NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCALIMPACTREPORT

SPONSOR:	Nava	DATE TYPED:	02/03/00	HB	
SHORT TITLE:	Teacher Quality Investment Fund			SB	322
ANALYST: Pacheco-Perez					

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring	Fund
FY00	FY01	FY00	FY01	or Non-Rec	Affected
	\$ 1,000.0			Rec	GF

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates HB378

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Commission on Higher Education (CHE)

State Department of Education (SDE)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

This bill creates the Teacher Quality Investment Fund, appropriating \$1,000.0 from the general fund to the Fund for the purpose of providing funds for which institutions of higher education may apply to implement enhancements in teacher education programs. The Commission on Higher Education shall administer the Fund, making available a pool of funds from which institutions may apply on a competitive basis to implement quality enhancements and to increase the number of graduates from the programs. Institutions shall realign existing resources in order to provide matching funds.

Significant Issues

According to CHE, this bill addresses the teacher preparation and induction issues as outlined in the 1999 CHE and State Board of Education Action Plan for improving teacher quality.

According to SDE, national, state and local literature overwhelmingly advocates for major reforms in teacher preparation programs.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$1,000.0 contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of FY01 shall not revert to the general fund.

According to CHE, the Fund would support 60% of each project in year one, 40% in year two and 20% in year three.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

CHE notes minimal impact on the agency as a contractual services agreement would be implemented.

CONFLICT/DUPLICATION/COMPANIONSHIP/RELATIONSHIP

This bill duplicates House Bill 378.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

According to SDE, the Teacher Quality Enhancement Grant awarded jointly to CHE and State Board of Education, focuses on statewide reforms in teacher recruitment, preparation, induction, and professional development as well as implementing pilot programs to evaluate and institutionalize reforms.

APP/gm