**NOTE:** As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

| SPONSOR: F                        | Park | DATE TYPED: | 02/16/01 | HB   | 409      |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------------|----------|------|----------|
| SHORT TITLE: Chief Public Defende |      | Duties      |          | SB   |          |
|                                   |      |             | ANALY    | ζST: | Belmares |

## **APPROPRIATION**

| Appropriation Contained |      | Estimated Additional Impact |      | Recurring  | Fund     |
|-------------------------|------|-----------------------------|------|------------|----------|
| FY01                    | FY02 | FY01                        | FY02 | or Non-Rec | Affected |
|                         |      |                             | NFI  |            |          |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates <u>Senate Bill 198</u> Relates to <u>House Bill 230</u> and <u>Senate Bill 166</u>

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Public Defender Department Administrative Office of the Courts Legislative Finance Committee files

## SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 409 exempts the Chief Public Defender from the procurement code when she contracts and expenditures for litigation expenses, including contracts and expenditures for professional and nonprofessional experts, investigators and witness fees, are certified. Attorney contracts are not included. The bill also authorizes the district public defender or the Chief Public Defender to represent indigent persons when they are under investigation for allegedly committing murder or any other felony criminal offense.

#### Significant Issues

• Procurement Code: The Public Defender Department is actively engaged in litigation at the trial, appellate, and post-conviction levels. The bill allows the Chief Public Defender to certify non-attorney litigation contracts and expenditures as litigation exemptions for purposes of filing contract briefs with the Department of Finance and Administration. Presently, the Department of Finance and Administration must approve all professional and non-professional expert witness contracts. The Public Defender Department asserts

•

the proposed language in the bill would assist in criminal litigation by not seeking lengthy Department of Finance approval or agreement on a "sole source" exception from the Procurement Code.

Pre-prosecution Counsel: The bill recognizes the Public Defender Department is frequently called upon to provide counsel for "pre-prosecution" persons under the Prosecution Diversion Act (31-16A-1 through 8) and for grand jury "targets" who require representational tasks related to the grand jury appearance. The Public Defender Department asserts indigent persons who are uncharged but who face serious murder or felony charges need the representation of an attorney who should assist the client and not merely "confer" with an individual. Frequently an attorney must deal with client health issues, language/interpreter courses, immigration, and other issues for the uncharged. Without the statutory authority to represent, an attorney does not have sufficient standing to adequately assist the client as well as the police, sheriff, and the courts. The bill brings the Public Defender Act into conformity with representation tasks already undertaken by the Public Defender Department.

# FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

No significant fiscal implications have been identified. The Public Defender Department is already called upon by the courts and prosecutors to fulfill functions proposed under 31-15-10B.

# **DUPLICATION/RELATION**

The bill duplicates Senate Bill 198. Additionally, the bill relates to House Bill 230 and its duplicate Senate Bill 166. HB230 and SB166 add a new section of law to require the Department of Finance and Administration to implement a comprehensive system of managing the development and oversight of professional services contracts that are entered into by state agencies. It requires agencies enter into professional services contracts to improve the decision making process they use when determining whether to contract out government services and to prepare cost-benefit analyses.

EB/ar/njw