

NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR: HGUAC DATE TYPED: 3/19/03 HB 663/HGUACS

SHORT TITLE: Hearings on Public Utility Rate Adjustments SB _____

ANALYST: Valenzuela

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04	FY03	FY04		
	NFI				

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

This committee substitute duplicates the Senate Finance Committee (SFC) substitute for Senate Bill 597.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Legislative Finance Committee files

Responses Received From

Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD)

Department of Environment (NMED)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

The House Government and Urban Affairs Committee (HGUAC) substitute for House Bill 663 amends NMSA 1978, Section 62-8-7.1 to allow for certain public water and wastewater utilities to make rate adjustments without a hearing before the Public Regulation Commission (PRC) under select circumstances. The bill defines these criteria for utilities serving 1,000 service connections or less and for utilities serving between 1,000 to 5,000 service connections.

For the first tier of utilities, they may increase their rates by not more than 8 percent/annually to adjust for inflation, adjust for commodity charges, and adjust for electricity charges, up or down, without a rate-case before the PRC. The utility shall provide written notice to ratepayers and the PRC within 30 days after filing of the rates.

For the second tier of utilities, they will be allowed to raise their rates not more than 8 percent/annually if its average 3-year rate is not more than 50 percent of the average monthly utility rate base. (The approximate average monthly utility billing is \$26.00.)

Ratepayers will have 20 days to protest increases, but will be required to present to the Commission a petition of 25 percent of the ratepayer base for the utility requesting the increase. If protested, the utility will be required to go through a PRC rate case.

Significant Issues

Several regulated utilities have testified to the LFC and other legislative committees about the length of time that it takes the PRC to act on and complete docketed cases. Water utilities in particular have been concerned about having to go through a full-blown rate case when requesting only a nominal increase in rates such as an inflationary increase. Further, these utilities have requested increases where ratepayers have no objections but have not been able to receive an expedited decision. An added concern is that the water utilities incur technical and legal costs in each rate case, which ultimately get passed on to the consumer. This bill recognizes that where the requested fee increase is minimal and uncontested, the rate case should be expedited.

The 50-percent cap on average monthly billing would exclude those utilities with high utility charges. A key example of a utility that would not be able to increase rates under this bill is the water utility company serving the Eldorado community east of Santa Fe.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The committee substitute for House Bill 663 does not contain an appropriation.

The LFC has recommended several performance measures with targets to focus attention on this issue of timeliness. These measures are shown below:

- Percent reduction in average number of days to complete a water utility rate case: 25%
- Average number of days to complete a water utility rate case: 194.4 days
- Percent reduction in the number of water utility rate cases on the PRC docket: 25%
- Percent reduction in the number of docketed cases before the PRC: 25%

Improving the number of cases going through the PRC could actually make available more resources for larger more complex cases.

MFV/njw