New Mexico Educational Retirement Board Return to Work

Investments and Pensions Oversight Committee

Senator George K. Muñoz, Chair Representative Patricia Roybal Caballero, Vice Chair November 6, 2019

> Jan Goodwin, Executive Director Mary Lou Cameron, Board Chair H. Russell Goff, Board Vice Chair



History of Return to Work at NMERB

RTW Statute enacted in 2001:

- Originally enacted to help rural schools fill hard to hire positions- math, science, English as a Second Language and Special Ed
- Sunsets December 31, 2021
 RTW Exception Rule- ERB Board
- Began practice in 1994, Board rule adopted 1998



Return to Work- Pre 2019 Legislative Session

Retirees had two options

- Return to Work (RTW) Statute- 12 consecutive month layout, work as much as want, pay nonrefundable contributions
- RTW Exception Board rule- no layout, earn no more than the greater of 0.25 FTE or \$15,000, no contributions



RTW after 2019 Legislative Session

Retirees have two options

- Return to Work (RTW) Statute- 12 consecutive month layout, work as much as want, pay nonrefundable contributions, or
- Can work 0.25 FTE, without layout, contributions beginning July 1, 2020
- Why did NMERB eliminate the \$15,000 option?
 - To conform with IRS guidelines for bona fide termination prior to paying retirement benefits



NMERB RTW Demographics

RTW as a percent of NMERB retirees

| Year | Retirees | RTW | % | Average earnings (per year) |
|------|----------|-------|------|-----------------------------------|
| 2015 | 44,043 | 1,385 | 3.1% | \$39,197 |
| 2016 | 45,797 | 1,361 | 3.0% | \$40,144 |
| 2017 | 47,340 | 1,434 | 3.0% | \$38,600 |
| 2018 | 48,919 | 1,381 | 2.8% | \$38,443 |
| 2019 | 50,197 | 1,471 | 2.9% | \$39,753 |
| | | | | |



Return to Work over Time by School Type: 2015-2019











10

OTHER - CERTIFIED RTW MEMBERS: YEARS WORKED



| School District,, | TOTAL Enrollment | # of RTW Employees | | TOTAL | # of RTW Employees |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| Albuquerque | 100,205 | 355 | School District | Enrollment | |
| Las Cruces | 25,358 | 136 | West Las Vegas | 1,549 | 23 |
| Rio Rancho | 18,084 | 41 | west Las vegas | 1,549 | 23 |
| Santa Fe | 15,619 | 71 | Las Vegas City | 1,513 | 11 |
| Gadsden | 13,620 | 0 | Zuni | 1,345 | 4 |
| Farmington | 11,807 | 31 | T or C | 1,311 | 42 |
| Gallup | 11,580 | 35 | Hatch | 1,291 | 7 |
| Roswell | 10,534 | 118 | Cobre Cons. | 1,255 | 15 |
| Hobbs | 10,299 | 19 | Estancia | 1,144 | 4 |
| Los Lunas | 8,982 | 23 | Tucumcari | 962 | 3 |
| Clovis | 8,211 | 21 | Raton | 934 | 4 |
| Carlsbad | 8,041 | 15 | Dexter | 930 | 16 |
| Alamagordo | 6,398 | 23 | Eunice | 901 | 3 |
| Central Cons. | 5,901 | 20 | Tularosa | 839 | 6 |
| Deming | 5,434 | 10 | Dulce | 690 | 5 |
| Espanola | 4,164 | 34 | Santa Rosa | 655 | 5 |
| Belen | 3,916 | 14 | Pecos | 612 | 5 |
| Artesia | 3,887 | 14 | Loving | 607 | 25 |
| Los Alamos | 3,754 | 5 | Texico | 572 | 8 |
| Lovington | 3,749 | 22 | Cuba | 548 | 8 |
| Grants | 3,592 | 18 | Jal | 540 | 2 |
| Aztec | 3,006 | 13 | Lordsburg | 514 | 118 |
| Bernalillo | 2,988 | 21 | Capitan | 504 | 13 |
| Bloomfield | 2,763 | 10 | Clayton | 477 | 3 |
| Portales | 2,752 | 17 | Hagerman | 458 | 1 |
| Silver City | 2,486 | 0 | Questa | 432 | 2 |
| Moriarty-Edgewood | 2,421 | 10 | Cimarron | 429 | 0 |
| Ruidoso | 2,066 | 0 | Mora | 407 | 5 |
| Pojoaque | 1,967 | 9 | Chama | | 5 |
| Socorro | 1,662 | 9 | | 404 | 4 |
| | | | Cloudcroft | 387 | |
| | | Figures | reflect FY19 data | | Read and the second second |
| | | | | | |



