Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Her	rera	DATE TYPED	2/15/05	HB	395
SHORT TITI	LE _	Excuse 65 & Over Fi	rom Jury Duty		SB	
				ANAL	YST	Hanika-Ortiz

#### **APPROPRIATION**

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Add	ditional Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY05	FY06	FY05	FY06		
			See Narrative	Recurring	

#### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION** LFC Files

<u>Responses Received From</u> Bernalillo Metropolitan Court Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) Public Defender Department (PDD)

#### SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 395 adds an additional basis for excuse, exclusion or postponement from jury service that the summoned person has "attained sixty-five or more years of age".

#### Significant Issues

HB 395 may make it difficult to accumulate the required number of persons to serve on a panel of eligible jurors. New Mexico is a retirement destination and people over the age of sixty-four represent a significant portion of the community.

The AOC notes that the trial system is based on a jury of one's peers. Age is not a certain indicator of a person's ability to serve as a juror. Automatic excusals limit the diversity of the jury based on a group of persons, not the person's actual ability to serve.

The PDD reports that jury pools are so small in some districts that the courts find it very difficult to get an unbiased jury panel selected. Jurors are often required to sit through several trials, which is unfair. Jurors are often required to sit even when they have expressed doubts about their

#### House Bill 395-- Page 2

ability to be fair and impartial.

### **PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

The PDD notes that retired individuals comprise a large percentage of jurors because they do want to serve and make good jurors. If citizens over the age of 65 do not sit as jurors, it may be extremely difficult to empanel juries.

### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The increased workload anticipated by the courts may impact staff and resources.

### **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

There will likely be an increased workload for Court staff that process the additional excusals and select replacements from the remaining, available and eligible population of potential jurors.

### CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

SB 64, HB 461 Create a Lengthy Trial Fund SB 40 Expand jury selection pool SB 288 Expand jury selection exemption

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

None

### **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

None

### ALTERNATIVES

A study by county of the extent to which excusing this population may affect the population of available jurors.

## WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL?

Existing jury selection process will remain.

AHO/yr