NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Gorham		DATE TYPED:	2/18/01	НВ	
SHORT TITLE: Battery Against a H		Battery Against a Hou	sehold Member		SB	574
ANALYST:				YST:	Rael	

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation	on Contained	Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring	Fund
FY01	FY02	FY01	FY02	or Non-Rec	Affected
		NFI			

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Relates to HB 460

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)
Administrative Office of the District Attorneys (AODA)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

The Battery Against a Household Member bill proposes increases in the penalties for battery on a household member, aggravated battery on a household member and violation of an order of protection. The increases are gradual by number of prior convictions and require mandatory minimum sentences

Significant Issues

By requiring a mandatory minimum sentence, the bill provides significant improvement in current domestic violence laws. The erratic sentencing of these offenders under the current law, particularly the frequent deferring of sentences, has been problematic. Mandatory minimum sentences will make sentencing consistent and will have a deterrent effect.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

It will cost the judicial information system \$400 for statewide update, distribution and documentation of statutory changes. Any additional fiscal impact on the judiciary would be proportional to the enforcement of this law and commenced prosecutions.

FAR/njw