREIMBURSED OR UNCOMPENSATED MEDICAL CARE EXPENSES CREDIT FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A taxpayer who is 65 years of age or older may claim a credit of \$2,800 for

medical care expenses paid by the taxpayer equal to \$28,000 or more, if those expenses are not reimbursed or compensated for by insurance or otherwise. This credit is refundable if the credit exceeds the taxpayer's PIT

liability for the taxable year.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.13 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce out-of-pocket costs of medical care by deducting medical

expenses not otherwise reimbursed or compensated by reducing NM taxable

income.

History: Originally enacted in 2005 with no expiration.

Evaluation: This is one of several citizen benefit expenditures related to unreimbursed

medical expenses. Because a taxpayer must have qualifying expenses over \$28,000 per year, this credit is restricted to taxpayers who incur significant unreimbursed or uncompensated medical care expenses. All claimants are aged 65 and older, a population that is often on a fixed income. Claims for this credit are expected to increase as demographics shift to an older

population.

Recommendations: Restrict this refundable tax credit to taxpayers who are New Mexico resident

filers to better target this tax relief to New Mexicans. The Department estimates that about 2,850 non-resident filers claim this expenditure

annually at a cost of about \$1,000,000 per year.

Reliability Factor: 1 – The expense is calculated directly from taxpayer data. No estimation is

required.

Unreimbursed	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Medical	Claims	2,224	2,735	3,101	3,394	3,068
Expenses Credit	Expenditure (thousands)	\$6,216	\$7,640	\$8,665	\$9,488	\$8,579

UNREIMBURSED OR UNCOMPENSATED MEDICAL CARE EXPENSES DEDUCTION FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: A taxpayer may claim a deduction from net income in an amount determined

from medical care expenses paid during the tax year for medical care of the taxpayer, the taxpayer's spouse or dependent(s) if those expenses were not reimbursed or compensated by insurance or otherwise. The deduction amount is based on a percentage of applicable medical expenses during the taxable year and the percentage is scaled by adjusted gross income. For all filing statuses, 10, 15 and 25 percent are the allowable percentages based on

adjusted gross income.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-37 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To accommodate taxpayers by deducting medical expenses not otherwise

reimbursed or compensated by reducing NM taxable income.

History: Originally enacted in 2015. This deduction has a sunset provision of January

1, 2025.

Evaluation: This is one of several citizen benefit expenditures related to unreimbursed

medical expenses. This deduction is available to all taxpayers, regardless of age, income, or amount of medical expenditures, subject to percentages determined by adjusted gross income thresholds. On average approximately

30% of taxpayers claim this deduction.

Recommendations: Restrict this deductions to taxpayers who are New Mexico resident filers to

better target this tax relief to New Mexicans. Evaluate the change in unreimbursed medical care expenses with the implementation of the Affordable Care Act and whether the percentages determined by adjusted

gross income equitably assist taxpayers.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 – The expenditure amounts for each taxpayer claiming this deduction were

computed, making this data more reliable than in past years when an

average rate for all taxpayers was used.

Unreimbursed	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Medical Expenses	Claims	328,593	323,250	326,365	312,939	290,043
Deduction	Expenditure (thousands)	\$4,867	\$4,821	\$4,878	\$5,436	\$4,980

UNREIMBURSED OR UNCOMPENSATED MEDICAL CARE EXPENSES EXEMPTION FROM PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Any individual who is 65 years or older may claim an exemption from net

income in an amount equal to \$3,000 for medical care expenses paid during the taxable year if those medical expenses exceed \$28,000 and are not reimbursed or compensated by insurance or otherwise. Medical expenses could be for the individual, the individual's spouse, or the individual's

dependents.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-5.9 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To accommodate taxpayers by deducting medical expenses not otherwise

reimbursed or compensated by reducing NM taxable income.

History: This exemption was enacted in 2005 and does not have a sunset provision.

Evaluation: This is one of several citizen benefit expenditures related to unreimbursed

medical expenses. Because a taxpayer must have qualifying expenses over \$28,000 per year, this exemption is restricted to taxpayers who incur significant unreimbursed or uncompensated medical care expenses. All claimants are aged 65 and older, a population that is often on a fixed income. Claims for this exemption are expected to increase as demographics shift to

an older population.

Recommendations: Restrict this deductions to taxpayers who are New Mexico resident filers to

better target this tax relief to New Mexicans.

Reliability Factor: \P 1 – This expenditure is calculated directly using taxpayer data. No

estimating is required.

Exemption, Un-	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
reimbursed	Claims	2,872	3,058	3,303	3,609	3,213
Medical Expenses	Expenditure (thousands)	\$178	\$190	\$223	\$271	\$254

VEHICLES TITLED BEFORE JULY 1, 1991 EXEMPTION FROM LVGRT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Receipts from leasing, by the owner, of vehicles that were acquired by the

owner prior to July 1, 1991 are exempt from the LVGRT as long as the MVX

was paid and a certificate of title was issued prior to July 1, 1991.

Statutory Basis: 7-14A-9 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To avoid retroactivity of taxes on vehicles titled before the enactment date.

History: Originally enacted in 1991.

Evaluation: Most leases are 3 years and are for new vehicles. The likelihood that

someone would lease a 25-year-old car is very low due to its age and high

mileage unless they are being leased as vintage vehicles.

Recommendations: Repeal. At this point in time the exemption applies to very few, if any,

vehicles.

Reliability Factor: TRD's internal database does not have records of leases on vehicles which

were titled before July 1, 1991.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown, but likely very small.

VENTURE CAPITAL INVESTMENT CREDIT AGAINST PIT

Category: Economic Development

Brief Description: A taxpayer may claim a credit against PIT liability equal to a capital gain tax

differential (typically 50% of the federal income tax paid by the taxpayer on qualified diversifying business net capitals gains) if the taxpayer allocates

the qualified diversifying business net capital gain to New Mexico.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward indefinitely.

Statutory Basis: 7-2D-8.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage strategic advances of the current business to facilitate

explorations of potential new businesses.

History: Originally enacted in 1995.

Evaluation: This credit is not being claimed.

Recommendations: Repeal. This credit appears to mirror the net capital gain income deduction,

and its use is precluded if the net capital gain deduction is taken. A study to

determine why the credit is unused is recommended.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is required to be separately reported. No estimation is

required.

Fiscal Impact: No taxpayers have claimed this credit.

VETERAN EMPLOYMENT CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Applicable to taxable years beginning January 1, 2012 and ending January 1,

2017, a taxpayer who employs a qualified military veteran in New Mexico is eligible for a credit against the taxpayer's PIT and CIT liability. The amount of the credit is up to \$1,000 of the gross wages paid during the taxable year for which the return is filed for each military veteran who is hired within two years of being honorably discharged. The veteran must work at least 40 hours per week and have not been previously employed by the taxpayer

prior to the deployment.

The credit can only be claimed for one year per individual veteran.

The credit is not refundable but may be carried forward for three years.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.28 and 7-2A-27 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage the full-time employment of qualified military veterans within

two years of honorable discharge from the US armed forces.

History: Originally enacted in 2012.

Evaluation: The credit is underutilized. Possible explanations are that taxpayers are

unaware of the credit or that the credit is too small to incentivize the full-

time hiring of eligible veterans.

Recommendations: Repeal. The credit applied to taxable years 2012-2016 with a carry forward

of three years. This credit can no longer be claimed on tax returns after the 2019 tax year. As the original bill did not have a delayed repeal this statute is

still in law.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: Has been redacted in 2016 due to fewer than three taxpayers claiming this

credit.

Veteran Employment Credit Against PIT	Tax Year (Calendar)	2016	2017	2018	2019
and CIT	Expenditure	-	\$0	\$0	\$0

TABLE NOTE: "-" MEANS DATA IS REDACED DUE TO FEWER THAN 3 TAXPAYERS

WELFARE-TO-WORK CREDIT AGAINST PIT AND CIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: Prior to January 1, 2008 certain businesses located in mostly rural counties

that qualified for the federal welfare-to-work credit provided by 26 USC § 51A may also have been eligible for the New Mexico welfare-to-work credit against PIT and CIT. Beginning January 1, 2008, 26 USC § 51A was repealed and replaced by federal work opportunity credit. Employers qualifying for the new federal work opportunity credit will not qualify for the New Mexico

credit. The credit may be carried forward for up to three years.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.5 and 7-2A-8.8 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To encourage businesses to hire employees who have been long-term family

assistance recipients and who reside in high-unemployment counties.

History: Originally enacted in 1998.

Evaluation: This credit is rarely being used. Because the federal program to which this

credit was tied no longer exists, taxpayers can claim the credit only if a taxpayer either carried forward the credit or amended a return for activity that occurred while the federal credit was still in effect. Presumably this

accounts for the lack of activity since the credit expired.

Recommendations: Repeal. This credit is tied to a federal program that no longer exists and

therefore has no effect.

Reliability Factor: 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Fiscal Impact: None. The credit is no longer available and the carryforward for prior claims

has expired.

WIND AND SOLAR GENERATION EQUIPMENT GRT DEDUCTION

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: Receipts from selling wind generation equipment or solar generation

equipment to a federal or state government agency for the purpose of installing a wind or solar electric generation facility are deductible from

gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-54.3 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize government entities to purchase wind and solar generation

equipment for a renewable energy facility.

History: Originally enacted in 2002 and amended in 2010 to expand the deduction to

include solar generation equipment.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: "Government" is defined in this statute as federal or state level entities.

Amending the statute to include county and municipal entities will extend

savings to the lower tier governmental entities.

Reliability Factor: No data are available to estimate the fiscal impact.

Fiscal Impact: Unknown.

WORKING FAMILIES CREDIT AGAINST PIT

Category: Citizen Benefits

Brief Description: From tax years 2008 through 2018, individuals may claim a credit against

PIT equal to 10% of their federal earned income tax credit.

Starting in tax year 2019, individuals may claim a credit against PIT equal to

17% of their federal earned income tax credit.

The credit is refundable.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-18.15 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To reduce the tax burden on working families.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 at 8% of taxpayer's federal earned income tax

credit, amended in 2008 to 10%, and amended in 2019 to 17%.

Evaluation: The Working Families Tax Credit is one of several tax programs that

contribute to the Maintenance of Effort (MOE) certification for the TANF program. The income thresholds for the federal Earned Income Tax Credit are adjusted annually; the New Mexico credit is contingent upon receipt of the federal credit. This credit provides considerable relief to lower-income workers, thereby incentivizing work. The credit phases out gradually as

income rises and is larger for families with more dependents.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: ¶ 1 - This credit is separately reported. No estimation is required.

Working	Tax Year (Calendar)	2015	20167	2017	2018	2019
Families Tax	Claims	213,928	213,300	205,820	202,248	199,624
Credit	Expenditure (thousands)	\$52,592	\$52,426	\$50,900	\$49,904	\$83,408

NOT TAX EXPENDITURES

While almost anything with respect to tax expenditures can be debated, little argument¹³ surrounds the following deviations from the tax code; therefore, TRD classifies them as "Not Tax Expenditures".

Many of these deviations fall into the following broad categories:

- 1)Reducing the effects of pyramiding. One of the detrimental impacts of a GRT system is that, absent a deviation, external costs of production are taxed at the time the producer acquires them and then again when the producer sells the final product. When compared to a sales tax system, this makes the cost of a good or service more expensive even when all factors other than the type of tax system are equal. Many of the deductions in New Mexico's GRT are designed to eliminate the taxation on the inputs so that the tax is only applied to the final product.
- 2) Allowing deductions or exemptions under one tax program because the Legislature has elected to instead impose tax under another program.

Furthermore, New Mexico policymakers have decided to use different tax structures to tax different activities (e.g., MVX for the sale of motor vehicles). Deviations from one structure when the activity is taxed under a different structure (e.g., GRT deduction for the sale of motor vehicles) prevent double taxation.

3) Several other deviations are in the code because of the federal preemption doctrine. Because states are prohibited from taxing the federal government, New Mexico is unable to impose a tax in these cases regardless of whether the deviation was placed in the code. Including them in the tax code simply provides some measure of clarity to the taxpayer and the Taxation and Revenue Department.

Reporting information about tax code deviations that are "Not Tax Expenditures" is not specifically required. However, we have included some descriptive information about them to give the reader a more complete picture of the New Mexico tax landscape.

¹³ Note that this is intentionally different from saying that "there is no argument"; judgment calls are an inherent feature of tax expenditure analysis and the economists at the New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department have used their best judgement in determining what is and what is not a tax expenditure.

ACCREDITED DIPLOMATS AND MISSIONS GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale or lease of property to, or from performing services

for, accredited foreign missions or diplomats are deductible from gross receipts when required by a treaty to which the U.S. Government is a

signatory.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-89 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to comply with the preemption doctrine.

History: Originally enacted in 1998.

ACQUISITION OF VEHICLE FOR SUBSEQUENT LEASE EXEMPTION FROM MVX

Brief Description: A person who acquires a vehicle for subsequent lease is exempt from the

MVX if:

(1) the person does not use the vehicle in any manner other than holding it for lease or sale or leasing or selling it in the ordinary course of business;

(2) the lease is for a term of more than 6 months;

(3) the receipts from the subsequent lease are subject to GRT; and

(4) the vehicle does not have a gross vehicle weight of over 26,000 pounds.

