Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	HTF	RC	DATE TYPED	03/07/05	HB	197/a HTRC
SHORT TITL	LE _	Tax Credit for Electri	ical Generation Wat	er	SB	
				ANAI	AST	Padilla-Jackson

### **REVENUE**

Estimated	l Revenue	Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY06	FY07			
NFI	(\$1,500.0)	(3,000.0)	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)

Duplicates: Senate Bill 111

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

<u>Responses Received From</u> Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

#### SUMMARY

House Bill 197 as amended by House Taxation and Revenue adds an applicability section, which states that the provisions of the act apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2007.

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 197 would provide a corporate income tax credit to a taxpayer who gathers, transports or treats produced water (byproduct) in the generation of electricity. The disposition of water must be in accordance with rules of the oil conservation division of EMRD. The tax credit would be \$1 thousand per acre-foot of produced water in the taxable year, provided that the total tax credit to all claimants not exceed \$3 million. The total accumulated tax credits claimed by the taxpayer cannot exceed fifty percent of the capital cost of equipment for gathering, transporting, or treating the produced water. If the tax credit exceeds the taxpayer's tax liability, the credit may be rolled over for up to three consecutive years.

### House Bill 197/aHTRC --- Page 2

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The total full-year fiscal impact of House Bill 197, as per TRD's analysis, is \$3 million to the General Fund. The analysis TRD provided suggests that, according to industry representatives, there is no produced water that is currently being diverted to use in electricity generation, though the total volume of produced water in the state is significant. The amount of water used in power plant cooling is also significant. TRD notes that statewide water use for this purpose is well in excess of the 3,000 acre-feet that would maximize the utilization of this credit. TRD's fiscal analysis assumes that, due to the significant size of the tax credit, the proposed activity will grow, though it may take a while before the credit usage is maximized.

The amendment does not change the full-year fiscal impact. Due to the new applicable date, however, there would be no fiscal impact in FY06 and a half

### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The bill will impact both TRD and EMNRD. According to TRD, implementing provisions of the bill would require forms, instructions and publication changes, as well as employee education. It would also require coordination with the Conservation Division of EMNRD. TRD will probably employ about .25 FTEs at a cost of \$10,000 annually to administer the credits, depending upon the number of corporations claiming the credits.

The Oil Conservation Division (OCD) of the Energy, Minerals, & Natural Resources Department would be responsible for determining if the produced water is disposed of in accordance with rules in Subsection B of the bill and would be responsible for issuing the certification of eligibility for the tax credit. The OCD is reportedly already in the process of promulgating rules related to that use of produced water. The bill also requires the OCD to determine how much of the produced water was used in the generation of electricity. Since the OCD does not currently gather this information, it currently has no mechanism to track produced water from production to disposition. The associated infrastructure support, inspection activities, and 0.5 FTE required will cost approximately \$50,000 per year according to EMNRD.

#### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

Three technical issues that TRD noted include:

- 1. The bill does not provide the department with guidance on how to allocate credits among taxpayers when more than one taxpayer is involved in the process of gathering, transporting and treating a given volume of water.
- 2. The bill does not provide guidance on how the \$3 million cap on total credit claims in one year should be allocated among competing claimants.
- 3. The bill does not provide for a process by which taxpayers apply to the department for approval of their credits prior to claiming them on tax returns.

Additionally, there is an ending quotation mark at the end of Subsection E of the bill, which has no accompanying quotation mark.

## House Bill 197/aHTRC -- Page 3

## **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

According to EMNRD, the only taxpayers eligible for the credit are corporations – there is no comparable credit for sole proprietors, partnerships, etc. EMRND believes that if the corporations benefiting from this tax credit pass the savings on to their customers, they will have an unfair advantage over their non-corporate competitors doing the same work.

OPJ/yr:lg