Equitable Funding Albuquerque Public Schools

SCOTT ELDER, INTERIM SUPERINTENDENT



Per Pupil Expenditure Reporting

- ESSA 1111 (h) (1)(C)(x) and (h)(2)(c) requires that LEAs report per pupil expenditures by school level budgets and that this information be integrated into the school report card reporting system by the state.
- The conversation around per pupil expenditure and site budget reporting, is one part compliance and three parts theory of action. The drive behind this policy change was to allow schools, districts and states to develop their own strategies for meeting the reporting requirements but most importantly to drive conversations around equity and transparency.



APS Board of Education and Administration approved this **Per Pupil** Expenditure Calculations procedural directive January 17, 2020



funds include the main operating budget (State Equalization Guarantee), instructional materials,

"Charter School Flow-through Funding" is funding that flows through the district to the dependent

transportation, athletics, state grants, local grants and city grants.

ALBUQUERQUE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

3

Per Pupil Expenditure reporting in NM and APS

- Roll out from PED to districts to create district policy and report to PED starting with FY19 budget
- Direct and district allocated expenses
- Funds excluded from PPE: include food services, capital outlay, charter school flow-through funds and debt services

- Direct: Per pupil expenditure reporting requires reporting actual salaries for all staff including teachers and principals at each site
- District: special ed, transportation, utilities, technology special program (follow exact use/school/student)
- District: human resources, finances, administration, school police, IT and central services(equally allocated to each student)





Schools that need more support have higher PPE

- APS Dashboards report PPE starting FY19
- PPE funding factors in state, local and federal funds
- SEG is equitable starting point for all NM
- APS internally distributes at-risk funding based on school at-risk calculations, not district index
- Hawthorne Elementary School was once designated an MRI school



Note: Per Pupil Expenditures are calculated through two methods: direct and district allocated. Direct expenses are those charged to a specific school. District allocated expenses are those that are allocated by percentage of use by each school (for example: utilities). For more information, see Board of Education Per Pupil Expenditure Procedural Directive under Policy: DA Fiscal Managment Goals. Proficiency for English/Language Arts and mathematics are based on students scoring at a level 4 or 5 on the Partnership for College and Career Assessment (PARCC). English language acquisition is the percentage of students scoring at a level 5 or above on ACCESS 2.0.



Published on December 4, 2019 by the Albuquerque Public Schools (APS), Strategic Analysis & Program Research (SAPR) Department. If you have any questions about this visualization, or require this information in an alternate format, please contact Strategic Analysis & Program Research (SAPR) by phone at (505) 872-6807 or by email at As.

Federal funds follow students with needs

- Title I, II, IDEA-B (Special Education)
- State funding formula aligns with goals of equitable funding and transparency of PPE
- Bilingual Funding distributes based on student need
- Special education "hubs" show higher PPE
- Mark Twain Elementary School is a special education hub school



Select School La Cueva High School

Enrollment (2019-2020): Staffin 1,800 112		fing (FTE)) FY19: Per Pupil Expenditure F	Per Pupil Expenditure FY19	
			Federal \$350 State/Local \$7,560 Total \$7,910		
School Informati	ion		School Los tion Map		
Principal:	Dana Lee				
Website:	lacueva.aps.	edu			
School Phone Number	(505) 823-23	327			
Feeder Path:	La Cueva HS		© Mapbox © OSM		
Grade Span:	9-12		School Program Information		
Alternative Calendar:	No		Schoolwide Title I Program: No		
Charter School:	No		% Receiving Free/Reduced Meals: 16.2%		
Bilingual Program:	N/A		ABC Community School: No		
12.370		42	6.3%		
English/Language A	lrts		ematics English Language Acquisition	1	
English/Language A				1	
	Profile es to "Someone on the	Mathe		Yes	
School Wellness Percent of positive response school's staff has invited me	S Profile es to "Someone on the e and/or encouraged m	Mathe a 78% ne to	ematics English Language Acquisition Students receive Health Education instruction based on New Mexico Content Standards.		
School Wellness Percent of positive response school's staff has invited me volunteer."	S Profile	Mathe a 78% ne to	ematics English Language Acquisition Students receive Health Education instruction based on <u>New Mexico Content Standards</u> . Percentage of students who are immunization	Yes	
School Wellness Percent of positive response school's staff has invited me volunteer." Compliance with <u>Smart Snac</u> Physical Education Classes (I	Profile as to "Someone on the a and/or encouraged m cks Nutritional Standa (PE) are Taught by a	Mathe e 78% ne to ards. Yes	ematics English Language Acquisition Students receive Health Education instruction based on <u>New Mexico Content Standards</u> . Percentage of students who are immunization compliant. Health and Wellness Team meets regularly and provides data.	Yes 99%	