Statutory Basis: 7-14-6(F) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base and avoid pyramiding as there is a

requirement the subsequent lease be subject to GRT.

History: The section was originally enacted in 1988 and was amended in 1990, 1994,

2004, and 2007. The language in this subsection was added as part of the

amendment in 1994.

ACQUISITION OF VEHICLE MORE THAN 30 DAYS BEFORE MOVING TO NM EXEMPTION FROM MVX

Brief Description: A person who acquires a vehicle out of state thirty or more days before

establishing a domicile in this state is exempt from the tax if the vehicle was

acquired for personal use.

Statutory Basis: 7-14-6(A) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to eliminate an unreasonable tax burden on non-residents

relocating to New Mexico on personally owned and operated vehicles.

History: Originally enacted in 1988.

ATHLETIC FACILITY SURCHARGE EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND GGRT

Brief Description: Exempted from the GRT and from the GGRT are the receipts of a university

from an athletic facility surcharge imposed pursuant to the University

Athletic Facility Funding Act.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-41.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple programs. Because the

University Athletic Funding Act allows a university to impose an athletic facility surcharge on products and services sold at or related to the facility, this exempts those sales from the GRT and GGRT so that they are not taxed

twice.

History: Originally enacted in 2007 as part of the legislation that enacted the

University Athletic Facility Funding Act.

BAD DEBTS DEDUCTION FROM ITGRT

Brief Description: Refunds and allowances made to buyers of interstate telecommunications

services or amounts written off the books as an uncollectible debt by a person reporting ITGRT on an accrual basis are deductible from interstate

telecommunications gross receipts.

If debts reported as uncollectible are subsequently collected, the receipts must be included in interstate telecommunications gross receipts when they

are collected.

Statutory Basis: 7-9C-9 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to properly define the tax base and avoid requiring a taxpayer

to pay taxes on non-existent receipts.

History: Originally enacted in 1992.

BIODIESEL FOR SUBSEQUENT BLENDING OR RESALE BY A RACK OPERATOR DEDUCTION FROM PETROLEUM PRODUCTS LOADING FEE

Brief Description: Biodiesel loaded in or imported into New Mexico and delivered to a rack

operator for subsequent blending or resale by a rack operator is deductible from gallons used to determine loads for the purposes of calculating the

petroleum products loading fee.

Statutory Basis: 7-13A-5(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: The section was originally enacted in 1990. This subsection was created by

an amendment in 2014.

BOATS EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description: Both the receipts from selling, and the use of, boats on which an excise tax is

imposed are exempt from the GRT and the compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-22.1 and 7-9-23.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple programs as these exemptions

only apply to those boats on which a tax is imposed pursuant to Section 66-

12-6.1 NMSA 1978.

History: Originally enacted in 1987.

BUSINESS LOCATED ON LAND OWNED BY THE MUNICIPALITY BUT OUTSIDE THE MUNICIPAL BOUNDARIES EXEMPTION FROM SUPPLEMENTAL MUNICIPAL GRT AND MUNICIPAL LOCAL OPTION GRT

Brief Description: A business located outside of the boundaries of a municipality on land

owned by that municipality is exempt from the supplemental municipal GRT

and the municipal local option GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-19-14(B) and 7-19D-5(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to resolve a jurisdictional question and provide certainty about

the tax rate that applies in this situation (without this clarification, there

could be arguments about which tax rate applies).

History: Originally enacted in 1979 and amended in 1983 and 1994.

Statutes repealed in 2019 effective July 1, 2021.

CERTAIN RETAIL SALES OF GASOLINE ON AN INDIAN RESERVATION, PUEBLO GRANT OR TRUST LAND DEDUCTION FROM GASOLINE TAX

Brief Description: A person may deduct a percentage equal to the rate the Indian nation, tribe,

or pueblo charges divided by the rate the state charges from the gasoline gallons received that are sold at retail on Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo land.

Statutory Basis: 7-13-4.4 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to address multi-jurisdictional taxation as the deduction may

only be taken if the Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo has certified that it has in

effect an excise, privilege, or similar tax on gasoline.

History: Originally enacted in 2000.

CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS EXEMPTION FROM PIT

Brief Description: Religious, educational, benevolent, or other organizations not organized for

profit which are exempt from income taxation under the Internal Revenue Code are exempt from PIT and CIT; however, PIT and CIT apply to the

unrelated business income of the organization.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-4(B) and 7-2A-4(C) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To define the tax base.

History: The PIT exemption was originally enacted in 1965 and amended in 1969,

1971, and 1981.

The CIT exemption was originally enacted in 1981 and amended in 1986 and

1989.

CHEMICALS AND REAGENTS GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from selling chemicals or reagents to any mining, milling, or oil

company for use in processing ores or oil in a mill, smelter, or refinery or in acidizing oil wells, and receipts from selling chemicals or reagents in lots in excess of 18 tons to any hard-rock mining or milling company for use in any combination of extracting, leaching, milling, smelting, refining or processing

ore at a mine site are deductible from gross receipts.

Receipts from selling explosives, blasting power or dynamite may not be

deducted from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-65 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 2019 to clarify that lots of

chemicals or reagents in excess of 18 tons must be sold to a hard-rock mining or milling company and must be used at a mine site for specific

purposes.

CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description:

Receipts from selling construction material are deductible from gross receipts if the sale is made to a person engaged in the construction business.

The buyer must present a nontaxable transaction certificate to the seller and incorporate the construction material as:

- (1) an ingredient or component part of a construction project that is subject to the GRT upon its completion or upon the completion of the overall construction project of which it is a part;
- (2) an ingredient or component part of a construction project that is subject to the GRT upon the sale in the ordinary course of business of the real property upon which it was constructed; or
- (3) an ingredient or component part of a construction project that is located on the tribal territory of an Indian nation, tribe or pueblo.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-51 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 2000 and 2001.

CONSTRUCTION SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION-RELATED SERVICE GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description:

Receipts from selling a construction service or a construction-related service are deductible from gross receipts if the sale is made to a person engaged in the construction business.

The buyer must present a nontaxable transaction certificate to the seller and have the construction services or construction-related services directly contracted for or billed to:

- (1) a construction project that is subject to the GRT upon its completion or upon the completion of the overall construction project of which it is a part;
- (2) a construction project that is subject to the GRT upon the sale in the ordinary course of business of the real property upon which it was constructed; or
- (3) a construction project that is located on the tribal territory of an Indian nation, tribe or pueblo.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-52 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 2000 and 2012. The 2012

amendment included the deduction for constructed-related services.

DEPENDENT DEDUCTION FROM PIT FOR CERTAIN DEPENDENTS

Brief Description: As long as the exemption amount pursuant to Section 151 of the Internal

Revenue Code (IRC) is zero, any taxpayer who files a PIT return as a head of household or married filing jointly and is not a dependent of another taxpayer may claim a \$4,000 deduction per eligible dependent beyond the

first dependent claimed.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-39 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To revert to the New Mexico tax base prior to the passage of the Federal Tax

Cuts and Jobs Act and maintain conformity with federal IRC going forward.

History: The PIT deduction was originally enacted in 2019.

Evaluation: None.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 1 – This expenditure is calculated directly using taxpayer data. No

estimating is required.

Fiscal Impact:

Dependent Deduction	Tax Year (Calendar)	2019
from PIT for Certain	Claims	169,105
Dependents	Expenditure (thousands)	\$24,895

DIVIDENDS AND INTEREST EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Interest on money loaned or deposited; dividends or interest from stocks,

bonds, or securities; and receipts from the sale of stocks, bonds, or securities are exempt from GRT. This exemption exists because the above are taxed

under the personal income tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-25 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably enacted as a base defining measure.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

DYED GASOLINE USED FOR OFF-ROAD TRANSPORTATION DEDUCTION FROM GASOLINE TAX

Brief Description: Gasoline that is dyed in accordance with TRD regulations and is not used in

motor vehicles operating on the highways of New Mexico is deductible from

the gasoline tax but is subject to GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-13-4(D) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to recognize that off-road vehicles don't contribute to the

deterioration of the roads, the maintenance of which is funded through the

gasoline tax distributions to the State Road Fund.

History: The section was originally enacted in 1991 and amended in 1997, 1998,

1999, and 2007.

The language in this subsection was created by an amendment in 1998.

DYED SPECIAL FUEL DEDUCTION FROM SPECIAL FUEL EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: Special fuels dyed in accordance with federal regulations are deductible

from the special fuel excise tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-16A-10(E) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to recognize that off-road vehicles do not contribute to the

deterioration of the roads, the maintenance of which is funded through the

special fuel excise tax distributions to the State Road Fund.

History: This section was originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1993, 1997,

1998, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2009, and 2013.

EVENT CENTER SURCHARGE EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND GGRT

Brief Description: Receipts from selling tickets, parking, souvenirs, concessions, programs,

advertising, merchandise, corporate suites or boxes, broadcast revenues, and all other products or services sold at or related to a municipal event center on which an event center surcharge is imposed pursuant to the Municipal Event Center Funding Act are exempt from GRT and GGRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-13.5 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple tax programs as the receipts

must be subject to an event center surcharge to be exempt.

History: Originally enacted in 2005 as part of the legislation that enacted the

Municipal Event Center Funding Act.

Evaluation: The Municipal Event Center Funding Act provides an additional method of

accessing the capital markets to meet the need for a complete funding package for functional and modern municipal event centers. Because the Municipal Event Center Funding Act allows a municipality to impose an event center surcharge on products and services sold at or related to the stadium, these exemptions from the GRT and GGRT prevent them from being

taxed twice.

EXEMPTIONS FROM THE INSURANCE PREMIUM TAX

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: Exempted from the Insurance Premium Tax are:

- A. Premiums attributable to insurance or contracts purchased by the state or political subdivision for their active or retired employees
- B. Payments received by a health maintenance organization from the federal secretary of health and human services pursuant to a risk-sharing contract issued under the provisions of 42 U.S.C. Section 1395 mm(g)
- C. Any business transacted pursuant to the provisions of the Service Contract Regulation Act
- D. The premiums from each policy of plan issued or offered pursuant to the Minimum Healthcare Protection Act during the first three years of the issuance of the master policy or individual policy
- E. The money collected and placed in trust pursuant to Section 59A 49-6 NMSA 1978.

Statutory Basis: 7-40-5 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base.

History: Originally enacted in 2018, effective January 1, 2020.

Evaluation: No data available yet to evaluate impact of exemption.

FILMS AND TAPES GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from leasing theatrical and television films and tapes to movie

theaters or similar facilities when the theater's receipts are subject to GRT

are deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-76.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1984.

FOOD STAMPS/SNAP EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Receipts of retailers from the redemption of food stamps are exempt from

GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-18.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: This program is not considered a tax expenditure because of the Code of

Federal Regulations Title 7, Subtitle B, Chapter II C, §278.2 prohibits the state from taxing food purchased with SNAP coupons. The statute's intended purpose is to lower the cost of food for those who qualify and use food stamps (aka Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program or "SNAP").

History: Originally enacted in 1987.

Evaluation: To comply with the federal preemption doctrine. This exemption only

applies when a SNAP recipient does not buy food from one of 1,541 qualified SNAP points of sale in the state. Because of this, the estimated amounts for this exemption are relatively small when compared to the total amount of

SNAP exemptions as reported by HSD.

Recommendations: None.

Reliability Factor: 8

3 – Using expenditure and case number data from HSD between FY2016 and

FY2020, the annual average GRT rate was applied to the annual dollar amounts of SNAP expenditures. To estimate the amounts of these

expenditures TRD assumed a 4% exemption rate. This exemption applies when SNAP benefits are used to purchase food from a qualified food retail store. Therefore, receipts under Section 7-9-92 NMSA 1978 may not be

deducted if they are exempted by Section 7-9-18.1 NMSA 1978.

Food Stamps/SNAP	Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Exemption from GRT	Expenditure (thousands)	\$1,900	\$1,900	\$1,950	\$1,770	\$2,110

FUEL EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description: The selling and the use of gasoline, special fuel, or alternative fuel on which

the tax imposed by other acts have been paid are exempt from GRT and

compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-26 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple tax programs as the fuels must

be taxed pursuant to the gasoline tax, the special fuel excise tax, or the

Alternative Fuel Tax Act to qualify for the exemption.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1971, 1980, 1981, 1983, 1993,

and 1995.

GASOLINE OR SPECIAL FUELS RETURNED TO THE REFINER AS UNCOLLECTIBLE DEDUCTION FROM PETROLEUM PRODUCTS LOADING FEE

Brief Description: Gasoline and special fuels that are returned to the refiner, pipeline terminal

operator, or distributor are deductible from the gallons used to determine loads for purposes of calculating the PPLF when refunds and allowances are

made to buyers for the returned fuel.

Gasoline and special fuels, the payment for which has not been collected and

has been determined to be uncollectible, are deductible from the gallons

used to determine loads for purposes of calculating the PPLF.

Statutory Basis: 7-13A-5(A) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to ensure that taxes are not paid on gasoline and special fuel

which the taxpayer is unable to market.

