NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

 SPONSOR:
 SPAC
 DATE TYPED:
 03/04/03
 HB

SHORT TITLE: Public Employee Bargaining Act SB 46/SPACS/aSFC/aHLC

ANALYST: Gilbert

### APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04	FY03	FY04		
			\$0.1 See Narrative	Recurring	General Fund
			\$0.1 See Narrative	Recurring	Local Govern- ment Funds

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Relates to: SB 508

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

### LFC Files

<u>Responses Received From</u> Attorney General's Office (AGO) State Highway and Transportation Department (SHTD) New Mexico Department of Labor (NMDOL) New Mexico Corrections Department (NMCD)

### SUMMARY

### Synopsis of the HLC Amendment

The House Labor and Human Resources Committee amendment to the House Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 46 strikes language that allows the board or local boards to include supervisory employees in appropriate collective bargaining units, thus effectively prohibiting unionization of supervisory employees.

### Synopsis of the SFC Amendment

The Senate Finance Committee amendment to Senate Public Affairs Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 46 strikes the \$327.0 appropriation included in Section 28 of the bill.

In fiscal year 1999, \$219.0 was budgeted for the Public Employee Labor Relations Board. Since

## Senate Bill 46/SPACS/aSFC/aHLC -- Page 2

SB 46/SPACS/aSFC removes the \$327.0 appropriation in this bill, funding for the State Labor Relations Board, budget for office space and equipment, hiring of staff and contractors, and paying board per diem and mileage expenses must come from other sources. Costs related to various administrative hearings must also be funded (e.g., verbatim transcriptions, recordings, process servers, professional legal support, and etc.).

## Synopsis of Original Bill

Senate Public Affairs Committee substitute for Senate Bill 46 guarantees public employees the statutory right to bargain collectively with their employers for wages, work hours, and all other terms and conditions of employment. It creates a board to administer the Act. The board may permit local go vernments to create their own boards.

This bill delineates board authority, defines the rights of public employers and employees, prohibits strikes and lock-outs, defines appropriate bargaining units, outlines procedures for union representation elections, establishes the scope of bargaining, mandates impasse resolution procedures, defines prohibited practices, and grants judicial enforcement authority.

## Significant Issues

In the event of conflict between the provisions of any other statute of this state and an agreement entered into by the public employer and the exclusive representative in collective bargaining, the statutes of this state shall prevail.

The issue of fair share shall be left a permissive subject of bargaining by the public employer and the exclusive representative of each bargaining unit.

To be valid, this bill would require at least 40% of employees in a bargaining unit to vote in the election of a labor organization or in an election to decertify a labor organization. Therefore, as few as 21% of the employees in a bargaining unit could decide the outcome of a union election and subsequently negotiate a "fair share" provision requiring 100% of employees in the unit to submit monthly payments to a union.

Section 13A specifies that supervisory employees represent a valid occupational group and may be approved as appropriate collective bargaining units, thus allowing union representation of supervisors.

Impasse procedures, including mediation and final and binding arbitration are outlined in Section 18. Arbitration decisions are final and binding pursuant to the Uniform Arbitration Act, and are limited to a selection of one of the two parties' complete, last, best offer. Such decisions are subject to judicial review pursuant to the standard set forth in the Uniform Arbitration Act.

# FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$327.0 contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of fiscal year 2004 shall revert to the general fund.

Since SB 46/SPACS requires implementation of a State Labor Relations Board, budget for office

## Senate Bill 46/SPACS/aSFC/aHLC -- Page 3

space and equipment, hiring of staff and contractors, and paying board per diem and mileage expenses will be necessary. Costs related to administrative hearings must also be funded (e.g., verbatim transcriptions, recordings, process servers, professional legal support, and etc.) In FY99, \$219.0 was budgeted for the Public Employee Labor Relations Board.

Section 17 ensures that impasse resolutions and negotiated agreement provisions, which require the expenditure of funds, shall be contingent upon the specific appropriation and availability of such funds by the legislature or appropriate governing body. Also, arbitration decisions <u>shall not</u> require the reappropriation of funds.

Recurring expenses to county and bcal government funds may result if such entities decide to implement local public employee labor relations boards.

SB 46/SPACS mandates all collective bargaining agreements to include impasse resolution processes culminating in final and binding arbitration, with <u>costs shared by the parties</u>.

Section 18D specifies that expired collective bargaining contracts shall remain in full force and effect until such agreements are replaced by subsequent agreements. However, in the absence of a new agreement, the Act does not require the public employer to increase any levels or grades of compensation contained in the expired contract.

The State and local governments may incur substantial costs associated with hiring labor relations professionals, administrative support staff, and staff or contract negotiators. There will also be costs associated with obtaining legal consultation and representation. Expenses relating to supervisory training, printing costs, and staff time away from work for various labor-management negotiations and grievance meetings must also be considered.

# ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Agency and local government staff may be required to devote substantial time to employeremployee relations and related training responsibilities.

