

NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended only for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used for other purposes.

The most recent FIR version (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) is available on the Legislative Website. The Adobe PDF version includes all attachments, whereas the HTML version does not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR: Cisneros DATE TYPED: 02/17/03 HB _____

SHORT TITLE: Taos Teen Court SB 486

ANALYST: Hayes

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY03	FY04	FY03	FY04		
	\$55.0			Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates HB 521

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Responses Received From
Department of Finance and Administration, Local Government Division

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 486 appropriates \$55.0 from the general fund to the Local Government Division of the Department of Finance for the purpose of funding the Taos County teen court program.

Significant Issues

1. For FY02, a legislative appropriation totaling (\$55.0) was made to the 8th Judicial District Court in Taos to fund a teen court program. The court did not originally request this funding and did not want the responsibility of teen court in subsequent years. District courts are more occupied with drug court operations as their priority. In subsequent years, the 8th Judicial District Court has not requested funding for this program. As a result, the Taos County teen court has had to seek funding elsewhere.
2. DFA's Local Government Division responded to LFC's request for analysis on SB 486 by stating "This is not appropriate for Local Government Division to administer [teen

court] but by the Administrative Office of the Courts.” It appears neither the court nor the Local Government Division believe it is a function of their agency.

3. Teen court exists in other jurisdictions around the state, but is funded by either the county or municipality in which it operates. LFC does not believe teen court is a function that the state should permanently fund although it acknowledges the merits of the program’s goal. Start-up funding has been provided by the state to districts or counties in the past, but the expectation is that counties, schools, volunteers, municipalities or other community-based organizations will provide continued support for teen court programs.
4. Teen court is a program designed for first-time offenders to keep their records clean. A jury composed of local teens does not decide innocence or guilt of an offender, but assesses the sentence of the offender. The offender must openly admit commission of a delinquent act in front of the teen jury. If the offender admits to a delinquent act, accepts the “sentence” of the teen jury and successfully completes it, then the delinquent act is dismissed and the offender pays no fine.
5. No performance data has been provided to the LFC regarding the number of teen court participants, success rate of teens completing the program, if offenders commit additional delinquent acts, etc.
6. It may be that local municipal courts are the most appropriate to fund and administer teen court programs since it mainly involves misdemeanor violations.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$55.0 contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of FY04 shall revert to the general fund.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

1. Why is state funding being requested for a county-sponsored program? Since the state provided Taos County with start-up money several years ago, why is the county requesting continued funding year after year? Why aren’t county funds or municipal court funds being sought to support teen court?
2. What is the success rate of teen court? How many teenagers have appeared before teen court in the last fiscal year?

CMH/prr