NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

### FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	W	hitaker	DATE TYPED:	02/11/03	HB	148
SHORT TITL	E:	Capital Gains Income	e Tax Deduction		SB	
				ANALY	(ST:	Smith

#### **REVENUE**

Estimated	d Revenue	Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04			
	(1,190.0)	(2,380.0)	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Responses Received From

TRD

### SUMMARY

### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill148 provides a personal income tax deduction for net capital gain income from the sale of a closely held business. To qualify for the deduction, a taxpayer must sell (1) their entire interest in the business in a transaction in which substantially all of the equity interests are sold, or (2) the business must effectively sell all of its assets. "Closely held business" is defined as a business operated as a sole proprietorship or by a legal entity whose equity interests are owned by 75 or fewer people.

The deduction is limited to 50% of the eligible gains in tax year 2003, 75% in tax year 2004 and 100% thereafter. The deduction cannot be claimed if the taxpayer has taken the venture capital credit or to the extent they claim a deduction for capital gains under Section 7-2-34.

The proposal contains a "delayed repeal" provision, effectively sunsetting the provisions on January 1, 2011.

# FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The estimate above assumes New Mexico realized net capital gains will total \$1 billion annually, and that of this amount, 3.4 percent or \$34 million will result from the sale of closely held businesses. The 3.4% is drawn from I.R.S. data on the share that small business interests represent in the composition of assets in estate tax returns. Multiplying by an average tax rate of 7.0 percent results in the \$2.38 million amount when fully phased in. Annual gains from the value of businesses tend to vary widely from year to year.

## **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

TRD notes that section 7-2-36(B) prohibits a deduction (1) if the taxpayer has claimed the venture capital credit; or (2) *'to the extent* a taxpayer has claimed a deduction under 7-2-34. As currently written, this language would limit the deduction allowed under the proposal because the taxpayer has claimed a deduction for some other, unrelated gains. If the intention was, rather, to limit the deductions claimed on the same small business interests, the language should be changed to make this clear.

### **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

TRD argues that New Mexico includes income from the sale of a closely held business in adjusted gross income and therefore taxes essentially 100 percent of the income. Since some neighboring states have zero state income tax rates, there may be a sizable incentive for small business owners to 1) sell their businesses and, within a short time period, 2) move to another state in which the income is not taxable, thus avoiding paying the tax. The proposed measure is intended to eliminate this incentive. However, since the fully phased-in proposal effectively gives away all of the associated tax, it could be argued that it goes too far in eliminating the disincentive effect. Since taxpayers would face real costs in moving out of state, a lesser deduction may be equally effective in reducing the incentive and retain some portion of the tax base.

SS/njw