History: Originally enacted in 1990 and amended in 2014.

INCOME ALLOCATION AND APPORTIONMENT CREDIT AGAINST CIT

Brief Description: Net income of any taxpayer having income that is taxable both within and

without this state shall be apportioned and allocated pursuant to the

Uniform Division of Income for Tax Purposes Act.

Repealed as of January 1, 2020.

Statutory Basis: 7-2A-8 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To define the tax base and prevent New Mexico from taxing income that is

properly attributed to another state per the U.S. Commerce Clause.

History: Originally enacted in 1981 and amended in 1983, 1986, 1990, 1995, 1996

and repealed in 2019.

INDIANS EXEMPTION FROM PIT

Brief Description: Income earned by a member of a New Mexico federally-recognized Indian

nation, tribe, band or pueblo, the member's spouse or dependent, who is a member of a New Mexico federally-recognized Indian nation, tribe, band or pueblo, is exempt from PIT if the income is earned from work performed within and the member, spouse or dependent lives within the boundaries of the Indian member's or the spouse's reservation or pueblo grant or within the boundaries of lands held in trust by the U.S. for the benefit of the

member or spouse or his nation, tribe, band or pueblo, subject to restriction

against alienation imposed by the U.S..

Statutory Basis: 7-2-5.5 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To define the tax base and comply with federal restraints on state taxation

under federal Indian law.

History: Originally enacted in 1995.

INSURANCE COMPANIES EXEMPTION FROM CIT

Brief Description: Insurance companies that pay a premium tax to the state are exempt from

CIT.

Statutory Basis: 7-2A-4(A) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To avoid taxation under multiple programs as qualification for the

exemption is dependent upon paying a premium tax.

History: Originally enacted in 1981 and amended in 1986 and 1989.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL COAL SEVERANCE CREDIT AGAINST COAL SEVERANCE TAX

Brief Description: A person who severs coal from tribal land may claim a credit against the coal

severance tax and the coal surtax imposed under Section 7-26-6 NMSA 1978.

The credit is calculated monthly and is equal to 75% of the lesser of:

(1) the aggregate amount of taxes in effect on March 1, 2001 imposed by the Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo; or

(2) the aggregate amount of coal severance tax and the coal

surtax due the state.

Statutory Basis: 7-29C-2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably in deference to the sovereignty of Indian nation, tribes, and

pueblos and address issues with multi-jurisdictional taxation.

History: Originally enacted in 2001.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL CREDIT AGAINST CIT

Brief Description: A new business which opens on Indian land after July 1, 1997 may claim a

credit against the CIT.

The credit is calculated for each reporting period and is equal to 50% of the lesser of:

(1) the aggregate amount of tax paid by the taxpayer; or

(2) the amount of the taxpayer's CIT due from the new business'

activity on Indian land.

Statutory Basis: 7-2A-16 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To accommodate principles of fair apportionment between the tribes and

the state.

History: Originally enacted in 1997.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PRODUCTION AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL PRODUCTION EQUIPMENT CREDIT AGAINST OIL AND GAS SEVERANCE TAX, OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION TAX, OIL AND GAS EMERGENCY SCHOOL TAX, AND OIL AND GAS AD VALOREM PRODUCTION TAX

Brief Description:

A person who severs products from tribal land may claim a credit against the oil and gas severance tax, the oil and gas conservation tax, the oil and gas emergency school tax, or the oil and gas ad valorem production tax.

The credit is calculated monthly and is equal to 75% of the lesser of:

- (1) the aggregate amount of taxes in effect on March 1, 1995 imposed by the Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo; or
- (2) the aggregate amount of oil and gas severance tax, the oil and gas conservation tax, the oil and gas emergency school tax, or the oil and gas ad valorem production tax due the state.

A person who is liable for the payment of the oil and gas production equipment ad valorem tax imposed on equipment located on Indian tribal land may claim a credit.

The credit is calculated monthly and is equal to 75% of the lesser of:

- (1) the aggregate amount of ad valorem or similar taxes in effect on March 1, 1995 imposed by the Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo; or
- (2) the aggregate amount of oil and gas production equipment tax due the state.

Statutory Basis: 7-29C-1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably in deference to the sovereignty of Indian nations, tribes, and

pueblos and to address the cumulative tax burden of multijurisdictional

taxation.

History: Originally enacted in 1995 and amended in 1999.

INTERNET SERVICES GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from providing telecommunications, Internet, or Internet access

services to internet service providers are deductible.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-56.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding as the final user must be subject to the

GRT or ITGRT.

History: Originally enacted in 1998 and amended in 2000.

INTERSTATE SALES OF SPIRTUOUS LIQUORS, BEER, & WINE AND WINEGROWER-TO-WINEGROWER TRANSFERS DEDUCTION FROM LIQUOR EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: A wholesaler who sells and ships liquor, beer, or wine out of state may claim

a deduction for those liters from the units of alcoholic beverages subject to

the liquor excise tax.

A winegrower who transfers wine to another winegrower for processing, bottling, or storage and subsequently returns the wine to the original winegrower may claim a deduction for those liters from the units of wine

subject to the liquor excise tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-17-6 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to increase New Mexico winegrowers' competitiveness in other

states with respect to the out-of-state deduction and to ensure that the product is not taxed twice (once in New Mexico and once in the other state);

and to reduce tax pyramiding with respect to the winegrower-to-

winegrower transfers.

History: Originally enacted in 1984 and amended in 1995 and 2008.

INTERSTATE SALES OF TOBACCO DEDUCTION AGAINST TOBACCO PRODUCTS TAX

Brief Description: The value of tobacco products sold and shipped or given and shipped to a

person in another state is deductible from the product value subject to tax

imposed by the Tobacco Products Tax Act.

Statutory Basis: 7-12A-5 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to ensure that the product is not taxed twice (once in New

Mexico and again in the other state).

History: Originally enacted in 1986.

INTERSTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Receipts from selling or providing interstate telecommunications services

that are subject to ITGRT are exempt

Statutory Basis: 7-9-38.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple programs as the exemption

only applies to the sale or provision of interstate telecommunications services subject to the tax imposed by the Interstate Telecommunications

Gross Receipts Tax Act¹⁴.

History: Originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1993.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE TRANSACTIONS DEDUCTION FROM LVGRT

Brief Description: Receipts from transactions in interstate commerce are deductible from

gross receipts to the extent that the imposition of the leased vehicle gross receipts tax would be unlawful under the United States Constitution.

Statutory Basis: 7-14A-7 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To comport with U.S. Constitutional limitations on state taxation under the

Commerce Clause.

History: Originally enacted in 1991.

¹⁴ Section 7-9C-1 NMSA 1978

JEWELRY MANUFACTURING GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from selling tangible personal property are deductible from gross

receipts if it is incorporated as an ingredient or component part of the

jewelry the buyer is in the business of manufacturing.

The deduction allowed a seller under this section shall not exceed \$5,000 during any twelve-month period attributable to purchases by a single

purchaser.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-74 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding as the deduction only applies if the

sale is made to a person who uses the property as an ingredient or

component part of the jewelry the buyer manufactures.

History: Originally enacted in 1971 and amended in 1975 and 1994.

JICARILLA APACHE TRIBAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS TAX CREDIT AGAINST OIL AND GAS EMERGENCY SCHOOL TAX

Brief Description: A person who is liable for the payment of the oil and gas emergency school

tax imposed on products severed from Jicarilla Apache tribal land shall be entitled to a credit against the oil and gas emergency school tax for

qualifying products.

The credit is calculated monthly and is equal to the lesser of:

(1) the Jicarilla Apache tribal capital improvements tax; or

(2) .7% of the taxable value of the products severed from

qualified wells.

Statutory Basis: 7-31-27 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably in deference to the sovereignty of Indian nations, tribes, and

pueblos and address issues with multi-jurisdictional taxation. The Jicarilla Apache tribal capital improvements tax is exclusively dedicated to fund capital improvements projects on Jicarilla Apache tribal land and is not available to finance the construction of buildings used for commercial

activity.

History: Originally enacted in 2002.

LARGE HEALTH CARE FACILITY EXEMPTION FROM HEALTH CARE QUALITY SURCHARGE

Brief Description: A health care facility with more than ninety thousand annual Medicaid-

financed bed days may claim an exemption in an amount equal to sixty-five

percent of the health care quality surcharge.

The percentage and annual Medicaid-financed days criteria may be modified

by rules promulgated by HSD to comply with federal approval.

Statutory Basis: 7-41-5 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To establish the tax base and meet federal Centers for Medicare & Medicaid

Services (CMS) approval.

History: The Health Care Quality Surcharge was enacted in 2019.

LEASE FOR SUBSEQUENT LEASE GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from leasing tangible personal property or licenses used for

subsequent lease in the ordinary course of business are deductible from

gross receipts.

The lessee must provide a nontaxable transaction certificate to the lessor.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-50 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1972, 1975, 1979, 1983, 1991,

and 1992.

LEASE OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from leasing construction equipment are deductible from gross

receipts if the lease is made to a person engaged in the construction

business.

The lessee must present a nontaxable transaction certificate to the lessor and use the construction equipment at the location of:

(1) a construction project that is subject to the GRT upon its completion or upon the completion of the overall construction project of which it is a part;

(2) a construction project that is subject to the GRT upon the sale in the ordinary course of business of the real property upon which it was constructed; or

(3) a construction project that is located on the tribal territory of an Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-52.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 2012.

MARKETPLACE SELLER DEDUCTION FROM GROSS RECEIPTS AND GOVERNMENTAL GROSS RECEIPTS TAX

Brief Description: The receipts from the sale, lease, or license of real or tangible property by a

marketplace seller that are facilitated by a marketplace provider are

deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-117 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: The deduction ensures that the incidence of taxation occurs once at the

point of sale with the marketplace provider.

History: The section was originally enacted in 2019 and amended in 2020 to include

governmental gross receipts.

MEDICAL INSURANCE POOL ASSESSMENTS CREDIT AGAINST INSURANCE PREMIUM TAX

Category: Health Care

Brief Description: There is a fifty percent credit on the assessment for any New Mexico medical

insurance pool member pursuant to Section 59A-54-10 NMSA 1978 and a seventy-five percent credit for the assessments attributable to the pool policyholders that receive premiums, in whole or in part, through the federal Ryan White CARE Act, the Ted R. Montoya hemophilia program at the university of New Mexico health sciences center, the children's medical services bureau of the public health division of the department of health or

other program receiving state funding or assistance.

Statutory Basis: 7-40-6 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To avoid double taxation.

History: Originally enacted in 2018, effective January 1, 2020.

NATURAL GAS ALREADY TAXED EXEMPTION FROM NATURAL GAS PROCESSORS TAX

Brief Description: The tax shall not be levied more than once on the same natural gas.

Statutory Basis: 7-33-7 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid double taxation.

History: Originally enacted in 1963 and amended in 1998.

NATURAL RESOURCES SUBJECT TO RESOURCES EXCISE TAX EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale or processing of natural resources the severance or

processing of which are subject to the resources excise tax are exempt from

gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-35 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple tax programs as the exemption

only applies to natural resources the severance of which are subject to the

taxes imposed by the Resources Excise Tax Act.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1984 and 1989.

"NET INCOME" EXEMPTIONS FROM PIT

Brief Description: Income (in the form of interest) derived from U.S. government obligations is

excluded from the definition of "net income" and therefore exempt from PIT.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-2(N)(4) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To comply with the preemption doctrine.

History: Originally enacted in 1991.

NONPROFIT HOSPITAL EXEMPTION FROM LOCAL GROSS RECEIPTS TAX

Brief Description: The receipts from a nonprofit hospital licensed by the department of health

are exempt from the local option gross receipts tax. They are not exempt

from the state gross receipts tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-41.5 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: The exemption prevents the taxation of nonprofit hospitals by local

governments.

History: The section was originally enacted in 2019.

NONRESIDENT EXEMPTION FROM ESTATE TAX

Brief Description: The transfer of the personal property of a nonresident is exempt from the

estate tax to the extent that the personal property of residents is exempt from taxation under the laws of the state in which the nonresident is

domiciled.

Statutory Basis: 7-7-4(D) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce the administrative commitment between states.

History: Originally enacted in 1973 and amended in 1999.

OCCASIONAL SALE OF PROPERTY OR SERVICES EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Receipts from the isolated or occasional sale or leasing of property or a

service by a person who is not in the business of selling or leasing the same

or similar property or service are exempt from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-28 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to properly define the tax base.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

OIL AND GAS OR MINERAL INTERESTS EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale or lease of oil, natural gas, or mineral interests are

exempt from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-32 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

ONE-WAY HAUL AND EMPTY TRAVEL RATE DIFFERENTIAL FROM WDT

Brief Description: If (1) a motor vehicle is customarily used for one-way haul, (2) the motor

vehicle travels empty of all load for at least 45% of the mileage traveled during a registration year, and (3) the registrant of the vehicle has made a

sworn application, the tax is two-thirds of the standard WDT.

Statutory Basis: 7-15A-6(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to appropriately tax vehicles according to their wear and tear

on New Mexico roads.