format, please contact Strategic Analysis & Program Research (SAPR) by phone at (505) 872-6807 or by email at As

(SAPR) Department. If you have any questions about this visualization, or require this information in an alternate format, please contact Strategic Analysis & Program Research (SAPR) by phone at (505) 872-6807 or by email at As..

Impact of Per Pupil Expenditure differences FY19

West Mesa HS

Enrollment: 1745 Staffing (FTE): 120 **Per Pupil Spending: \$9,378 (2018-2019)** ELLs: 22% Special Education: 21% Free and Reduced Lunch: 99% Native American: 5.7%

Staffing

Counselors/Social Workers: 11 Special Education teachers: 27 Assistant Principal: 3 CTE teachers: 5

Family Liaison: 1 Bilingual teachers: 2 Community School Coordinator: 1 Early Warning Systems Coordinator: 1 Dean of Students: 2

La Cueva HS

Enrollment: 1800 Staffing (FTE): 112 **Per Pupil Spending: \$7,910 (2018-2019)** ELLs: 4.5% Special Education: 11.8% Free and Reduced Lunch: 16% Native American: 1.7%

Staffing

Counselors/Social Workers: 6.5 Special Education teachers: 20 Assistant Principal: 3 CTE teachers: 4.7

Per Pupil Funding: Every School has its own Story



Once we finalized the distribution methodology, PPE let us run comparison charts

The data cannot stand without the narrative

Student needs matter

Programs, funding and resources follow student need

APS calculate at-risk funding based on a school site at-risk index; not the district index for all schools

- What does it cost to educate a student?
- Why does an education "cost" more in some schools
- Each school has a different funding story and set of funding needs
- At-risk funding (in the SEG) must be examined alongside federal funding, which often targets the same groups of students

PPE is inclusive

Ongoing

and

Future

- Per Pupil Expenditure reporting does this.
- Principal justification for at-risk funding for FY20 demonstrates how sites leverage federal funding with at-risk funding to target at-risk students and programs to support them
- How can we continue to align the needs of students from <u>Yazzie/Martinez</u> with funding conversations?



Budget Big Picture of Funding Support for At-Risk Students FY20

- APS operating budget: \$735 million
 - At-Risk index revenue: \$64 million (8% of operating budget)
 - Bilingual Multiplier revenue-\$9.4 million (1% of operating budget)
- IDEA-B Revenue (special education): \$26.8 million
- Title I Revenue (low socioeconomic): \$37 million
- Title II Revenue (teacher professional development): \$5.9 million
- Title III Revenue (ELLs): \$1.2 million
- Perkins Federal Funding (CTE): \$1.1 million
- Some other federal funding for ELLs, SPED, bilingual, Native American: \$7.6 million

At-Risk Funding in Context with other State and Federal Funding

- Per Pupil Expenditures includes all state, local and federal funding for at-risk students
- Local at-risk index and targeted legislative increases allow for more equitable PPE. At-risk index has become a meaningful source of funding/conversation.
- SEG at-risk funding analysis is an incomplete analysis of support for at-risk students
- Important to know student needs of school for complete budgetary picture
- For FY20 APS principals completed narrative remarks with their budgets to describe uses of at-risk funding, which demonstrated frequent and strategic leveraging of at-risk dollars with federal funds to target programs and resources to at-risk students
- At-risk index expenditures overlap with other expenditure categories
 - APS generated \$150 million for Special Education; \$9.6 million for bilingual
 - Can currently code expenditures to one code: at-risk, special education, bilingual
- The SEG puts New Mexico far ahead of other states in the rollout of PPE

Thank you