NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Co	11	DATE TYPED:	1/28/03	HB	132
SHORT TITL	E:	Water Rights Litigati	on Fund		SB	
				ANALY	YST:	Chabot

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04	FY03	FY04		
	\$565.0			Non-Recurring	New Fund - Water Rights Litigation Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04			
	(\$565.0)		Non-Recurring	General Fund
	\$565.0		Non-Recurring	New Fund - Water Rights Litigation Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

No Responses Received From Administrative Office of the Courts Office of the State Engineer

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 132 appropriates \$565.0 from the general fund to the Water Rights Litigation Fund created by this bill which will be managed by the Administrative Office of the Courts for the purpose of paying expenses incurred by district courts in the adjudication of water rights.

Significant Issues

Adjudication of water rights cases take considerable time and are costly. This bill will help address some of the costs incurred by the court system.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$565.0 contained in this bill is a non-recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining in the Water Rights Litigation Fund at the end of 2004 or succeeding fiscal years will not revert.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

Section 1 provides for payment of expenses directly from the fund. Usually, agencies are required to request budget adjustment authority to move revenue from a fund to operating budget for expenditure. In a limited number of funds, direct expense is authorized. Is direct expense intended?

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

1. Why is a special fund for water rights litigation expenses required? Why not include water rights adjudication expenses in the operating budget of the district courts?

GAC/ls