Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	HJC		DATE TYPED	03/16/05	НВ	CS1059/aHBIC
SHORT TITLE Private Investigator		Licensing Requirem	ents	SB		
				ANA	LYST	McSherry

REVENUE

Estimated Revenue		Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY05	FY06	_		
	\$380.4	\$380.4	Recurring	Private Investiga- tors Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

House Bill 1059 in its introduced form duplicated Senate Bill 796.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From
Attorney General's Office (AGO)
Regulations and Licensing Department (RLD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of House Judiciary Committee Substitute for HB 1059

House Bill 1059 proposes to amend the Private Investigators and Polygraphers Act. The bill would change the licensing structure and regulatory authority for private investigators and private patrol (security) operators.

Specifically, the bill would:

Eliminate the requirement that persons licensed as private investigators conducting investigations obtain information regarding "the identity, habits, conduct, business, occupation, honesty, integrity, credibility, knowledge, trustworthiness, efficiency, loyalty, activity, movement, whereabouts, affiliation, association, transactions, acts, reputation or character of any person." The bill would rather require that when conducting investigations with respect to crimes against a state or the United States; stolen property; damage or injury to persons or property; and securing evidence.

Require that private investigator managers, and private patrol operator managers be assigned to and operate from company or branch offices for which they are licensed;

Provide for registration and different qualifications for three levels of "security guards," which would be distinguished on the basis of whether the guard would be unarmed, armed with a weapon other than a firearm, or armed with a firearm.

Exempt "an attorney licensed in New Mexico conducting private investigations while engaged in the practice of law" from the Act's private investigator licensing requirements. Current law exempts all attorneys without regard to their license status or activities.

Establish a "private investigators, private patrol operators, and polygraph examiners board" which would be given the responsibility for administering the Act. Currently the Regulation and Licensing Department administers the Act, with input from an advisory board. The board would consist of five members appointed by the governor.

Require the new board to implement rules which would raise the age of eligibility for a private investigator license and private patrol operators license from 18 to 21.

Provide for "private investigation company" and "private patrol operator company" licenses for entities providing private investigation or private patrol services within New Mexico. Current statutes provide for licensing individuals or branch offices, but not companies.

Provide for registration of private investigator employees who work under direct control and supervision of a licensed private investigator.

Establish a procedure for private patrol operator companies to hire and register temporary security guards for special events

Allow the new board to establish fees for registration and licensure, and set limits for those fees.

Require private investigation and private patrol operator companies to carry 1 million dollars in general liability insurance.

Allow the new board to deny licenses to those who have violated any state or federal "labor, tax or employee benefit law or rule".

Allow the new board to assess civil penalties for violating the act, up to \$1000 per violation.

Allow the new board to enter into licensing reciprocity agreements with other states.

Provide for fingerprinting and background checks for persons licensed or registered under the Act.

Allow private patrol operators to provide services as bodyguards. Current law restricts those services to private investigators.

Extend the sunset provisions for the Act from July 1, 2006 to July 1, 2012.

Enact a temporary "grandfather" provision for security guards, watchmen, loss prevention officers and patrolmen who are currently licensed by the Regulation and Licensing Department.

The substitute bill restores original language found in the current Private Investigators and Polygraphers Act that requires Private Patrol Operator Companies and Private Investigation Companies to maintain a Surety Bond in the amount of \$10 thousand dollars, and would require a new commercial general liability certificate of insurance in order to obtain a license to do business in New Mexico as a private investigation company or private patrol operator company.

The Substitute bill also adds new language which would:

Exempt private patrol operators holding a \$2,000 dollars certificate of deposit or surety bond from the Private Investigators and Polygraphers Act if the private investigator's license or private patrol operator's license remains current and the holder is in good standing with the board.

Allow board rules adopted by the currently existing advisory board to remain in effect until the newly proposed board adopts rules.

Allows for RLD to continue to carry out the existing advisory board rules until the newly proposed board exists and adopts rules.

Significant Issues

The Regulation and Licensing Department is currently responsible for licensing private investigators, private patrol operators and polygraphers with the assistance of an advisory board appointed by the department. This legislation would create the Private Investigators, private patrol operators and polygraph examiners board with the authority that was previously the responsibility of the Superintendent.