| School District | Total Enrollment | # of RTW Employees | School District | Total Enrollment |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Lo Promesa | 378 | 0 | Carrizozo | 144 |
| Jemez Valley | 369 | 2 | | |
| Penasco | 368 | 2 | Hondo | 142 |
| Logan | 363 | 2 | Maxwell | 130 |
| Magdelena | 350 | 0 | Springer | 128 |
| Tatum | 342 | 1 | | |
| Ft Sumner | 320 | 4 | Lake Arthur | 93 |
| Tierra Adentro | 283 | 2 | Des Moines | 90 |
| Dora | 258 | 4 | Elida | 75 |
| Taos | 517 | 1 | | |
| Coral Comm. | 251 | 2 | Vaughn | 73 |
| Melrose | 249 | 5 | Wagon Mound | 69 |
| Mesa Vista | 244 | 2 | | |
| Floyd | 233 | 2 | Corona | 63 |
| Mountainair | 221 | 2 | House | 63 |
| Jemez Mountain | 197 | 3 | | AND AN AND A LAND |
| Aldo | 177 | 47 | Roy | 47 |
| Animas | 177 | 48 | Deap | 40 |
| Grady | 165 | 0 | | |
| Quemado | 159 | 6 | Mosquero | 36 |
| Reserve | 147 | 101.008 | Sequoyah | 25 |
| San Jon | 145 | 78 | Dream Dine | 18 |



Figures reflect FY19 data

Does RTW Pay for ERB Retirees?

We worked with our actuaries to see if RTW paid off in the long-term for our retirees:

Retirees have to do RTW for more than 10 years, otherwise it's more beneficial to suspend retirement and earn additional service credit and have a higher pension

Assumptions:

| \$50,000 | |
|----------|---------------------|
| 2% | |
| 1.5% | |
| 3.00% | |
| 50 | |
| | 2% 1.5% 3.00% |



Does RTW Pay for ERB Retirees? Continued

| Scenario 1: | Is someone better off working 35 years and retiring for 10 years? | g or working 25 years, retiring, taking a layout year, then par | rticipating in RTW |
|-------------|---|---|--------------------|
| | Present value of working 35 years and retiring | \$1,106,522 | |
| | Present value of working 25 years and retiring, takir | ng a layout year, then participating in RTW for 10 years | \$1,097,409 |
| | Age that working longer before retiring pays off | 83 | |
| Scenario 2: | Is someone better off working 30 years and retiring for 5 years? | g or working 25 years, retiring, taking a layout year, then par | rticipating in RTW |
| | Present value of working 30 years and retiring | \$894,870 | |
| | Present value of working 25 years and retiring, taking | ng a layout year, then participating in RTW for 5 years | \$ 893,931 |
| | Age that working longer before retiring pays off | 84 | |
| Scenario 3: | Is someone better off working 35 years and retiring for 5 years? | g or working 30 years, retiring, taking a layout year, then par | ticipating in RTW |
| | Present value of working 35 years and retiring | \$1,106,522 | |
| | Present value of working 30 years and retiring, taking | g a layout year, then participating in RTW for 5 years | \$1,098,348 |
| | Age that working longer before retiring pays off | 83 | |
| Scenario 4: | Is someone better off working 28 years and retiring for 3 years? | g or working 25 years, retiring, taking a layout year, then par | ticipating in RTW |
| | Present value of working 28 years and retiring | \$922,891 | |
| | | g a layout year, then participating in RTW for 3 years | \$904,959 |
| | Age that working longer before retiring pays off | 78 | |
| | | | |



