NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Park		DATE TYPED:	2/13/03	HB	47a/HJC
SHORT TITL	E:	Law Clerk for 2 <sup>nd</sup> Ju	dicial District		SB	
	ANALYST:				ST:	Hayes

### APPROPRIATION

Appropriatio	on Contained	Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04	FY03	FY04		
	\$110.0			Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

**Responses Received From** 

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) LFC files

### **SUMMARY**

### Synopsis of HJC Amendment

The House Judiciary Committee is striking the term "law clerk" where referenced in the bill and is replacing it with "staff attorney" in order to clarify that the position and funding requested in HB 47 is for an attorney, not a law clerk.

### Synopsis of Original Bill

House Bill 47 appropriates \$110,000 from the general fund to the Second Judicial District Court for the purpose of creating and funding a full-time law clerk position in the court's civil division.

#### Significant Issues

1. The amount being requested to fund a law clerk FTE, \$110.0, seems higher than necessary according to the judiciary's salary scale, including benefits. It is unclear whether the appropriation includes operating expenses.

## House Bill 47/aHJC -- Page 2

- 2. This law clerk position was not among the seven base expansions requested by the 2<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District Court in its FY04 budget submission to the LFC. Nor was this position included in the *Judicial Unified Budget* document which approves all judiciary budgets requests before submission.
- 3. Caseload information per attorney would be helpful in analyzing the need for this position or the number of law clerks per division. No performance data was provided, however, except that approximately 45 percent of the cases filed at the 2<sup>nd</sup> district are civil actions.

# FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$110.0 contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of fiscal year 2004 shall revert to the general fund.

## **POSSIBLE QUESTIONS**

- 1. Why is this position being requested? Why was it not included in the court's FY04 budget submission?
- 2. If the civil division has a backlog, has the court looked for alternative help such as hiring a UNM law student or establishing an internship for law students?
- 3. Is a new judgeship needed instead?

CMH/yr