The newly proposed board (although already in existence as an advisory board) has not been through the Sunrise process as provided for in the Sunrise Act. This bill proposes substantial changes to the current licensing process and statutes for multiple professions and may benefit from being proposed in public hearings through the sunrise process.

The Act, as amended, would require additional training for individuals who seek to be licensed as Private Investigators or Private Patrol Operators.

The House Judiciary Committee Substitute increases the amount of the Surety Bond required by Private Patrol Operator Companies and Private Investigator Companies. The current statute provides all Private Patrol Operator Companies and Private Investigator Companies to maintain a \$2,000 Consumer Protection Bond. The House Substitute restores the bond language and increases the amount of the Consumer Protection Bond to \$10,000.

According to RLD, the Private Investigators and Polygraphers Board has contacted Surety Bond Companies in New Mexico and determined that the cost between purchasing a \$2,000 Surety

Bond and purchasing a \$10,000 Surety Bond is minimal.

The bill would be effective July 1, 2005. The included provisions to not seem to "grandfather" currently licensed private investigators or private investigator managers, but would provide for a "grandfather" for security guards, watchmen, loss prevention officers, and patrolmen.

The Bill provides for the ability of the Board to conduct national background checks on prospective licensees before issuing a license to investigate, patrol or carry various types of weapons.

RLD reports that it has been over 10 years since any major amendments have been made to the Private Investigators and Polygraphers Act and that there have been many technological changes in the way in which private patrol operators and private investigators perform their duties during this time.

This bill would appear to require both a company license and an individual license in order for a private investigator or private patrol operator to conduct business as a sole proprietor.

The new board is required to adopt rules providing for licenses and registration, including minimum education qualifications and training standards. Rules will not be adopted by the new board for some time after the board is created (July 2005); which would be the same time that that, the "department" would only be permitted to issue licenses to those who qualify under the new boards rules. The bill does not contain any temporary provisions allowing issuance of new licenses by RLD rules in the time between rule promulgation by the board and board creation.

The Act, as amended, would create a three-tiered licensure program for security guards, associating level of training and experience with level of licensure.

A Level One security guard would be an unarmed, entry level guard trained to perform basic guard duties

A Level Two security guard would be armed with a knight stick or other defense mechanism, but not registered by the Board to carry a firearm; and

A Level Three security guard would be able to carry a firearm, would be the most advanced level for a security guard

RLD asserts that, under the current legislation, the company that hires the security guards also owns the "guard cards," so that each time a security guard changed employers they also have to apply for and receive a new security guard registration. The Department predicts that the proposed legislation would create increased security guard mobility and more guard flexibility within the profession.

The proposed amendments require each private investigation company or private patrol operator company provide the Board with a copy of the certificate of general liability insurance.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

The Regulation and Licensing Department, and new board, would have to implement the provisions of this bill.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Members of the new board would be entitled to per diem and mileage

RLD reports that the license fee for a security guard would increase from \$15 to \$50 and that these increased fees would increase board revenues by \$380.4 thousand.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

The bill would increase the level of complexity involved in the licensing of security guards, private investigators and related professions causing increased administrative duties for the board and administrators.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

The original version of House Bill 1059 duplicates Senate Bill 796.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

AGO suggests that the bill clarify whether rule adoption is a condition precedent to implementing the new licensing requirements, and whether current private investigator licensees will be "grand fathered."

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

According to RLD, during the last two years, the board has become aware of instances that involved the death or injury of a security guard or a citizen because of an improperly trained or unregistered security guard. The Department believes that the proposed legislation would create an effective protocol for licensing and registering individuals as security guards and/or private investigators.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL?

The Regulation and Licensing Department would continue to issue all licenses pursuant to the Private Investigators and Polygraphers Act.

RLD asserts that should the Bill not be enacted, the Board would not have the authority to conduct background checks beyond the information contained in books and records housed in the State of New Mexico. Security guards would not have established levels of licensure.

EM/rs:lg