History: Originally enacted in 1988 and amended in 2003 (1st SS), and 2004.

PERSONAL EFFECTS EXEMPTION FROM COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description: The use by an individual of personal or household effects brought into the

state in connection with the establishment by the individual of an initial residence in this state and the use of property brought into the state by a nonresident for his/her own nonbusiness use while temporarily within this

state are exempt from compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-27 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid a tax burden on anyone relocating to New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

PETROLEUM PRODUCTS EXPORTED FOR RESALE EXEMPTION FROM PETROLEUM PRODUCTS LOADING FEE

Brief Description: Petroleum products that are either loaded into cargo tanks in New Mexico

and exported for resale and consumption outside of New Mexico or are imported into New Mexico and subsequently exported for resale and consumption outside of New Mexico are exempt from the PPLF.

Statutory Basis: 7-13A-4(A) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to properly define the tax base and the loading fee typically

supports environmental issues related to the storage of fuel in New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1991.

PROCESSORS TAX PAID ON NATURAL RESOURCES EXEMPTION FROM RESOURCES TAX

Brief Description: The taxable value of any natural resource that is processed in New Mexico

and on whose taxable value the processors tax is paid is exempt from the

resources tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-25-7 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple tax programs, as the

processors tax on the processed natural resource must be paid to qualify for

the exemption.

History: Originally enacted in 1966.

PRODUCTS ALREADY TAXED EXEMPTION FROM OIL AND GAS AD VALOREM PRODUCTION TAX

Brief Description: The tax shall not be levied more than once on the same product.

Statutory Basis: 7-32-8 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid double taxation. This is like 7-33-7 Natural Gas. This is

already taxed under the Natural Gas Processors Tax.

History: Originally enacted in 1959.

PRODUCTS ALREADY TAXED EXEMPTION FROM OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION TAX

Brief Description: The tax shall not be levied more than once on the same product.

Statutory Basis: 7-30-8 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid double taxation. This is like 7-33-7 Natural Gas. This is

already taxed under the Natural Gas Processors Tax.

History: Originally enacted in 1959 and amended in 1989.

PRODUCTS ALREADY TAXED EXEMPTION FROM OIL AND GAS EMERGENCY SCHOOL TAX

Brief Description: The tax shall not be levied more than once on the same product.

Statutory Basis: 7-31-8 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid double taxation. This is like 7-33-7 Natural Gas. This is

already taxed under the Natural Gas Processors Tax.

History: Originally enacted in 1959.

PRODUCTS ALREADY TAXED EXEMPTION FROM OIL AND GAS SEVERANCE TAX

Brief Description: The tax shall not be levied more than once on the same product.

Statutory Basis: 7-29-5 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid double taxation. This is like 7-33-7 Natural Gas. This is

already taxed under the Natural Gas Processors Tax.

History: Originally enacted in 1959.

PRODUCTS SUBJECT TO OIL AND GAS EMERGENCY SCHOOL TAX EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Unless the sale of products is for: (1) subsequent resale in the ordinary

course of business, (2) consumption outside the state, or (3) use as an ingredient or component part of a manufactured product, receipts from the sale of products are exempt from GRT when they are subject to the oil and

gas emergency school tax.

The storage or use of crude oil, natural gas or liquid hydrocarbons for fuel in the operation of a production unit, as defined in the Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax Act, will not be subject to gross receipts tax or compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-33 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple tax programs, as the exemption

only applies to those products the severance of which is subject to the tax

imposed by the Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax Act.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1975, 1984, and 1989.

PURCHASE FOR RESALE EXEMPTION FROM LOCAL LIQUOR EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: Purchases for sale to retailers for resale are exempt from the local liquor

excise tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-24-13 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding and define the tax base.

History: Originally enacted in 1989.

PURCHASE OF UNDYED GASOLINE FOR CERTAIN OFF-ROAD USE REFUND OF GASOLINE TAX

Brief Description: A person using gasoline in the operation of a clothes cleaning establishment,

in stoves or other appliances burning gasoline, or operators of aircraft using aviation gasoline exclusively in the operation of aircraft may purchase

undyed gasoline and may claim a refund of gasoline tax paid.

Statutory Basis: 7-13-17 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to recognize that these activities do not contribute to the

deterioration of the roads, the maintenance of which is funded through the

gasoline tax distributions to the State Road Fund.

History: Originally enacted in 1998.

PURCHASES BY OR ON BEHALF OF THE STATE GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale of property or services purchased by or on behalf of

the State of New Mexico from funds obtained from the forfeiture of financial assurance pursuant to the New Mexico Mining Act or the forfeiture of financial responsibility pursuant to the Water Quality Act are deductible

from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-97 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to relieve mining companies from the obligation to estimate

(and potentially overpay) the GRT cost incurred by a third-party contractor that would complete the reclamation work on behalf of the state in the event

the company failed to conduct the reclamation work.

History: Originally enacted in 2005.

REFINERS AND PERSONS SUBJECT TO NATURAL GAS PROCESSORS TAX EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description:

Unless the sale or processing of products are for (1) subsequent resale in the ordinary course of business, (2) consumption outside the state, or (3) use as an ingredient or component part of a manufactured product, receipts from the sale or processing of products that are subject to the natural gas processors tax are exempt from the GRT.

Receipts from storing or using crude oil, natural gas, or liquid hydrocarbons when stored or used in New Mexico by a "processor" or by a person engaged in the business of refining oil, natural gas, or liquid hydrocarbons who stores or uses the crude oil, natural gas, or liquid hydrocarbons in the regular course of his refining business are exempt from GRT and compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-34 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base with respect to the first part of the

exemption which only applies to the sale or processing of products the processing of which is subject to the natural gas processors tax. The second

part of the exemption is presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1970, 1975, 1984, and 1989.

RELIGIOUS ACTIVITIES EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Receipts of a minister of a 501(c)(3) religious organization from performing

religious services to an individual recipient of the service are exempt from

GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-41 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent taxation of expenses that are effectively business

inputs of a 501(c)(3) religious organization.

History: Originally enacted in 1972.

RESALE ACTIVITIES OF AN ARMED FORCES INSTRUMENTALITY EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description: Receipts from selling tangible personal property and the use of property by

any instrumentality of the armed forces of the United States engaged in

resale activities are exempt from GRT and compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-31 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to comply with the preemption doctrine and to reduce tax

pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

RETURNED OR DESTROYED TOBACCO PRODUCTS REFUND OR CREDIT AGAINST TOBACCO PRODUCTS TAX

Brief Description: Taxpayers who have paid the tobacco products tax on tobacco products that

are destroyed or returned to the seller by the first purchaser as spoiled or otherwise unfit for sale or consumption are entitled to a refund of or credit

against the tobacco products tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-12A-6 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base and avoid requiring a taxpayer to pay

taxes on unusable tobacco products that have no value.

History: Originally enacted in 1986 and amended in 1988.

SALE OF A SERVICE FOR RESALE GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from selling a service for resale are deductible from gross receipts

or governmental gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-48 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base and avoid tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1992, and 2000.

The 2000 amendment clarified that the resale must be subject to the GRT or GGRT to qualify for the deduction and removed the requirement that the buyer separately state the value of the service purchased in his charge for

the service on it subsequent sale.

SALE OF A SERVICE FOR RESALE DEDUCTION FROM ITGRT

Brief Description: Receipts from providing an interstate telecommunications service that will

be used by other persons in providing telephone or telegraph services to the final user are deductible from interstate telecommunications gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9C-7 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base.

History: Originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1998.

SALE OF AEROSPACE SERVICES FOR THE U.S. AIR FORCE GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from performing or selling aerospace research and development

for resale to an organization for resale to the U.S., other than a national

laboratory, are deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-54.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To incentivize the U.S. Air Force to relocate the Space Systems Division) to

New Mexico.

History: Originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1993, 1994, and 1995.

SALE OF GASOLINE AT RETAIL BY A REGISTERED INDIAN TRIBAL DISTRIBUTOR DEDUCTION FROM GASOLINE TAX

Brief Description: Gasoline received in New Mexico and sold at retail by a registered Indian

tribal distributor is deductible from the gasoline tax, to the extent it's taxed

by the Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo, if:

(1) the sale occurs on the distributor's Indian reservation, pueblo grant, or trust land,

(2) the gasoline is placed into the fuel supply tank of a motor vehicle on that reservation, pueblo grant, or trust land, and

(3) the Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo has certified that it has in effect an excise, privilege, or similar tax on the gasoline

Statutory Basis: 7-13-4(E) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent or reduce the effects of multi-jurisdictional taxation.

History: The section was originally enacted in 1991 and amended in 1997, 1998,

1999 and 2007.

The language in this subsection was created by an amendment in 1999.

SALE OF GASOLINE BY A REGISTERED INDIAN TRIBAL DISTRIBUTOR FROM A NON-MOBILE STORAGE CONTAINER DEDUCTION FROM GASOLINE TAX

Brief Description: Gasoline that is received in New Mexico and sold by a registered Indian

tribal distributor from a non-mobile storage container within that distributor's Indian reservation, pueblo grant, or trust land for resale outside the Indian reservation, pueblo grant, or trust land is deductible from the gasoline tax as long as the distributor sold at least 1 million gallons of gasoline between May and August 1998 and that the amount of gasoline deducted by a registered Indian tribal distributor doesn't exceed 2.5 million

gallons per month.

Statutory Basis: 7-13-4(F) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent and reduce the effects of multi-jurisdictional

taxation.

History: The section was originally enacted in 1991 and amended in 1997, 1998,

1999, and 2007.

The language in this subsection was created by an amendment in 1999.

SALE OF TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY FOR LEASING GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from selling tangible personal property and licenses to a buyer who

leases or sells the tangible personal property or license are deductible from

gross receipts.

The buyer must present a nontaxable transaction certificate to the seller.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-49 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base and avoid tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1972, 1975, 1979, 1983, 1989,

1991, and 1992.

SALE OF TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY FOR RESALE GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from selling tangible personal property or licenses to a buyer who

resells the tangible personal property or license are deductible from gross

receipts or from governmental gross receipts.

The buyer must present a nontaxable transaction certificate to the seller.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-47 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base and avoid tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1992 and 1994.

SALES THROUGH WORLD WIDE WEB SITES GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts derived from the sale of a service or property made through a

website to a person with a billing address outside New Mexico are

deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-57.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably because taxation of interstate commerce is prohibited by the

U.S. Constitution's Commerce Clause.

History: Originally enacted in 1998. Repealed in 2020.

SALES TO MANUFACTURERS GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description:

Receipts from selling tangible personal property that will be incorporated as an ingredient or component part to a person in the manufacturing business are deductible from gross receipts and governmental gross receipts.

Receipts from selling tangible personal property that is consumed in the manufacturing process to a person in the manufacturing business are deductible from gross receipts and governmental gross receipts according to the following schedule:

• 20% of receipts received before January 1, 2014;

40% of receipts received in calendar year 2014;

• 60% of receipts received in calendar year 2015;

80% of receipts received in calendar year 2016;

• 100% of receipts received on or after January 1, 2017.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-46 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1992, 2012 and 2013.

The 2012 amendment provided the phase-in deduction for the sale of tangible personal property consumed in the manufacturing process

(Subsection B).

The 2013 amendment defined the term "consumable."

SCHOOL BUS DEDUCTION FROM SPECIAL FUEL EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: Special fuel (number 2 diesel) used in school buses that are contracted with

the Public Education Department is deductible from computing the special

fuel excise tax due.

Statutory Basis: 7-16A-10(F) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce the costs to government since the State of New Mexico

purchases this fuel.

History: Originally enacted in 1992, 1993, 1997, 1998, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2009,

and 2013.

SCHOOL BUS EXEMPTION FROM WDT

Brief Description: Use of the highways of New Mexico by school buses is exempt from the

WDT.

Statutory Basis: 7-15A-5(A) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce the costs to government.

History: Originally enacted in 1988 and amended in 2006.

SERVICES ON MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from selling the service of combining or processing components or

materials to a manufacturer are deductible from gross receipts. The service must be performed directly upon the tangible personal property the buyer is in the business of manufacturing or upon the ingredient or component parts

thereof.

The buyer must present a nontaxable transaction certificate to the seller.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-75 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding as the service must be performed

directly upon tangible personal property for a manufacturer as part of the

readying of raw materials or in the manufacturing process.

History: Originally enacted in 1972.

SERVICES PERFORMED OUTSIDE THE STATE CREDIT AGAINST ITGRT

Brief Description: A taxpayer who has paid a sales, use, gross receipts, or similar tax to another

state on the same interstate telecommunications gross receipts that are subject to New Mexico's ITGRT is entitled to a credit against the ITGRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9C-10 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To comply with U.S. Commerce Clause concerns and prevent actual multi-

jurisdictional taxation of the privilege of engaging in business of providing

interstate telecommunications services.

History: Originally enacted in 1992.

STOCK BONUS, PENSION, AND PROFIT-SHARING TRUSTS EXEMPTION FROM PIT AND CIT

Brief Description: A trust organized or created in the U.S. and forming part of a stock bonus,

pension, or profit-sharing plan of an employer for the exclusive benefit of his employees or their beneficiaries which is exempt from taxation under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code is exempt from PIT and CIT.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-4(A) and 7-2A-4(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To define the tax base.