# CONFLICT

The Attorney General's Office (AGO) makes the following recommendation:

# Section 23. Judicial Enforcement—Standard of Review

Paragraph (B) on page 30, lines 7-21. This section governs judicial review of state and local board decisions. To make it consistent with other laws and court rules governing review of final agency decisions, this provision might be revised so that it refers to NMSA 1978, Section 39-3-1.1.

# **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

The AGO recommends the following changes to HB 508:

## Section 7. Appropriate Governing Body – Public Employer

Page 7, lines 20-23. This section created confusion in the previous Public Employee Bargaining Act that was repealed in 1999. It describes who is the "appropriate governing body" for certain public employers. In particular, it states that "In the case of the state, the appropriate governing body is the governor or his designee or, in the case of a constitutionally created body, the constitutionally designated head of that body." The confusing aspects of this provision include the following:

- 1. Does the term "constitutionally created body" include constitutional offices such as the Attorney General's Office, the Land Office, the State Treasurer, the State Auditor and the Secretary of State?
- 2. Does the term "constitutionally created body" include the Boards of Regents of state educational institutions designated in Article XII, Section 1 of the state constitution?
- 3. If constitutionally created bodies do not include the boards of regents, are those boards considered "public employers other than the state" for purposes of Section 26 of the bill, which addresses ordinances providing for public employee bargaining in effect before October 1, 1999?

# Section 12. Hearing Procedures

Paragraph (D) on page 14, lines 19-21. This paragraph refers to "the county of residence of the local public employer." Since the local public employer is apt to be a county, municipality or other political subdivision, and not a person, it might be preferable to refer to the "county where the local public employer is located."

# Section 13. Appropriate Bargaining Units

Page 15, lines 14-18. Section 13 governs the designation of appropriate bargaining units by the state or local boards. It includes a possible change in meaning from the predecessor Public Employee Bargaining Act that was repealed in 1999. Specifically, it allows bargaining units to be established on the basis of "occupational groups <u>or</u> clear and identifiable communities of interest in employment terms and conditions and related personnel matters among the public employees involved." (Emphasis added.) This arguably broadens the scope of permissible bargaining units from the predecessor provision, which might have been interpreted to require the establishment of bargaining units based on three criteria, i.e., "occupational groups, a clear and identifiable community of interest in employment terms and conditions and related personnel matters among the public employees involved." See 1992 N.M. Laws, ch. 9, § 13 (formerly codified at NMSA 1978, § 10-7D-13(A)). See also SB 46, § 13 (same). If the different language in Section 13 is intended to describe alternative bases for bargaining units, it would be clearer if the word "and" between the words "conditions" and "related" was changed to "or".

# Section 17. Scope of Bargaining

Paragraph (D) on page 22, lines 8-12. This provision addresses mandatory subjects of bargaining involving representatives of public schools "as well as educational employees in state agencies...." The term "educational employees" should be more precisely defined to include those who perform educational services akin those provided in the public schools; otherwise, it could be interpreted to apply to any employee in a state agency that educates members of the public or others in any manner whatsoever, such as those that teach defensive driving courses, etc.

## Section 25. Existing Collective Bargaining Agreements

Page 31, lines 23-25. The last sentence of this section provides that nothing in the Public

### Senate Bill 46/SPACS/aSFC/aHLC -- Page 5

Employee Bargaining Act shall be construed to annul or modify the status of existing exclusive representatives. This provision is redundant; under Section 24(A) labor organizations that were recognized as exclusive representatives as of June 30, 1999 are recognized as the exclusive representatives as of the effective date of the Act.

# **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

Sections 19H and 20D are not traditional prohibited practices. Refusal or failure of the parties to comply with provisions of a collective bargaining agreement represents a grievance and should be handled pursuant to Section 17F. This bill would allow labor organizations to pursue their complaints through the grievance process (culminating in binding arbitration) and/or through an unfair labor practice complaint brought to the Board. Thus, the same issue could be adjudicated in two separate forums.

Provisions in Section 14B, which relate to labor organizations being allowed to intervene in representation elections, specify that such labor organizations must present signatures from 30% of bargaining unit employees within ten days after the Labor Relations Board posts written notice that a petition for election has been filed. Because of the short ten-day notice, such organizations are generally required to only produce signatures of 10% of bargaining unit members.

According to the New Mexico Corrections Department, the "fair share" provision in this bill may result in constitutional challenges on the grounds of unlawful governmental "takings", equal protection or due process grounds by public employees who choose not to be members but who may, nonetheless, be subject to payroll deductions of a percentage of dues because such employees indirectly benefit from negotiated terms for the bargaining unit of which they are a part.

# AMENDMENTS

Section 12D at page 14, line 19 could be amended by substituting the following sentence, "Except as prohibited by Section 17G of this Act, all meetings of the board shall be held pursuant to the Open Meetings Act in Santa Fe."

Section 23B, at page 30, line 10, could be amended by deleting all of the current language beginning with the sentence on line 10 through the end of the section, then substituting the following sentence, "All such appeals shall be subject to judicial review by an action in the district court pursuant to the provisions of Section 39-3-1.1 NMSA 1978."

RLG/njw:yr