NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

### FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Payne		DATE TYPED:	2/7/01	НВ	
SHORT TITLE: Em		Employer Immunity I	Employer Immunity From Liability		SB	186
	-			ANALY	YST:	Gonzales

### **APPROPRIATION**

Appropriation	on Contained	Estimated Add	litional Impact	Recurring	Fund Affected
FY01	FY02	FY01	FY02	or Non-Rec	
			NFI		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Labor Department State Personnel Office

#### **SUMMARY**

# Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 186 amends Section 50-12-1 NMSA 1978 to delete current statutory reference to exceptions to immunity from liability for references on an employee's job performance when the reference was knowingly false, deliberately misleading, rendered with malicious purpose or violated any civil rights of the employee. This amended provision would take place July 1, 2001.

# Significant Issues

According to the Labor Department, this bill only affects the private sector since public employers are currently protected from liability under the doctrine of Sovereign Immunity, recognized under the New Mexico Tort Claims Act, Section 44-4-1 NMSA 1978.

With this bill, a private employer immunity would have to demonstrate that he/she had acted in good faith to be entitled to immunity for giving a reference.

### CONFLICT/DUPLICATION/COMPANIONSHIP/RELATIONSHIP

The Labor Department indicated in its analysis there are potential conflicts with existing current federal and state legislation as well as case law that provides immunity to public employers for actions performed during the scope of their duties. The agency indicated there is currently a cause of action available for misrepresentation against a private employer which would duplicate the protection under this proposal.

JMG/njw