History: The PIT exemption was originally enacted in 1965 and amended in 1969,

1971, and 1981.

The CIT exemption was originally enacted in 1981 and amended in 1986 and

1989.

TAX PAID BY OUT-OF-STATE TERMINAL DEDUCTION FROM GASOLINE TAX AND SPECIAL FUEL EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: Gasoline and special fuel received in New Mexico on which the New Mexico

gasoline tax or special fuel excise tax was paid by the out-of-state terminal at which the gasoline or special fuel was loaded are deductible from computing

the gasoline tax or special fuel excise tax due.

Statutory Basis: 7-13-4(G) and 7-16A-10(G) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple tax regimes as it is only

deductible if the gasoline tax or special fuel excise tax was paid by the out-

of-state terminal at which the gasoline was loaded.

History: The gasoline tax section was originally enacted in 1991 and amended in

1997, 1998, 1999, and 2007.

The special fuel excise tax section was originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1993, 1997, 1998, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2009, and 2013.

The subsections containing the gasoline tax and the special fuel excise tax deductions discussed here were created by an amendment in 2007.

STADIUM SURCHARGE EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND GGRT

Brief Description: Receipts from sales at a minor league baseball stadium on which a stadium

surcharge is imposed under the Minor League Baseball Stadium Funding Act are exempt from gross receipts tax and governmental gross receipts tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-13.3 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple tax programs.

History: Originally enacted in 2001 as part of the legislation that enacted the Minor

League Baseball Stadium Funding Act.

Evaluation: The Minor League Baseball Stadium Funding Act provides an additional

method of accessing the capital markets with the assistance of the New Mexico Finance Authority to meet the need for a complete funding package for functional and modern minor league baseball stadiums. Because the Minor League Baseball Stadium Funding Act allows a municipality to impose a stadium surcharge on products and services sold at or related to the stadium, this exemption from the GRT prevents these from being taxed

twice.

Isotopes Park, home of the Albuquerque Isotopes, was constructed from 2001 to 2003 at a reported cost of \$25 million. The Lab, as it has been

nicknamed, opened in April of 2003.

TAX PAID IN ANOTHER STATE FOR PROPERTY AND SERVICES CREDIT AGAINST GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description: The amount of gross receipts, sales, compensating, or similar tax paid to

another state on property acquired in that state or another state for use in New Mexico or on services performed outside this state may be credited against the amount of compensating tax due to New Mexico on the use of the property or the GRT due on the services performed outside New Mexico.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-79 and 7-9-79.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to address U.S. Commerce Clause concerns and prevent multi-

jurisdictional taxation as gross receipts, sales, compensating, or similar tax must have been levied on the property or services to qualify for the credit.

History: The compensating tax credit for property was originally enacted in 1966 and

amended in 1973 and 1991.

The GRT credit for services was originally enacted in 1989 and amended in

1994.

TAX PAID ON ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES DESTROYED IN SHIPMENT, SPOILED, OR OTHERWISE DAMAGED REFUND OF OR CREDIT AGAINST LIQUOR EXCISE TAX AND LOCAL LIQUOR EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: Taxpayers are entitled to a refund for taxes paid pursuant to the liquor

excise tax and the local liquor excise tax on alcoholic beverages that are unsaleable and not consumable because they are destroyed in shipment,

spoiled, or damaged.

Statutory Basis: 7-17-11 and 7-24-14 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base, by avoiding taxation of a product that is

not available to be sold.

History: The liquor excise tax provision was originally enacted in 1968 and amended

in 1969, 1971, 1973, 1977, 1984, and 1995.

The local liquor excise tax provision was originally enacted in 1989.

TAX PAID ON GASOLINE OR SPECIAL FUEL DESTROYED BY FIRE, ACCIDENT OR ACTS OF GOD BEFORE RETAIL SALE REFUND OF OR CREDIT AGAINST GASOLINE TAX AND SPECIAL FUEL EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: A taxpayer is entitled to a refund of, or credit against, the gasoline tax and

special fuel excise tax paid on gasoline and special fuel that is destroyed by fire, accident, or acts of God while in the possession of the distributor,

wholesaler, or retailer.

Statutory Basis: 7-13-11 and 7-16A-13 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to ensure that taxes aren't paid on gasoline and special fuel

which the taxpayer is unable to market.

History: The gasoline tax credit and refund section was originally enacted in 1971

and amended in 1983 and 1993.

The special fuel excise tax credit and refund section was originally enacted

in 1992.

TAX PAID ON SPECIAL FUEL IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES REFUND OF SPECIAL FUEL EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: A taxpayer is entitled to a refund of the special fuel excise tax paid on special

fuel used:

(1) in a school bus (authorized by contract with the Public Education Department),

(2) to propel a vehicle off-road,

(3) to operate auxiliary equipment by a power take-off from the main engine or transmission of a vehicle, or

(4) to operate a non-automotive apparatus vehicle.

Statutory Basis: 7-16A-13.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably, in the case of the school bus refund, to reduce the costs to

government and to recognize that the other activities do not contribute to the deterioration of the roads, the maintenance of which is funded through

the special fuel excise tax distributions to the State Road Fund.

History: Originally enacted in 2001 and amended in 2005 and 2006.

TAX PAID TO ANOTHER STATE CREDIT AGAINST MVX

Brief Description: A vehicle that has been acquired through an out-of-state transaction upon

which a gross receipts, sales, compensating or similar tax was paid may be

credited against the MVX due to New Mexico on the same vehicle.

Statutory Basis: 7-14-7 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent multi-jurisdictional taxation.

History: Originally enacted in 1988.

TAX PAID TO ANOTHER STATE DEDUCTION FROM GASOLINE TAX AND SPECIAL FUEL EXCISE TAX

Brief Description:

A taxpayer may deduct gasoline and special fuel when computing the gasoline tax and special fuel excise tax if it is exported from New Mexico by a rack operator, distributor, or wholesaler as long as:

- (1) the person exporting the gasoline and special fuel is registered in or licensed by the destination state to pay that state's gasoline or equivalent fuel tax,
- (2) proof is submitted that the destination state's gasoline, special fuel, or equivalent fuel tax has been paid or is not due, or
- (3) the destination state's gasoline, special fuel, or equivalent fuel tax in paid to New Mexico in accordance with the terms of an agreement entered into with the destination state.

Statutory Basis: 7-13-4(A) and 7-16A-10(A) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent multi-jurisdictional taxation as the deduction is only

available if proof is submitted that the destination state's gasoline tax was

paid or is not due.

History: The gasoline tax deduction was originally enacted in 1991 and amended in

1997, 1998, 1999, and 2007.

The special fuel excise tax deduction was originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1993, 1997, 1998, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2009, and 2013.

TAX PAID TO ANOTHER STATE CREDIT AGAINST ESTATE TAX

Brief Description: A credit against the estate tax is available when any property of a resident is

subject to an estate tax imposed by another state when the other state's tax does not have a reciprocal provision. The credit amount would be for the

lesser of:

(1) the amount of the estate tax paid the other state and credited against the federal estate tax, or

(2) an amount computed by multiplying the federal credit the percentage of the estate that the property represents.

Statutory Basis: 7-7-3(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent multi-jurisdictional taxation as it is only available to

the extent that taxes were paid to another state.

History: Originally enacted in 1973.

TAX PAID TO NAVAJO NATION FOR SELLING COAL SEVENTY-FIVE PERCENT CREDIT AGAINST GRT

Brief Description: A taxpayer is entitled to a credit against the GRT for 75% of the amount of

tax paid to the Navajo Nation on the receipts from the selling of coal severed

from Navajo Nation land.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-88.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to address the increased tax burdens associated with multi-

jurisdictional tax.

History: Originally enacted in 2001.

TAX PAID TO NEW MEXICO TRIBES EXEMPTION FROM ITGRT

Brief Description: Excluded from the definition of "interstate telecommunications gross

receipts" are gross receipts or sales taxes imposed by Indian nations, tribes,

or pueblos as long as the Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo tax provides a $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots,n\right\}$

reciprocal exclusion for GRT imposed by New Mexico.

Statutory Basis: 7-9C-2(E)(2) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid multi-jurisdictional taxation.

History: Originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1993 and 2002.

TAX PAID TO NEW MEXICO TRIBES SEVENTY-FIVE PERCENT CREDIT AGAINST GRT

Brief Description: A taxpayer is entitled to a credit against the GRT for 75% of the amount of

tax paid to any of the 19 New Mexico Pueblos, the Jicarilla Apache Nation or the Mescalero Apache Tribe on taxable transactions taking place on tribal

lands.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-88.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent multi-jurisdictional taxation.

History: Originally enacted in 1999 and amended in 2000, 2001 and 2003.

TAXES PAID TO OTHER STATES CREDIT AGAINST PIT

Brief Description: When a resident individual is liable to another state for tax upon income

derived from sources outside New Mexico but also included in net income allocated or apportioned to New Mexico, the individual is entitled to a credit against the tax due to New Mexico in the amount of the tax paid to the other

state.

Statutory Basis: 7-2-13 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: To prevent multi-jurisdictional taxation. Taxation of interstate commerce is

prohibited by the U.S. Constitution's Commerce Clause.

History: Originally enacted in 1965 and amended in 1973, 1974, 1981, 1990, 1992,

and 2013.

The 2013 amendment limited the tax credit paid to the amount of tax

liability in New Mexico.

TELECOMMUNICATION PROVIDERS DEDUCTION FROM ITGRT

Brief Description: Receipts from interstate telecommunications services that are provided by a

corporation to itself or to an affiliated corporation may be deducted from

interstate telecommunications gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9C-8 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1993.

TRADE-IN ALLOWANCE GRT, MVX, AND DEDUCTIONS

Brief Description: Receipts from a trade-in of tangible personal property of the same type

being sold are deductible from gross receipts; allowances granted for vehicle trade-ins are deductible from the price paid or the reasonable value of the

vehicle purchased in calculating the MVX and the LVGRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-71, 7-14-4 and 7-14A-8 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to properly define the tax base.

History: The GRT deduction was originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1979,

and 1991.

The MVX deduction was originally enacted in 1988.

The LVGRT deduction was originally enacted in 1991.

TRANSACTIONS IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from transactions in interstate commerce and from sales of radio or

television broadcast time if the ultimate buyer is a national or regional advertiser are deductible from gross receipts and governmental gross

receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-55 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably because taxation of interstate commerce is prohibited by the

U.S. Constitution's Commerce Clause.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1986 and 1993.

TRANSACTIONS IN INTRASTATE TRANSPORTATION AND SERVICES IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts incurred when transporting persons or property on an intrastate

basis and under a single contract for transportation in interstate or foreign commerce (including handling, storage, drayage, or packing of property or other accessorial services on property) are deductible from gross receipts.

Receipts from telephone access charges paid by other telephone carriers are

deductible.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-56 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to address U.S. Commerce Clause concerns and comport with

the preemption doctrine with respect to the transportation deduction as the transportation must be part of an interstate commerce transaction to be

eligible for the deduction.

With regard to the telephone service portion of the deduction, the intended

purpose is presumably to prevent double taxation.

History: Originally enacted in 1994.

TRANSPORTATION FROM WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY TO OUTSIDE THE MUNICIPALITY EXEMPTION FROM LOCAL OPTION GRT

Brief Description: The transporting of persons or property for hire by any means from one

point within the municipality (or county) to another point outside the municipality (or county) are exempt from the supplemental municipal GRT, the municipal local option GRT, the local hospital GRT, the county local

option GRT, and the county correctional facility GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-19-14(A), 7-19D-5(A), 7-20C-5, 7-20E-5, and 7-20F-6 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to resolve a jurisdictional question and provide certainty about

the tax rate that applies in this situation.

History: The supplemental municipal GRT exemption was originally enacted in 1979

and amended in 1983 and 1994.

The municipal and county local option GRTs exemptions were originally

enacted in 1993 and amended in 1994.

The county correctional facility GRT was originally enacted in 1993.

The local hospital GRT exemption was originally enacted in 1991 and

amended in 1994.

In 2019, statutes 7-20C and 7-20F NMSA 1978 were repealed. The

remaining listed statutes above are valid until July 1, 2021.

UNCOLLECTIBLE DEBTS GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Refunds and allowances made to buyers or amounts written off the books as

an uncollectible debt by a person reporting gross receipts tax and governmental gross receipts on an accrual basis may be deducted from

gross receipts and governmental gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-67 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid requiring a taxpayer to pay taxes on refunded or

uncollectible receipts.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1994.

UNPAID CHARGES FOR HOSPITAL SERVICES CREDIT AGAINST GRT

Brief Description: A licensed medical doctor or licensed osteopathic physician may claim a

credit against gross receipts taxes due for the value of unpaid qualified health care services. Qualified health care services must be provided by the

doctor or physician while on call to a hospital.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-96.2 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid requiring a taxpayer to pay taxes on non-existent

receipts.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

USE OF TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY FOR LEASING DEDUCTION FROM COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description: The value of tangible personal property held for lease by a person engaged

in the business of selling or leasing the same type property may be deducted before computing compensating tax due; however, it does not apply to the value of furniture or appliances furnished as part of a rent house or

apartment, coin-operated machines, or manufactured homes.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-78 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1973, 1975, 1979, 1981, 1984,

and 1991.

