NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Godbey		DATE TYPED:	02/01/01	НВ	HJM 20
SHORT TITLE: Direct-to-Cons		Direct-to-Consumer I	Orug Advertising		SB	
	-			ANAL	YST:	Wilson

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation	on Contained	Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring	Fund
FY01	FY02	FY01	FY02	or Non-Rec	Affected
		NFI			

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Agency on Aging LFC files

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

HJM 20 requests that Congress review and restrict Federal Food and Drug Administration Guidelines pertaining to direct consumer advertising for prescription drugs.

Significant Issues

Direct-to-consumer advertising has coincided with a national rise in the number of prescriptions written and overall spending on prescription drugs. In 1999, drug manufacturers spent one billion eight hundred million dollars (\$1,800,000,000.) on mass media for direct-to-consumer advertising, a thirty-eight percent (38%) increase from the previous year. Many public health officials fear that this type of advertising will undermine one's willingness to make lifestyle changes necessary to promote appropriate health status and disease prevention. Many also fear that this type of advertising may result in a push by consumers for newer, costlier drugs when less expensive drugs work just as well.

DW/ar