NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Fid	lel	DATE TYPED:	2/4/03	HB	
SHORT TITL	E:	Pharmacy Board Con	ntrol and Supervision	n	SB	187
ANAL			YST:	Maloy		

REVENUE

Estin	nated Revenue	Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected	
FY03	FY04				
	\$89.0	See Narrative	Recurring	OSF	
	(\$89.0)		Recurring	OSF	

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Responses Received From

Pharmacy Board of Regulation and Licensing Department

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 187 reverses the Pharmacy Board from the Regulation and Licensing Department (RLD), and provides that all funds, records, supplies, equipment, furniture and other property belonging to the board, but held by RLD, shall be transferred to the board.

Significant Issues

- The Pharmacy Board is one of approximately 25 boards administratively attached to RLD.
- The boards' revenue is self-generated and does not go to the general fund. Their revenue is OSF.
- Many of the smaller boards cannot function as stand-alone entities because they are unable to generate enough operating revenue through exam, licensing and renewal fees. For this reason, the administrative functions and costs of the boards were consolidated some time ago. This means many of the larger boards, such as the Pharmacy Board, "underwrite" some of the administrative costs of the smaller boards.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

• SB 187 contains no appropriation.

This bill is revenue neutral. This estimated \$89.0 generated by the Pharmacy Board each year would no longer flow to the Regulation and Licensing Department for administrative support. The Board would retain this money to its independent operations.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

To remove the Pharmacy Board from RLD may impact the ability of other boards to function administratively. It may also set a precedent for other larger boards to pursue.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

- Is their another means of structuring the overall boards framework that can address the issues and needs of both the large and small boards?
- Are their other boards that wish to be removed from RLD?
- If the larger boards are removed from RLD, can the smaller boards re-consolidate administratively and function effectively?

SJM/njw:prr