Does RTW Pay for ERB Retirees? Continued

| Scenario 5: | Is someone better off working 25 years, taking a layout year, suspending retirement, working for 3 years befor years, retiring, taking a layout year, then participating in RTW for 3 years? | ore completely retiring or working 25 |
|-------------|---|--|
| | Present value of working 25 years, taking layout year, suspending pension and working 3 years | \$926,188 |
| | Present value of working 25 years and retiring, taking a layout year, then participating in RTW for 3 years Age that working longer before retiring pays off 78 | \$904,959 |
| Scenario 6: | Is someone better off working 25 years, taking a layout year, suspending retirement, working for 5 years befor years, retiring, taking a layout year, then participating in RTW for 5 years? | ore completely retiring or working 25 |
| | Present value of working 25 years, taking layout year, suspending pension and working 5 years | \$1,014,068 |
| | Present value of working 25 years and retiring, taking a layout year, then participating in RTW for 5 years | \$985,161 |
| | Age that working longer before retiring pays off 79 | |
| Scenario 7: | Is someone better off working 25 years, taking a layout year, suspending retirement, working for 10 years be years, retiring, taking a layout year, then participating in RTW for 10 years? | fore completely retiring or working 2! |
| | Present value of working 25 years, taking layout year, suspending pension and working 10 years | \$1,184,856 |
| | Present value of working 25 years and retiring, taking a layout year, then participating in RTW for 103 years Age that working longer before retiring pays off 84 | \$1,178,951 |
| | | |
| Scopario 9: | Is someone better off working 25 years, taking a layout year, suspending retirement, working for 15 years be | etore completely retiring or working 2 |
| Scenario 8: | years, retiring, taking a layout year, then participating in RTW for 15 years? | |
| Scenario 8: | | \$1,277,030 \$1,363,515 |



History of ERB Retirement Benefits

| YEAR | RETIREMENT ELIGIBILITY | MULTIPLIER | COLA | Life expectancy * at age 65 | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------|
| | 30 years of service with actuarial reduction if younger than age 60 1.5% first \$4,000 of Final Average Salary (FAS) and 1% thereafter 30 years of service with actuarial reduction if younger than age 60 1.5% first \$4,000 of Final Average Salary (FAS) and 1% thereafter 30 years of service with actuarial reduction if younger than age 60 SAME Add Hoc Age 60 with 15 years' service SAME Add Hoc 30 years of service with actuarial reduction if younger than age 60 1.50% Add Hoc 30 years of service with actuarial reduction if younger than age 60 1.50% Add Hoc 30 years of service with actuarial reduction if younger than age 60 1.50% Add Hoc Age 60 with 15 years of service 1.5% for years before July 1, 1957 Add Hoc Age 65 with 5 years of service 1.5% for years after July 1, 1957 Add Hoc Age 65 with 5 years of service 1.5% for years after July 1, 1957 Ad Hoc SAME SAME SAME Based on change in at 2%. Can decreas below original nebelow of 5% with reduction if younger than age 60 SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME Based on change in at 2%. Can decreas below original nebel | 10-9 (1976 A 277 C 9 2 | Males | Females | |
| 1962 | 30 years of service with actuarial reduction if younger than age 60 | | Ad Hoc | 13.2 | 17.4 |
| TOOL | Age 60 with 15 years of service | | | 13.2 | 17.4 |
| ALCON TO A | 30 years of service with actuarial reduction if younger than age 60 | | Realized Realized Party | | |
| YEAR 1962 1965 1971 1974 1979 1981 1984 | Age 60 with 15 years' service | SAME | Ad Hoc | 13.2 | 17.4 |
| 1000 | Age 65 with 10 years of service | 王 朝,王王子子子,王子子,刘 | | | E. S. |
| | 35 years of service | | Ad Hoc | 13.8 | 18.6 |
| 1971 | 30 years of service with actuarial reduction if younger than age 60 | 1.50% | | | |
| 1965 1971 1974 1979 | Age 60 with 15 years of service | | | | 10.0 |
| Section of | | | | | Sec. 1 |
| 1.1.1.1 | 35 years of service | 1.5% for years before | - Ad Hoc | 13.8 | |
| 1074 | Rule of 75 with reduction if younger than age 60 | July 1, 1957 | | | 18.6 |
| 13/4 | | 2% for years after July | | | 10.0 |
| and a start of the | Age 65 with 5 years of service | 1, 1957 | and the second sec | | |
| 1979 | SAME | SAME | Based on change in CPI, capped at 2%. Can decrease - but not below original retirement benefit. Begins after 4 years of retirement. | 13.8 | 18.6 |
| The second | 30 years of service | | SAME | New H | 19.1 |
| | Rule of 75 with reduction if younger than age 60 | SAME | | 14.6 | |
| | Age 65 with 5 years of service | | | | |
| n its 1 | 25 years of service | Based on change in CPI, capped | 30.00 | 18 A | |
| 1984 | Rule of 75 with reduction if younger than age 60 | SAME | at 4%. On average, 2%. Begins the later of age 65 or one year | 14.6 | 19.1 |
| a second and | Age 65 with 5 years of service | a second second | following retirement. | 144 | Lad |

