NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Nava	DATE TYPED:	01/27/03	НВ		
SHORT TITLE	E: Out-of-School Progra	ams Study		SB	SJM 5	
			ANALY	/ST:	Chabot	

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04	FY03	FY04		
			\$.01		
			(See Narrative)		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From
Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD)
Department of Health (DOH)
State Department of Education

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Joint Memorial 5 requests CYFD, Department of Labor, DOH, and other appropriate agencies identify direct dollars being expended for out-of-school programs. All participants in the cooperative agreement advisory committee are to issue a summary report of total dollars by county and municipality by program area and impact, and make recommendations on issues requiring further study. Expenditure information is requested for fiscal years 2001-2003. The memorial requests that a formal report be presented to the Legislative Education Study Committee by November 2003.

Significant Issues

CYFD states that this information was provided in calendar year 2000 as a result of a previous Senate Joint Memorial. They will use the previous information as a baseline for the requested information.

Senate Joint Memorial 5 -- Page 2

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Administrative costs may be incurred in this study, especially if a contract is used to obtain the requested information. No estimate of required funds was provided by responding agencies.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

If the Legislative Education Study Committee (LESC) requires this information, a method should be devised so that this information could be collected by the Department of Finance and Administration and reported to LESC on a monthly basis. Project codes may have to be assigned for tracking in the financial system but the data would be timely. Collection, after-the-fact, for significant periods of time is an inefficient method of collecting financial information.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

- 1. How will the requested information be used by the Legislative Education Study Committee?
- 2. Has other methods of data collection been considered?

GC/njw