

**NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.**

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR: Robinson DATE TYPED: 2/4/03 HB \_\_\_\_\_  
 SHORT TITLE: Liquor License Fee Equity SB 24  
 ANALYST: Maloy

### REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04			
	(\$77.0)		Recurring	General Fund

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Responses Received From  
 Alcohol and Gaming Division of Regulation and Licensing Department

### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 24 proposes to lower the annual renewal fee paid by holders of club liquor licenses for clubs having more than two hundred and fifty members to \$250.

#### Significant Issues

- The current annual renewal fee for clubs having more than two hundred and fifty members is \$1,250.
- Clubs having less than two hundred and fifty members currently pay \$250.
- The proposed language would make the annual renewal fee for all clubs equal.
- The Alcohol and Gaming Division currently has 162 total club liquor licenses. Of these, 85 pay \$250, and 77 pay \$1250. If all club liquor licensees pay \$250, it will result in a reduction in revenue to the general fund of \$77,000.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

- SB 24 will result in a reduction in revenue to the general fund in an amount of \$77.0.  
This reduction is recurring.

**POSSIBLE QUESTIONS**

Is it reasonable for larger clubs to pay a larger renewal fee given their likely greater profits?

SJM/yr