VEGETABLE OIL OR ANIMAL FAT BIODIESEL DEDUCTION FROM SPECIAL FUEL EXCISE TAX

Category: Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy

Brief Description: Biodiesel that is blended or resold at a rack in New Mexico is deductible

from the special fuel excise tax

Statutory Basis: 7-16A-10(H)(1) and (2) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent double taxation.

History: Originally enacted in 2009 and amended in 2013. The 2013 amendment

changed a definition of the fuel as consisting of at least 99% vegetable oil or

animal fat in favor of just using the term 'biodiesel'.

VEHICLE THAT IS OWNED BY THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO EXEMPTION FROM MVX

Brief Description: A vehicle with a certificate of title owned by New Mexico or any political

subdivision is exempt from MVX.

Statutory Basis: 7-14-6(C) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce the costs to government.

History: Originally enacted in 1988, and amended in 1990, 1994, 2004, and 2007.

VEHICLE THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED IN NEW MEXICO EXEMPTION FROM MVX

Brief Description: A person applying for a certificate of title for a vehicle registered in another

state is exempt from the tax if the person has previously registered and titled the vehicle in New Mexico and has owned the vehicle continuously

since that time.

Statutory Basis: 7-14-6(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent double taxation (twice in New Mexico).

History: Originally enacted in 1988, and amended in 1990, 1994, 2004, and 2007.

VEHICLES EXEMPTIONS FROM GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description: The receipts from selling vehicles on which a tax is imposed by the Motor

Vehicle Excise Tax Act, vehicles registered by persons with significant mobility limitations, and vehicles exempt from the MVX pursuant to Section

7-14-6(F) NMSA 1978 are exempt from GRT.

The use of vehicles used in New Mexico on which the tax imposed by the Motor Vehicle Excise Tax Act has been paid, the use of vehicles subject to registration under the Motor Vehicle Code and the use of vehicles exempt from MVX pursuant to Section 7-14-6(F) NMSA 1978 are exempt from

compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-22 and 7-9-23 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple tax programs, as the exemption

only applies to vehicles on which a tax is imposed pursuant to the Motor

Vehicle Excise Tax Act.

History: The GRT exemption was originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1976,

1981, 1988, and 2004.

The compensating tax exemption was originally enacted in 1969 and

amended in 1976, 1983, 1988, and 2004.

VEHICLES USED FOR SHORT-TERM LEASING CREDIT AGAINST MVX

Brief Description: The MVX is suspended for vehicles used primarily as short-term rental

vehicles that are part of a vehicle fleet of at least five vehicles that are

subject to the leased vehicle gross receipts tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-14-7.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple tax programs.

History: Originally enacted in 1991 and amended in 1993 and 1994.

VEHICLES USED IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from the rental or leasing of vehicles used in the transportation of

passengers or property for hire in interstate commerce under the

regulations or authorization of any agency of the U.S. are deductible from

GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-70 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably because taxation of interstate commerce is prohibited by the

U.S. Constitution's Commerce Clause.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

WAGES EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Receipts of employees from wages, salaries, commissions or from any other

form of remuneration for personal services are exempt from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-17 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to define the tax base.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

WARRANTY OBLIGATIONS GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts of a dealer from furnishing goods or services to the purchaser of

tangible personal property to fulfill a warranty obligation of the manufacturer of the property may be deducted from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-68 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to properly define the tax base, as the cost of the warranty is

part of cost of the good or service and taxes were paid on it at the time of the

original purchase.

History: Originally enacted in 1969.

ARGUABLE

While many of the deviations from New Mexico's many tax programs are easily categorized as either "Tax Expenditures" or "Not Tax Expenditures", there are a number about which good arguments could be made for both. With a few others, the purpose of the deviation is not entirely clear; without knowing why the deviation was put in the code, it is not possible to determine in which category it belongs.

As with the "Not Tax Expenditures" section, we believe that including information about these deviations, even without analysis of the fiscal impact, makes the reader better informed about the New Mexico tax structure.

ADMINISTRATIVE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICES GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts of a business entity for administrative, managerial, accounting, and

customer services performed by it for an affiliate upon a nonprofit or cost

basis are deductible from gross receipts.

Receipts of a business entity from an affiliate for the joint use or sharing of

office machines and facilities upon a nonprofit or cost basis are deductible

from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-69 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1990, 1993, 1998, 2002, and

2015.

AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND GGRT

Brief Description: Receipts from selling livestock, including horses, and the receipts of

growers, producers and trappers from selling live poultry, unprocessed agricultural products (for example, a bale of hay, a head of lettuce or an unroasted sack of green chili), hides or pelts are exempt from the GRT and

GGRT.

Receipts from selling dairy products at retail are not exempt from the GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-18 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1991, 1992, 1993, and 2011.

The 2011 amendment expanded the deduction by defining "livestock" as all domestic or domesticated animals that are used or raised on a farm or ranch, including the carcasses thereof, and includes horses, asses, mules, cattle, sheep, goats, swine, bison, poultry, ostriches, emus, rheas, camelids

and farmed cervidae upon any land in New Mexico.

Evaluation: This deduction cannot be completely considered an anti-pyramiding device,

as there is no limitation that the receipts come from the sale of goods that are intermediate goods, or that are for resale. However, it is not possible to determine which portion is attributable to resale activities and which is not.

CERTAIN COMMISSIONS GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts derived from commissions from sales of tangible personal property

when the property sold is not subject to gross receipts tax are deductible

from gross receipts.

Receipts of the owner of a dealer store for selling a principal's goods are

deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-66 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid double taxation since commissions are taxable as

personal income.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1999.

CERTAIN SALES FOR RESALE TEN PERCENT CREDIT AGAINST GRT AND GGRT

Brief Description: A taxpayer may claim a credit against GRT and GGRT for receipts from

selling services for resale as long as the resale is in the ordinary course of business, the resale is *not* subject to either GRT or GGRT, and the buyer delivers to the seller appropriate documentation from TRD that the resale

meets the criteria for resale in the ordinary course of business.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-96 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to remove the tax burden from the "sellers of services for

resale" that will not be taxed at the final sale.

History: Originally enacted in 2005. Repealed in 2020.

FEED AND FERTILIZER & AUCTIONEERS SELLING LIVESTOCK AND AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS AT AUCTION GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from selling feed for livestock, fish, poultry, or animals raised for

their hides/pelts and from selling seeds, roots, bulbs, plants, soil

conditioners, fertilizers, insecticides, germicides, insects used to control populations of other insects, fungicides or weedicides or water for irrigation

purposes are deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-58 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1977, 1983, 1991, 1992 and

2002.

GROSS AMOUNTS WAGERED EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Exempted from GRT are receipts of a horse racetrack which are authorized

by the Horse Racing Act to be retained by a horse racetrack that is licensed

to conduct horse races.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-40(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxing receipts over gross amounts wagered which are

under no authority to be spent by a horse racetrack.

History: Originally enacted in 1970 and amended in 1971, 1985, and 1989.

Recommendation: Update the statute to reflect the correct citation. It currently references

Section 60-1-10 NMSA 1978 which was repealed in 2007. Presumably the intention is for it to reference the successor statute which appears to be

Section 60-1A-19 NMSA 1978.

INSURANCE COMPANIES EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: The receipts of insurance companies or any agent thereof from premiums

and any consideration received by a property bondsman as security or surety for a bail bond in connection with a judicial proceeding are exempt

from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-24 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to prevent taxation under multiple tax programs, as the receipts

of insurance companies are subject to the insurance premium tax and

presumably to ensure that considerations received as security of surety for a

bail bond are not taxed as they are not rightly considered receipts.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1988.

LIVESTOCK FEEDING EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Receipts from feeding (including penning or handling livestock prior to sale

and training livestock) or pasturing livestock, are exempt from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-19 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1974, 1991, and 1992.

PETROLEUM PRODUCTS SOLD TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EXEMPTION FROM PETROLEUM PRODUCTS LOADING FEE

Brief Description: Petroleum products sold to the U.S. or any agency or instrumentality thereof

for the exclusive use of the U.S. or any agency or instrumentality thereof are

exempt from the PPLF.

Statutory Basis: 7-13A-4(B) NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce the cost to the U.S. government.

History: Originally enacted in 1991.

PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION OF OIL AND GAS PRODUCTS EXEMPTION FROM GRT AND COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale of oil, natural gas, liquid hydrocarbon, or any

combination thereof consumed as fuel in the pipeline transportation of such

products are exempt from GRT.

The use of oil, natural gas, liquid hydrocarbon or any combination thereof as

fuel in the pipeline transportation of such products is exempt from

compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-36 and 7-9-37 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: The GRT and compensating exemptions were originally enacted in 1969.

PROCESSING AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from warehousing grain or other agricultural products and from

threshing, harvesting, growing, cultivating, and processing agricultural

products are deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-59 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1969, and amended in 1970, 2000, and 2019.

RECEIPTS OF HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATIONS EXEMPTION FROM GRT

Brief Description: Receipts of homeowners' associations (HOA) from membership fees, dues,

and assessments from owner-members to be used for tax, insurance, utility expenses, management and improvement, maintenance or rehabilitation of

those common areas, elements, or facilities appurtenant thereto for

commonly-owned areas and facilities are exempt from GRT.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-20 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to provide clarification that a HOA can be recognized as a

501(c)(4) organization to qualify for this exemption if its activities benefit a

community.

History: Originally enacted in 1988.

RESALE OF CERTAIN MANUFACTURED HOMES GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from the resale of a manufactured home which was subject to GRT,

compensating tax, or MVX upon its original sale or use in New Mexico are

deductible from gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-76.1 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid taxation under multiple programs, as the deduction

only applies if the initial sale was subject to the GRT, the compensating tax,

or the MVX.

History: Originally enacted in 1979 and amended in 1980, 1990, and 1991.

SALE BY OR TO U.S. ARMED FORCES EXEMPTION FROM LIQUOR EXCISE TAX AND LOCAL LIQUOR EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: Alcoholic beverages sold to or by any instrumentality of the U.S. armed

forces that are engaged in resale activities are exempt from the liquor excise

tax and the local liquor excise tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-17-9 and 7-24-12 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to comply with the preemption doctrine with respect to sales by

U.S. instrumentalities.

History: The liquor excise tax exemption was originally enacted in 1966 and

amended in 1973, 1984, and 1985.

The local liquor excise tax exemption was originally enacted in 1989.

SALE OF PROSTHETIC DEVICES GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from selling prosthetic devices to persons licensed to practice in

several medical disciplines are deductible from gross receipts and

governmental gross receipts.

The buyer must deliver a nontaxable transaction certificate and must deliver the prosthetic device incidental to the performance of a service and must include the value of the prosthetic device in his charge for the service.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-73 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding as the value of the prosthetic must be

fully included in the final price of the service, and the nature of the final sale

will determine whether it is taxable or not.

History: Originally enacted in 1970 and amended in 1992.

SALE OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY & LEASE OF MANUFACTURED HOMES GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from the sale or lease of real property, which includes the land and

any permanent fixtures, from the lease of a manufactured home for at least one month, and from the rental of space for a manufactured home or recreational vehicle for at least one month, are deductible from gross

receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-53 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax burdens associated with occupancy of residential

and commercial spaces on a non-transient basis.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1972, 1973, 1975, 1979, 1983,

1991, and 1998.

SALES TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, STATE OF NEW MEXICO, OR NEW MEXICO TRIBES EXEMPTION FROM CIGARETTE TAX AND TOBACCO PRODUCTS TAX

Brief Description: Sales of cigarettes and tobacco products to the U.S., the State of New Mexico,

or an Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo are exempt from the cigarette tax and

the tobacco products tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-12-4 and 7-12A-4 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce the costs to government.

History: The cigarette tax exemption was originally enacted in 1943. Section 7-12-4

NMSA 1978 was amended in 1971, 1992, and 2010. The 2010 legislation

also included an increase of the cigarette tax by \$0.75 per pack.

The tobacco products tax was originally enacted in 1986. Section 7-12A-4 NMSA 1978 amended in 2009 to expand the exemption to include the sale of

tobacco to tribes or tribal members.

SALES TO GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES GRT AND GGRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from selling tangible personal property to the U.S., the State of New

Mexico, or an Indian nation, tribe, or pueblo for use on an Indian reservation or pueblo grant are deductible from gross receipts and governmental gross

receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-54 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce the costs to government.

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 1976, 1985, 1989, 1992, 1993,

1995, 2000, 2001, and 2003.

TAX PAID ON SPECIAL FUEL USED CREDIT AGAINST SPECIAL FUEL EXCISE TAX

Brief Description: A taxpayer is entitled to a credit against the calculated special fuel excise tax

due for a reporting period for special fuel excise tax paid on special fuel used

during the reporting period.

