NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	HENRC	DATE TYPED:	03/3/03	HB	1025/HENRCS
SHORT TITLE	E: Clean Energy Act			SB	
			ANALY	ST:	Valenzuela

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04	FY03	FY04		
	NFI		See Narrative		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affecte d	
FY03	FY04				
	FY05: \$6.5 million	\$6.5 million	Recurring	Clean Energy Fund	
	FY10: \$15.5 million	\$15.5 million	Recurring	Clean Energy Fund	

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Energy and Natural Resources Committee substitute for House Bill 1025 proposes enacting a new statute, the Clean Energy Act, that would authorize, on July 1, 2004, an assessment of \$0.0003/kWh on retail sales billed by public utilities, municipal utilities and distribution cooperatives. On July 1, 2009, the assessment will double to \$0.0006/kWh. The generated revenues will accrue to the clean energy fund, which would be appropriated to EMNRD. EMNRD will administer the fund in accordance with criteria defined in the bill and report to the LFC annually on its activities.

Significant Issues

As currently in the bill, the Act identifies the following discretionary recurring appropriations from the fund, totaling approximately \$9,800.0:

\$	300.0 est.	Administrative overhead for EMNRD (5 percent maximum)
\$	500.0	Low-income energy assistance funding administered by the New Mexico
		Mortgage Finance Authority (minimum)
\$ 1	0.000,1	Renewable energy or energy efficiency projects at state-owned buildings
		(maximum)
\$ 4	1,000.0	Renewable energy or energy efficiency projects at universities, public
		schools, or local governments (maximum)
\$ 4	1,000.0	Develop electric services for low-income communities or Indian nation,
		tribe or pueblo (maximum)

No state agency responded with revenue projections for the fund in its analysis of the bill. The LFC projections, with assumptions, of the estimated revenue into the fund are provided in the table below:

	1993	1998	2003 (est.)	2009 (est.)
Utility Retail Sales Revenue (MWh) ¹	14,926,879	18,173,120	21,696,192 ²	25,902,253 ²
Percent Change (5-yr cumulative)	17.0 %	21.7%	19.4%	19.4%
Assessment amount per KWh			\$ 0.0003	\$ 0.0006
Estimated Revenue from Assessment			\$ 6,508.9	\$ 15,541.4
Earmarked Appropriation in the Act			<u>\$ 9,800.0</u>	<u>\$ 9,800.0</u>

As shown in the table, the intended effect for appropriations would not be realized until the assessment doubles to \$0.0006/KWh, which is slated to occur on January 1, 2009. In fact, the fund will be short by roughly \$3.2 million during the first years of implementation.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Revenue generated will not revert to the general fund. This bill creates a new fund and provides for continuing appropriations. The LFC objects to including continuing appropriation language in the statutory provisions for newly created funds. Earmarking reduces the ability of the legislature to establish spending priorities.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

¹¹ "State Energy Profiles: New Mexico," U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, http://www.eia.doe.gov/.

²² Estimate is based on averaging the historical cumulative percentage increases over the past 15 years.

House Bill 1025 -- Page 3

The bill does not define when utility companies would be required to transfer the assessment revenue to the state, whether on an monthly, quarterly or annual basis.

DUPLICATION AND RELATIONSHIP

The bill creates a fund similar to the systems benefit charge fund (Section 62-3A-13 NMSA 1978) that would be repealed if the Electric Utility Industry Restructuring Act is repealed by Senate Bill 718.

House Bill 1025 is a duplicate of Senate Bill 865.

MFV/sb