NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Jennings	DATE TYPED:	02/26/01	HB	
SHORT TITLE:	Nonprofit Taxation &	Income Tax Reli	ief	SB	779
			ANAL	YST:	Williams

REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent		Recurring	Fund	
FY01	FY02		Years Impact		or Non-Rec	Affected
	\$	(4,700.0)	\$	28,500.0	Recurring	General Fund
	\$	(13,000.0)	\$	(15,000.0)	Recurring	Municipal Governments
	\$	15,800.0	\$	18,000.0	Recurring	County Governments

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

The bill would impose gross receipts tax on 501(c)(3), non-profit educational, religious and cultural, organizations. The existing deduction would be restricted to non-profits providing services to children and youth.

The bill authorizes a personal income tax deduction for the amount of charitable contributions made by a taxpayer.

The bill adjusts the distribution to municipalities; the distribution would drop from 1.225% to .835%. An adjustment is not made for county governments.

The bill authorizes a recurring personal income tax reduction of roughly \$240,000.0.

Effective date for the gross receipts components of the bill is July 1, 2001. The adjustment of municipal distributions occurs with August collections. The personal income tax components would be effective beginning tax year 2001.

Senate Bill 779 -- Page 2

Significant Issues

The reduction in distribution to the municipalities is not equal to the windfall from imposing gross receipts taxes.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

TRD estimates an initially loss of general fund revenues of \$4,700.0 in FY02, with a subsequent increase in general fund revenues of \$28,500.0 in FY03. Municipal governments would see a recurring revenue loss of \$13,000.0 in FY02 and \$15,000.0 in FY03. County governments are projects to see a revenue gain of \$15,800.0 in FY02 and \$18,000 in FY03.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

TRD estimates administrative impact as moderate.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

See attached TRD analysis.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

See attached TRD analysis.

AW/ar