

NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC's office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT



SPONSOR: SPAC DATE TYPED: 02/08/02 HB _____

SHORT TITLE: Underground Water Permits SB 478/SPACS

ANALYST: Chabot

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY02	FY03	FY02	FY03		
		\$0.1	(Significant See Narrative)	Recurring	General Fund

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files
 New Mexico Acequia Association
 New Mexico Environment Department (NMED)
 New Mexico Finance Authority
 New Mexico Livestock Board
 Office of the State Engineer (OSE)
 State Investment Council

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 478/SPACS amends Section 72-12-1 NMSA 1978 that establishes conditions for permits for the use of underground waters. The most significant change is that it amends conditions under which OSE may deny a permit for water wells. In areas where the State Engineer has declared a critical management area under Section 72-2-8 NMSA 1978, the State Engineer may deny a permit for a domestic well if it will impair an existing water right or affects the state's obligations to an interstate compact. In addition, it allows a person to transfer an existing water right to household or domestic use without complying with public notice provisions if the amount is less than three-acre feet, complies with applicable municipal and county ordinances and serves no more than four household and the State Engineer finds that there will be no new net depletions of water and approves the transfer.

Significant Issues

SEO estimates there are approximately 130,000 permitted domestic wells in the state, and they receive requests for 5,000 additional wells each year. Currently, SEO must issue permits even if there is no unappropriated water available or if there would be impacts on neighboring wells. During testimony before the interim Legislative Water and Natural Resource Committee, SEO estimated that domestic wells caused annual depletions of 2,700 acre-feet of water from the Rio Grande and up to 1,800 acre-feet of water from the Pecos River.

OSE states the proposed statute change allows the State Engineer, in areas he has declared as critical management areas, the discretion to evaluate the impacts of a new domestic well if there is no water available for appropriation and where the aquifer is hydrologically connected to the surface flow of an interstate stream. He may deny the permit or limit the amount based upon the assessment.

NMED asks whether wells for livestock are to be covered by the statute.

There is concern of some property holders that this bill would limit their ability to build a home because of the inability to get a domestic well permit. In many areas of the state, domestic wells are the only source of water.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The bill could have a significant impact on OSE. Hydrologic evaluations will have to be done on domestic well permit application in critical management areas. These evaluations will delay processing of permit applications. However, it will provide OSE the ability to regulate domestic wells enabling them to better manage the underground waters in the state.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

OSE recommends adding “and 72-12-3” on page 3, line 18, after Section 72-5-4.

RELATIONSHIP

This bill is related to HB 271, SJM-7 and SJM-27.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

1. How will OSE cope with the increased workload resulting from the statutory change ?
2. What percentage of domestic well permits will be affected by these changes ?
3. Are livestock wells covered by this proposed statute ?