NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

 SPONSOR:
 White
 DATE TYPED:
 01/30/03
 HB
 87

SHORT TITLE: Law Enforcement Safe Pursuit Act SB

ANALYST: Fox-Young

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04	FY03	FY04		
			\$0.1 Significant	Recurring	General Fund/OSF

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Relates to HB 30

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Responses Received From Administrative Office of the District Attorneys (AODA) Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) Attorney General (AG) Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) Corrections Department (CD) LFC Files

<u>No Response</u> Department of Public Safety (DPS) State Highway and Transportation Department (SHTD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 87 enacts the Law Enforcement Safe Pursuit Act, requiring law enforcement agencies to formulate policies and develop and incorporate training regarding high speed pursuits. The bill creates the crime of aggravated fleeing a law enforcement officer, making it a fourth degree felony.

"High speed pursuit" is defined as an attempt by a law enforcement officer in an authorized emergency vehicle to apprehend an occupant of a motor vehicle, the driver of which is actively attempting to avoid apprehension by exceeding the speed limit.

House Bill 87 -- Page 2

Every state, county and municipal law enforcement agency shall report data pertaining to each high speed pursuit to the Traffic Safety Bureau of the State Highway and Transportation Department (SHTD), and no later than October 1, 2004 and October 1 of each subsequent year, SHTD shall provide an annual report to the director of the New Mexico Law Enforcement Academy and to all state, county and municipal law enforcement agencies regarding reports of high speed pursuits submitted during the previous year. By December 31, 2003, SHTD shall submit a study of high speed pursuits in New Mexico to the director of the New Mexico Law Enforcement Academy.

The bill requires that no later than December 31, 2004, the New Mexico Law Enforcement Academy Board develop and incorporate into the training program at least sixteen hours of instruction regarding the safe initiation and conduct of high speed pursuits.

The bill requires that the chief law enforcement officer of every state, county and municipal law enforcement agency establish and enforce a written policy regarding high speed pursuits.

The bill enacts a new section of the criminal code creating the crime of aggravated fleeing a law enforcement officer, a fourth degree felony.

Significant Issues

This bill details requisite components, including instructional hours and content, of the curriculum at the Law Enforcement Academy. With the exception of the statutory requirement that basic law enforcement training include a section on domestic abuse incident training, the Legislature has traditionally operated under the theory that the Law Enforcement Academy Board determines the Academy's curriculum. Mandating the number of hours to be spent in a given area of training is unprecedented.

The bill includes specific guidelines for policies governing high speed pursuits, effectively limiting agency and officer discretion.

The Act would establish a cumbersome reporting requirement for officers involved in high speed pursuits. The reporting requirement would have a significant impact on police and sheriff's departments, the State Police, the Traffic Safety Bureau of the SHTD and the Law Enforcement Academy. Requiring officers to produce reports on all high speed pursuits would likely have the unintended effects of increasing the emphasis placed on administrative tasks in law enforcement agencies and reducing the number of officers on the road.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Although the bill does not include an appropriation to cover increasing costs at the Department of Public Safety (DPS), it will require the training division to develop a curriculum for basic and in-service training that is in compliance (a nonrecurring cost) and to provide this training on an ongoing basis (a recurring cost). Additionally, while it is difficult to quantify the fiscal impact of a reduction in officer strength, that will certainly be one result of the increased reporting requirements.

The Traffic Safety Bureau of SHTD will likely have difficulty absorbing the costs of increased reporting demands.

House Bill 87 -- Page 3

The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) reports that there will be a minimum administrative cost for statewide update, distribution and documentation of statutory changes. The fiscal implications for the courts, district attorneys and the public defender shall depend on the amount of litigation generated by the Act.

The Administrative Office of the District Attorneys (AODA) reports that it may be necessary to shift personnel from misdemeanor courts to district courts to prosecute cases resulting from this Act.

Creating the crime of aggravated fleeing a law enforcement officer as a fourth degree felony will likely have a significant impact on the Corrections Department (CD). Felony convictions for this offense will result in an overall increase in incarceration and probation costs. In previous years, CD has estimated that this Act would result in five to ten convictions per year. CD has also estimated that approximately half of these convictions would result in sentences of incarceration and the other half in probation. The length of probation would increase the period of probation from one year to eighteen months. Based on FY02 actual expenditures, the annual cost to house a male inmate at a private correctional facility is \$23,552 and the annual cost per female client is \$25,117. The cost per client for a standard supervision program in probation and parole is \$1,533 annually. Based on these figures, the increased cost to the CD would be between \$100,000 and \$150,000 annually.

RELATIONSHIP

The bill partially duplicates HB 30, but also includes data collection and reporting requirements not included in HB 30.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

Section 2 of the bill refers to an "authorized emergency vehicle" while Section 6 refers to an "appropriately marked law enforcement vehicle." These terms are not defined, and it is unclear whether they have the same meaning. It is unclear whether unmarked vehicles are included.

AODA reports that the bill sets forth two different legal standards for determining when a high speed chase should be initiated as well as two different grounds for initiation of a high speed pursuit. The relevant language in the bill reads as follows:

"The written policy shall, at a minimum, require that:

(1) a law enforcement officer may initiate a high speed pursuit to apprehend a suspect who the officer has *reasonable grounds* to believe poses a clear and immediate threat of death or serious injury to others or who the officer has *probable cause* to believe poses a clear and immediate threat of the others that *is ongoing and that existed prior to the high speed pursuit;*"

AODA suggests rewriting the language to use one legal standard, as follows:

(1) a law enforcement officer may initiate a high speed pursuit to apprehend a suspect who the officer has *reasonable grounds* to believe poses a clear and immediate threat of death or serious injury to others; or *reasonable grounds* to believe poses an immediate threat to the safety of others that *existed prior to the pursuit and continues to exist during the pursuit*;