NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR: C ₁	rook	DATE TYPED:	02/07/01	HB	216
SHORT TITLE:	Wrongful Death Judg	ments		SB	
ANALY					Rael
		REVEN	<u>IUE</u>		

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent	Recurring	Fund	
FY01	FY02	Years Impact	or Non-Rec	Affected	
		No Fiscal Impact			

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) Administrative Office of the Attorney General (AOAG)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

The bill allows for an increased share of the proceeds to the surviving spouse from a wrongful death judgment. All other changes are technical in an effort to make the law reflect modern natural language.

Significant Issues

When there are adult children and an elderly surviving spouse of the decedent, the bill would allow the surviving spouse to receive a significant proportion of the proceeds. Before, the proceeds were divided equally among the surviving spouse and children. In some instances, this resulted in the bulk of the award distributed to adult children with independent means, while leaving an elderly surviving spouse with very little.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

To the extent that the bill redistributes wealth from adult children with independent means to a poor surviving spouse, it may result in a slight decrease in personal income tax revenue. However, since the circumstances under which this statutory amendment will apply will be limited (and it is certainly possible that it will have the effect of redistributing wealth away from needy children and toward a wealthy surviving spouse in certain circumstances) the fiscal implications are likely to be minuscule.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

House Bill 216 -- Page 2

The New Mexico Wrongful Act has been criticized for its lack of a provision that prohibits an individual who has killed the decedent from profiting from his crime. The Probate Code provides that "an individual who feloniously and intentionally kills the decedent forfeits all benefits." In other words, a beneficiary who has killed the decedent forfeits his right to inherit any wealth from the decedent. However, the New Mexico Court of Appeals has interpreted the provision to apply only to probate matters, and not wrongful death judgments. See <u>Aranda v. Camacho</u>, 122 N.M. 763 (Ct. App. 1997); NMSA 1978 § 45-2-803.

FAR/njw