*From 1960 through 1999, the stated life expectancies are based on the life expectancies of Social Security at the time (https://www.ssa.gov/history/lifeexpect.html). Beginning in 2000, life expectancies are based on the actuarial valuation assumption for NMERB.



History of ERB Retirement Benefits

18

| YEAR | RETIREMENT ELIGIBILITY | MULTIPLIER | COLA | Life expectancy* at age 65 | |
|------|--|------------|--|----------------------------------|---------|
| | | | | Males | Females |
| 1987 | SAME | 2.15% | SAME | 14.6 | 19.1 |
| 1991 | SAME | 2.35% | SAME | 15.3 | 19.6 |
| 2010 | SAME | SAME | Elimination of negative COLA | 19.6 | 22.4 |
| 2010 | Hired prior to 7/1/2010: SAME Hired after 7/1/2010: 30 years of service Rule of 80 with reduction if younger than 65 Age 67 with 5 years of service | SAME | SAME | 19.6 | 22.4 |
| 2013 | Hired after 6/30/2013: Actuarially reduced benefit if member retires with 30 years of service and is younger than age 55 | SAME | Hired after 7/1/2013: COLA begins at later of age 67 or one year following retirement <u>Until ERB is > 90% funded:</u> Retirees with benefits at or below the median AND with 25 or more years' service have a 10% COLA reduction from statutory COLA formula. All other retirees have a 20% COLA reduction. <u>ERB Funding >90% <100%</u> Retirees with benefits at or below the median AND with 25 or more years' service have a 5% COLA reduction from statutory COLA formula. All other retirees have a 10% COLA reduction. <u>ERB Funding=100%</u> COLA reductions cease. | 20.2 | 23.1 |

*From 1960 through 1999, the stated life expectancies are based on the life expectancies of Social Security at the time (https://www.ssa.gov/history/lifeexpect.html). Beginning in 2000, life expectancies are based on the actuarial valuation assumption for NMERB.

History of Retirement Benefits

| YEAR | RETIREMENT ELIGIBILITY | MULTIPLIER | COLA | Life expectancy* at age 65 | |
|------|--|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | Males | Female s |
| 2019 | Hired after 6/30/2019: Actuarially reduced benefit if member retires with 30 years of service and is younger than age 58 | 1.35% for .25 - 10 years 2.35% for 10.25 - 20 years 3.35% for 20.25 - 30 years 2.4% for years in excess of 30 | Hired after 7/1/2013: COLA begins at later of age 67 or one year following retirement Until ERB is > 90% funded: Retirees with benefits at or below the median AND with 25 or more years' service have a 10% COLA reduction from statutory COLA formula. All other retirees have a 20% COLA reduction. ERB Funding >90% <100% Retirees with benefits at or below the median AND with 25 or more years' service have a 5% COLA reduction from statutory COLA formula. All other retirees have a 10% COLA reduction. ERB Funding=100% COLA reductions cease. | 20.2 | 23.1 |

*From 1960 through 1999, the stated life expectancies are based on the life expectancies of Social Security at the time (https://www.ssa.gov/history/lifeexpect.html). Beginning in 2000, life expectancies are based on the actuarial valuation assumption for NMERB.



ERB Contact Information:

Santa Fe

701 Camino de Los Marquez PO Box 26129 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 Phone: (505) 827-8030 Fax: (505) 827-1855

Albuquerque

6201 Uptown Blvd. NE, Suite 204 Albuquerque, NM 87110 Phone: (505) 888-1560 Fax: (505) 830-2976

Toll Free: 1-866-691-2345 Member Help Email: <u>ERB-MemberHelp@state.nm.us</u> Website: www.nmerb.org

