NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	HTRC	DATE TYPED:	03/16/01	HB	224 et al/HTRCS
SHORT TITLE: Use of County Correctional Gross Receipts Tax		SB			
		ANALYST:		YST:	Eaton

REVENUE

Estimated	l Revenue	Subsequent	Recurring	Fund	
FY01	FY02	Years Impact	or Non-Rec	Affected	
	Positive	Positive	Recurring	Local Govt.	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

This HTRC substitute bill creates new material to the Municipal Local Option Gross Receipts Taxes Act and County Local Option Gross Receipts Taxes Act to provide new local option taxes that may be imposed subject to voter approval and then by approval of the majority of the members of a municipal and/or county governing body.

The municipalities and counties may dedicate the revenue stream only for design, construction, improvement, acquiring (furnishing), of public facilities, water and wastewater systems, streets, rights of way, firefighting equipment, public parks and the like. The revenue stream may also be used to make bond payments pursuant to Chapter 3, Article 31 NMSA 1978 (local government gross receipts tax revenue bonds) for infrastructure purposes.

Municipalities

Prior to July 1, 2005, the majority of the members of the governing body of municipalities may impose an excise tax rate in 1/16 increments not to exceed 1/4 percent of gross receipts. Eligible municipalities are those that have imposed all of the increments of the municipal gross receipts tax (pursuant to Section 7-19D-9 NMSA 1978) and all increments of the municipal infrastructure gross receipts tax (pursuant to Section 7-19-D-11 NMSA 1978) and has not imposed after January 2001 an increment of the supplemental municipal gross receipts tax pursuant to the Supplemental Municipal Gross Receipts Tax Act.

Counties

House Bill 224 et al/HTRCS -- Page 2

Prior to July 1, 2005, the majority of the members of the governing body of counties may impose an excise tax rate in 1/16 increments not to exceed 1/4 percent of gross receipts. Eligible counties are those that have imposed all increments of the county gross receipts tax (pursuant to Section 7-20E-9 NMSA 1978) and all increments of the county infrastructure gross receipts tax (pursuant to Section 7-20E-19 NMSA 1978).

If the election of the local option taxes fail, another election to reconsider may be held no sooner that one year after the failed election.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

This bill would have a positive impact on local revenues if the voters of the municipality and/or county elect to impose such a tax.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Minimal.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

The increase in local option taxes may reduce the state's ability to increase the gross receipts tax at the state level for state purposes.

JBE/ar