Statutory Basis: 7-16A-12 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to avoid requiring a taxpayer to pay taxes on fuel that is not

available to be sold.

History: Originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1997.

TRAVEL AGENTS' COMMISSIONS GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts of travel agents from commissions paid by maritime transportation

companies, and interstate airlines, railroads and passenger buses for booking, referral, reservation, or ticket services are deductible from gross

receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-76 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 1977.

USE OF ELECTRICITY EXEMPTION FROM COMPENSATING TAX

Brief Description: Electricity used in the production and transmission of electricity, including

transmission using voltage source conversion technology is exempt from the

compensating tax.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-38 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding

History: Originally enacted in 1969 and amended in 2012.

The 2012 amendment expanded the exemption to include electricity used in the transmission of electricity using voltage source conversion technology.

VETERINARY MEDICAL FOR TREATMENT OF CATTLE GRT DEDUCTION

Brief Description: Receipts from sales of veterinary medical services, medicine or medical

supplies used in the medical treatment of cattle may be deducted from gross

receipts if the sale is made to:

(1) a person who states in writing that the person is regularly engaged in the business of ranching or farming, including dairy farming, in New Mexico, or

(2) a veterinarian who is providing veterinary medical services, medicine, or medical supplies in the treatment of cattle owned by that person.

Statutory Basis: 7-9-109 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Presumably to reduce tax pyramiding.

History: Originally enacted in 2007.

WIDE-AREA TELEPHONE AND PRIVATE COMMUNICATIONS SERVICE DEDUCTION FROM ITGRT

Brief Description: Receipts from the provision of wide-area telephone service and private

communications service in this state may be deducted from interstate

telecommunications gross receipts.

Statutory Basis: 7-9C-6 NMSA 1978

Intended Purpose: Unclear.

History: Originally enacted in 1992 and amended in 1993.

APPENDIX A: TABLE OF EXPENDITURES BY CATEGORY

Citizen Benefit Expenditures		
Name of Expenditure	Statute	
Affordable Housing Credit against Modified Combined Tax, PIT, or CIT	7-9I-5	
Armed Forces Salaries Exemption from PIT	7-2-5.11	
Back-to-School Tax-Free Weekend Deduction from GRT	7-9-95	
Buses Operated by Religious and Nonprofit Charitable Organizations Exemption		
from WDT	7-15A-5(C)	
Capital Gain Deduction from PIT	7-2-34	
Certain Disabled Military Veteran Exemption from MVX	7-14-6(E)	
Child Care to Prevent Indigency Credit against PIT	7-2-18.1	
Contributions of Inventory to Nonprofit Organizations or Governmental	_	
Agencies Deduction from Compensating Tax	7-9-91	
Corporate-Supported Child Care Credit against CIT	7-2A-14	
Disabled Person Exemption from MVX	7-14-6(D)	
Disabled Street Vendors Exemption from GRT	7-9-41.3	
Education Trust Fund Payment Deduction from PIT	7-2-32	
•	7-2-18.8 and	
Electronic ID Reader Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2A-18	
Excess of Elderly Taxpayers Maximum Property Tax Liability Rebate from PIT	7-2-18	
Fees from Social Organizations Exemption from GRT	7-9-39	
•	7-2-18.30	
Foster Youth Employment Credit against PIT and CIT	and 7-2A-29	
Hybrid Vehicle Exemption from MVX	7-14-6(G)	
•	7-2-18.11	
Job Mentorship Credit against PIT and CIT	and 7-2A-	
,	17.1	
Loan-Related Costs GRT Deduction	7-9-61.1	
Low- and Middle-Income Taxpayers Exemption from PIT	7-2-5.8	
	7-2-14(A)	
Low-Income Comprehensive Tax Rebate and Property Tax Rebate against PIT	and 7-2-14.3	
Medical Care Savings Account Exemption from PIT	7-2-5.6	
New Mexico National Guard Member Premiums Paid for Group Life Insurance		
Exemption from PIT	7-2-5.10	
Nonathletic Special Event at New Mexico State University GRT Deduction	7-9-104	
Nonprofit Elderly Care Facility Exemption from GRT	7-9-16	
Nonprofit Organizations Exemption from GRT	7-9-29	
Nonprofit Organizations Fundraisers GRT Deduction	7-9-85	
Officiating at New Mexico Activities Association Events Exemption from GRT	7-9-41.4	
Organ Donation Deduction from PIT	7-2-36	
Persons One Hundred and Older Exemption from PIT	7-2-5.7	
Persons Sixty-Five and Older or Blind Exemption from PIT and a Comprehensive	7-2-5.2 and	
Tax Rebate	7-2-14(C)	
	7-2-18.2 and	
Preservation of Cultural Property Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2A-8.6	

Citizen Benefit Expenditures		
Name of Expenditure	Statute	
Sale of Food at Retail Food Stores GRT Deduction and Hold Harmless	7-9-92 and 7-	
Distribution	1-6.46, 7-1-	
Distribution	6.47	
Sale of Textbooks in Certain Bookstores Exemption from GRT	7-9-13.4	
Salas to Nannrafit Organizations CDT CCDT and Companyating Tay Deduction	7-9-60, 7-9-	
Sales to Nonprofit Organizations GRT, GGRT, and Compensating Tax Deduction	15	
Special Needs Adopted Child Credit against PIT	7-2-18.16	
Unreimbursed or Uncompensated Medical Care Expenses Credit From PIT	7-2-18.13	
Unreimbursed or Uncompensated Medical Care Expenses Deduction From PIT	7-2-37	
Unreimbursed or Uncompensated Medical Care Expenses Exemption From PIT	7-2-5.9	
Vehicles Titled before July 1, 1991 Exemption from LVGRT	7-14A-9	
Voteren Employment Credit against DIT and CIT	7-2-18.28	
Veteran Employment Credit against PIT and CIT	and 7-2A-27	
Walfare to Work Credit against DIT and CIT	7-2-18.5 and	
Welfare-to-Work Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2A-8.8	
Working Families Credit against PIT	7-2-18.15	

Economic Development Expenditures		
Name of Expenditure	Statute	
Aircraft Sales or Services GRT Deduction	7-9-62.1	
Alternative Energy Product Manufacturers Credit against Modified Combined		
Tax	7-9J-1 et seq.	
Angel Investment Credit against PIT	7-2-18.17	
Apportionment Election of CIT For Manufacturers	7-4-10(B)	
Apportionment Election of CIT For Headquarters	7-4-10(C)	
Duning and Company of the Dallack in the Condition of Different Company of Co	7-2-18.4 and	
Business Facility Rehabilitation Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2A-15	
Commercial Motor Carrier Vehicles Operating Exclusively within 10 Miles of	7-15-3.2 and	
Mexico Border Exemption from Trip Tax and WDT	7-15A-5(D)	
Film and Television Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2F-1 et seq.	
Future Distribution to a Nonresident Beneficiary Deduction from PIT	7-2-38	
High-Wage Jobs Credit against Modified Combined Tax	7-9G-1	
Hosting World Wide Web Sites GRT Deduction	7-9-56.2	
Investment Credit against GRT, Compensating Tax, or Withholding Tax	7-9A-1 et seq.	
Investment Management or Advisory Services GRT Deduction	7-9-108	
Let Evel Eiter Eige Developt CDT and Commongsting Toy Deduction	7-9-83 and 7-	
Jet Fuel Fifty-Five Percent GRT and Compensating Tax Deduction	9-84	
Laboratory Partnership with Small Business Credit against GRT	7-9E-1 et seq.	
Research and Development Small Business Credit against GRT or Fifty Percent		
Credit against Withholding Tax	7-9H-1 et seq.	
Rural Job Credit against Modified Combined Tax, PIT, and CIT	7-2E-1.1	
Sale of Certain Services to an Out-of-State Buyer GRT Deduction	7-9-57	
Sales to Qualified Film Production Company GRT and GGRT Deduction	7-9-86	
Sales to State-Chartered Credit Unions GRT and GGRT Deduction	7-9-61.2	
Small Business Saturday Thanksgiving Weekend GRT Deduction	7-9-116	

Economic Development Expenditures		
Name of Expenditure	Statute	
Technology Jobs and Research and Development Credit against GRT,		
Compensating Tax, Withholding Tax, PIT, or CIT	7-9F-1 et seq.	
TIDD – Tax Increment for Development District Dedicated Increments	5-15-15	
Venture Capital Investment Credit against PIT	7-2D-8.1	

Environment, Conservation & Renewable Energy Expenditures		
Name of Expenditure	Statute	
Advanced Energy Credit against GRT, Compensating Tax, Withholding Tax, PIT,	7-2-18.25, 7- 2A-25, and 7-	
or CIT	9G-2	
Advanced Energy GRT and Compensating Tax Deduction	7-9-114	
Agricultural Biomass Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2-18.26 and 7-2A-26	
Agricultural Water Conservation Expenses Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2-18.20 and 7-2A-22	
Biodiesel Blending Facility Tax Thirty Percent Credit against GRT and Compensating Tax	7-9-79.2	
Biomass-Related Equipment and Biomass Materials Deduction from Compensating Tax	7-9-98	
Blended Biodiesel Fuel Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2-18.21, 7- 2A-23	
Conveyance of Land for Conservation or Preservation Fifty Percent Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2-18.10 and 7-2A-8.9	
Geothermal Ground-Coupled Heat Pump Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2-18.24 and 7-2A-24	
Renewable Energy Production Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2-18.18 and 7-2A-19	
Solar Energy Systems GRT Deduction	7-9-112	
Solar Market Development Credit against PIT	7-2-18.14	
Sustainable Building Credit against PIT and CIT	7-2-18.19 and 7-2A-21	
Wind and Solar Generation Equipment GRT Deduction	7-9-54.3	

Health Care Incentive		
Name of Expenditure	Statute	
Construction Equipment and Materials Used in the Construction of Sole		
Community Provider Hospitals GRT Deduction	7-9-100	
Construction of Sole Community Provider Hospitals GRT Deduction	7-9-99	
DOH-Licensed Hospitals Credit against GRT	7-9-96.1	
DOH-Licensed Hospitals Fifty Percent GRT Deduction	7-9-73.1	
Durable Medical Equipment GRT and GGRT Deduction	7-9-73.3	
	7-9-93, 7-1-	
Health Care Practitioner Services GRT Deduction and Hold Harmless Distribution	6.46, and 7-1-	
	6.47	
Hearing and Vision Aids GRT Deduction	7-9-111	

Health Care Incentive			
Name of Expenditure	Statute		
Penalty Pursuant to Section 7-1-71.2 NMSA 1978			
Credit Against GRT, Compensating Tax and Withholding Tax	7-9-105		
Physician Participating in Cancer Treatment Clinical Trials Credit against PIT	7-2-18.27		
Prescription Drugs and Oxygen GRT and GGRT Deduction	7-9-73.2		
Rural Health Care Practitioner Credit against PIT	7-2-18.22		
Tax Stamps Rate Differential in Cigarette Tax	7-12-7(D)		

Highly Specialized Industry Expenditures		
Name of Expenditure	Statute	
Border-Zone Trade-Support Company GRT Deduction	7-9-56.3	
Buses Used for Transportation of Agricultural Laborers Exemption from WDT	7-15A-5(B)	
Coal Exemption from Severance Surtax	7-26-6.2	
Electric Transmission and Storage Facilities GRT and Compensating Tax	7-9-101 and 7-	
Deduction	9-102	
Electric Transmission and Storage Facilities Services GRT Deduction	7-9-103	
Electricity Conversion GRT Deduction	7-9-103.1	
Electricity Exchange GRT Deduction	7-9-103.2	
Fuel for Space Vehicles Exemption from GRT and Compensating Tax	7-9-26.1	
Goods and Services for the DOD Related to Directed Energy and Satellites GRT		
Deduction	7-9-115	
Locomotive Engine Fuel GRT and Compensating Tax Deduction	7-9-110.1 and	
Locomotive Engine I del divi and compensating Tax Deduction	7-9-110.2	
Lottery Retailer Receipts GRT Deduction	7-9-87	
Medical and Health Care Services GRT Deduction	7-9-77.1	
	7-17-5(A)(5)	
Microbrewer Beer and Small Wineries Rate Differential from Liquor Excise Tax	and 7-17-	
	5(A)(6)	
Military Construction Services GRT Deduction	7-9-106	
Military Transformational Acquisition Programs GRT Deduction	7-9-94	
Natural Gas Exemption from Oil and Gas Severance Tax	7-29-4(B)(1)	
	7-31-4(A)(6)	
Natural Gas Rate Differential from Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax	and 7-31-	
	4(A)(7)	
	7-29-4(A)(4),	
Natural Gas Rate Differential from Oil and Gas Severance Tax	7-29-4(A)(6),	
Thatara das rate smerendar nom en ana das severance ran	and 7-29-	
	4(A)(7)	
Newspaper Sales GRT Deduction	7-9-64	
Oil and Other Liquid Hydrocarbons Exemption from Oil and Gas Severance Tax	7-29-4(B)(2)	
Oil and Other Liquid Hydrocarbons Rate Differential from Oil and Gas	7-31-4(A)(4)	
Emergency School Tax	and 7-31-	
5 7 • • • •	4(A)(5)	
Oil and Other Liquid Hydrocarbons Rate Differential from Oil and Gas	7-29-4(A)(3),	
Severance Tax Rate	7-29-4(A)(5),	
	7-29-4(A)(8),	

