NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Campos	DATE TYPED:	03/06/01	HB	
SHORT TITLE: Change Deadline for		Delinquent Tax Payment		SB	356/aSFC
		ANAL		YST:	Williams/Rael

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring	Fund
FY01	FY02	FY01	FY02	or Non-Rec	Affected
		NFI			

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates HB138

REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent	Recurring	Fund
FY01	FY02	Years Impact	or Non-Rec	Affected
		No Significant Fis- cal Impact - See Narrative		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of SFC Amendment

The Senate Finance Committee amendment adds a new section amending section 7-38-67 to provide for publishing notice in a local newspaper. Notice may still be published in a newspaper of general circulation in addition to the local newspaper. If there is no local county or municipal newspaper, notice may be published in a county contiguous to the county in which the real property is located.

Synopsis of Original Bill

Senate Bill 356/aSFC – Page 2

The bill would change the due date for delinquent property taxes and associated penalties, interest and costs (or related installment agreement) to 5:00 p.m. of the day prior to the date of sale, rather than the day of the sale. These requirements are also made subject to provisions that: 1) if the last day to meet the requirements falls on a weekend or holiday, the requirement falls on the next working day and 2) Mailed transactions are acceptable if the postmark reflects the required date. The bill would be effective on July 1, 2001.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Significant fiscal impacts are not expected.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

TRD notes the provisions could improve efficiency of the property tax system.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

TRD notes the Department may not be aware of the situation in which taxes are paid by mail and postmarked on a day prior to sale, but the payment has not yet been received by TRD. TRD proposes requiring receipt of payment by the deadline through regulation.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

- 1. Under "Technical Issues", TRD notes "As a practical matter, the Department would probably continue to accept payment on the date of sale in many cases. It would not be required to do so, however." Who would determine this outcome and on what criteria?
- 2. Does the legislation appropriately address alternative forms of payment such as payment via overnight courier and potentially Internet payment applications?

AW:FAR/njw:ar