Highly Specialized Industry Expenditures		
Name of Expenditure	Statute	
	and 7-29-	
	4(A)(9)	
Production or Staging of Professional Contests GRT Deduction	7-9-107	
Publication Sales GRT Deduction	7-9-63	
Purses and Jockey Remuneration at New Mexico Racetracks Exemption from		
GRT	7-9-40(A)	
Railroad Equipment, Aircraft, and Space Vehicles Exemption from		
Compensating Tax	7-9-30	
Real Estate Transactions GRT Deduction	7-9-66.1	
Sale and Use of Agricultural Implements, Farm Tractors, Aircraft, and Motor		
Vehicles that Don't Have to be Registered GRT and Compensating Tax	7-9-62 and 7-9-	
Deduction	77	
Sale of Software Development Services GRT Deduction	7-9-57.2	
Spaceport-Related Activities GRT Deduction	7-9-54.2	
Space-Related Test Articles Deduction from Compensating Tax	7-9-54.4	
Test Article Deduction from Compensating Tax	7-9-54.5	
Uranium Enrichment Plant Equipment Deduction from Compensating Tax	7-9-78.1	
Uranium Hexafluoride and Uranium Enrichment GRT Deduction	7-9-90	

APPENDIX B: FREQUENTLY USED ACRONYMS

- **ACA** Affordable Care Act
- **CIT** Corporate Income Tax
- **CREG** Consensus Revenue Estimating Group
- **CRS** Combined Reporting System (CRS returns include GRT, compensating tax, and withholding tax)
- **CYFD** New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department
- **DOH** New Mexico Department of Health
- **DWS** New Mexico Department of Workforce Solutions
- **EDD** New Mexico Economic Development Department
- EMNRD New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department
- **GGRT** Governmental Gross Receipts Tax
- **GRT** Gross Receipts Tax
- **HSD** New Mexico Human Services Department
- IRS Federal Government Internal Revenue Service
- **ITGRT** Interstate Telecommunications GRT
- **LVGRT** Leased Vehicle GRT
- MCF 1,000 Cubic Feet
- MFA New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority
- **MVX** Motor Vehicle Excise Tax
- NAICS North American Industry Classification System
- **NMDOT** New Mexico Department of Transportation
- **NMENV** New Mexico Environment Department
- **ONGARD** Oil and Natural Gas Administration and Revenue Database
- **PIT** Personal Income Tax
- **PPLF** Petroleum Products Loading Fee
- **REPTC** Renewable Energy Production Tax Credit
- **RSTP** Revenue Stabilization and Tax Policy an interim legislative committee
- **TIDD** Tax Increment Development District

TPP - Tangible Personal Property

TRD - New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department

WDT - Weight Distance Tax

WTI - West Texas Intermediate

APPENDIX C: PRINCIPLES OF GOOD TAX POLICY

There has been much discussion in recent years about what makes good tax policy, and there are several principles which establish consensus. We provide a brief overview of each below. These principles are often in conflict, and balance should be kept between these principles.

Perhaps the most glaring example of how some principles can overwhelm others is New Mexico's Gross Receipts and Compensating Tax Act. These taxes have increasingly lost Simplicity as efforts have been made to achieve Equity, Efficiency, and — with recent reporting requirements — Accountability.

We use this illustration not to disparage any particular section of the tax code but to remind policy makers that reliance on these principles requires considerations of how application of one principle impacts other principles.

ADEQUACY

Tax revenues should be adequate to support needed government services. Inherent in this principle is the fact that taxes are necessary to provide these services. Unfortunately, there is no objective measure as to what constitutes "adequate" as this concept is tied tightly to the determination about what services government should provide and at what level of service these should be provided. Conversations around adequacy must also consider the revenue streams and demands upon the State as well as local governments.

EQUITY

Tax equity or fairness considers how tax burdens are distributed amongst taxpayers. There are two different types of equity: 1) "vertical" equity which says that tax burdens should be distributed according to taxpayers' ability to pay, so that taxpayers with greater ability to pay bear a larger burden than those with a lesser ability to pay, and 2) "horizontal" equity which is interested in ensuring that similarly-situated taxpayers face similar tax burdens. Without horizontal equity, the government arguably gives one taxpayer a competitive advantage over another. There may be legitimate policy reasons for doing so, but it should be done with full understanding.

EFFICIENCY

In this context, efficiency consider whether policies benefit economic growth. Tax policies affect the efficiency of the economy in complicated ways. As a rule, tax efficiency requires that taxes be levied in a way that minimizes market distortions that occur as taxpayers substitute untaxed goods for taxed goods to reduce tax burdens. As an example, income taxes on labor income may induce taxpayers to work less and instead use their time for non-taxed leisure. The result is a lower labor supply and less economic growth.

Evaluation of efficiency in the application of economic theory is complicated when an activity generates externalized costs, such as environmental degradation. Externalities may not be fully considered by individual actors in their marketplace decisions. In this case, taxes may be imposed as proxies for costs that would otherwise not be taken into account and can arguably improve the functioning of the economy. For example, this reasoning supports tax expenditures incentivizing renewable energy because they may reduce pollution.

SIMPLICITY

Taxpayers incur compliance burdens as they prepare, submit, and keep records about tax returns. They also face significant penalties, interest, and representation costs for failure to correctly prepare those returns. New Mexico's state and local governments incur administrative costs as they collect taxes, check on the accuracy of tax returns and tax payments, and bring taxpayers into compliance. The magnitude of these costs to taxpayers and government is affected by how taxes are defined and collected: the more complicated the code, the higher the cost everyone must bear to ensure compliance.

While they may increase accountability, tax expenditures that require separate reporting move away from the tax principle of simplicity - for both the taxpayer and State. Although generally hidden from view, the costs of collection and compliance impose significant burdens on society and should be kept to a minimum level, consistent with other goals.

ACCOUNTABILITY

Tax preferences should be easy to monitor and evaluate. Moreover, taxes should be transparent so that taxpayers can better evaluate government policy. Improved accountability is an important goal of this tax expenditure report.

INDEX OF STATUTES

Please note that statutes are not listed in numerical order by tax program. The software orders the statutes numerically but does not recognize the hyphenated sections. In short, it lists, for example, 7-12-1 et seq. before 7-9-1.

5-15-15	187	7-16A-10(H)(1)	250
7-12-4	261	7-16A-10(H)(2)	
7-12-7(D)		7-16A-12	
7-12A-4		7-16A-13	
7-12A-5	219	7-16A-13.1	241
7-12A-6	231	7-17-11	
7-13-11	240	7-17-5A(5)	124
7-13-17	229	7-17-5A(6)	
7-13-4(A)	242	7-17-6	
7-13-4(D)	209	7-17-9	259
7-13-4(E)		7-19-14(A)	
7-13-4(F)		7-19-14(B)	
7-13-4(G)	238	7-19D-5(A)	
7-13-4.4	204	7-19D-5(B)	203
7-13A-4(A)	226	7-20C-5	248
7-13A-4(B)		7-20E-5	248
7-13A-5(A)		7-20F-6	
7-13A-5(B)		7-2-13	245
7-14-4		7-2-14(A)	
7-14-6(A)	201	7-2-14(C)	147
7-14-6(B)	250	7-2-14.3	118
7-14-6(C)	250	7-2-18	88
7-14-6(D)		7-2-18.1	67
7-14-6(E)	66	7-2-18.10	74
7-14-6(F)	200	7-2-18.11	112
7-14-6(G)	107	7-2-18.13	190
7-14-7	241	7-2-18.14	177
7-14-7.1	251	7-2-18.15	198
7-14A-7	219	7-2-18.16	180
7-14A-8	246	7-2-18.17	48
7-14A-9	193	7-2-18.18	158
7-15-3.2	70	7-2-18.19	181
7-15A-5(A)	237	7-2-18.2	152
7-15A-5(B)	62	7-2-18.20	43
7-15A-5(C)	61	7-2-18.21	58
7-15A-5(D)	70	7-2-18.22	161
7-15A-6(B)	225	7-2-18.24	97
7-1-6.46	The state of the s	7-2-18.25	37
7-1-6.47		7-2-18.26	41
7-16A-10(A)	242	7-2-18.27	149
7-16A-10(E)	209	7-2-18.28	
7-16A-10(F)	236	7-2-18.30	
7-16A-10(G)	238	7-2-18.4	63

7-2-18.5	196	7-2A-28	181
7-2-18.8		7-2A-29	
7-2-2(N(4))		7-2A-4(A)	
7-2-29		7-2A-4(B)	
7-2-32		7-2A-4(C)	
7-2-34	64	7-2A-8	
7-2-36	144	7-2A-8.6	
7-2-37	191	7-2A-8.8	196
7-2-38	96	7-2A-8.9	74
7-2-39	208	7-2D-8.1	194
7-2-4(A)	238	7-2E-1.1	163
7-2-4(B)	204	7-2F-1	90
7-24-12	259	7-30-8	227
7-24-13	228	7-31-27	220
7-24-14	240	7-31-4(A)(4)	142
7-2-5.10	131	7-31-4(A)(5)	142
7-2-5.11	53	7-31-4(A)(6)	129
7-2-5.2	147	7-31-4(A)(7)	129
7-2-5.5	214	7-31-8	227
7-2-5.6	123	7-32-8	227
7-2-5.7	146	7-33-7	223
7-2-5.8	120	7-40-5	
7-2-5.9	192	7-40-6	223
7-25-7		7-4-10	,
7-26-6.2	68	7-41-5	
7-29-4(A)(3)		7-7-3(B)	
7-29-4(A)(4)		7-7-4(D)	
7-29-4(A)(5)		7-9-100	
7-29-4(A)(6)		7-9-101	
7-29-4(A)(7)		7-9-102	
7-29-4(A)(8)		7-9-103	
7-29-4(A)(9)		7-9-103.1	
7-29-4(B)(1)		7-9-103.2	
7-29-4(B)(2)		7-9-104	
7-29-5		7-9-105	
7-29C-1		7-9-106	
7-29C-2		7-9-107	
7-2A-14		7-9-108	
7-2A-15		7-9-109	
7-2A-16		7-9-110.1	
7-2A-17.1		7-9-110.2	
7-2A-18		7-9-111	
7-2A-19		7-9-112	
7-2A-21		7-9-114	
7-2A-22		7-9-115	
7-2A-23		7-9-116	
7-2A-24		7-9-117	
7-2A-25		7-9-13.3	
7-2A-26		7-9-13.4	
7-2A-27	195	7-9-13.5	210

7-9-15	172	7-9-55	246
7-9-16		7-9-56	
7-9-17	252	7-9-56.1	218
7-9-18	254	7-9-56.2	
7-9-18.1		7-9-56.3	
7-9-19		7-9-57	
7-9-20	_	7-9-57.1	
7-9-22		7-9-57.2	
7-9-22.1	203	7-9-58	
7-9-23	251	7-9-59	258
7-9-23.1	203	7-9-60	172
7-9-24	257	7-9-61.1	114
7-9-25	208	7-9-61.2	174
7-9-26	213	7-9-62	164
7-9-26.1	95	7-9-62.1	45
7-9-27	226	7-9-63	154
7-9-28	225	7-9-64	132
7-9-29	136	7-9-65	205
7-9-30	156	7-9-66	255
7-9-31	231	7-9-66.1	157
7-9-32	225	7-9-67	248
7-9-33	228	7-9-68	252
7-9-34	230	7-9-69	254
7-9-35	223	7-9-70	252
7-9-36	258	7-9-71	246
7-9-37	258	7-9-73	260
7-9-38	262	7-9-73.1	80
7-9-38.1	219	7-9-73.2	150
7-9-39	89	7-9-73.3	
7-9-40(A)	155	7-9-74	220
7-9-40(B)	256	7-9-75	237
7-9-41	230	7-9-76	
7-9-41.1	201	7-9-76.1	
7-9-41.3		7-9-76.2	
7-9-41.4		7-9-77	
7-9-46		7-9-77.1	
7-9-47		7-9-78	
7-9-48	_	7-9-78.1	
7-9-49		7-9-79	
7-9-50		7-9-79.1	
7-9-51		7-9-79.2	
7-9-52		7-9-83	
7-9-52.1		7-9-84	
7-9-53		7-9-85	
7-9-54		7-9-86	
7-9-54.1		7-9-87	
7-9-54.2		7-9-88.1	
7-9-54.3		7-9-88.2	
7-9-54.4		7-9-89	
7-9-54.5	186	7-9-90	189

7-9-91	73	7-9C-2(E)(2)	244
7-9-92	167	7-9C-6	263
		7-9C-7	
7-9-94	127	7-9C-8	245
7-9-95	54	7-9C-9	202
7-9-96	255	7-9E-1	113
7-9-96.1	79	7-9F-1	185
7-9-96.2	249	7-9G-1	104
7-9-97	229	7-9G-2	37
7-9-98	56	7-9H-1	160
7-9-99	72	7-9I-5	39
7-9A-1	108	7-9]	46
	237	•	