Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Carı	raro	DATE TYPED	1/25/2005	HB	
SHORT TITL	LE	Eliminate Nursing H	Iome Daily Bed Surd	charge	SB	44
				ANA	LYST	Taylor

### **REVENUE**

Estimated R	Revenue	Subsequent Years Impact	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected	
Provision	FY06				
Bed Surcharge Repeal	(19,500.0)	(20,000.0)	Recurring	General Fund	
Tax Credit Repeal	1,000.0	2,000.0	Recurring	General Fund	
Total Impact	(18,500.0)	(18,000.0)	Recurring	General Fund	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)

Duplicates HB 77 Relates to HB 213, HB 214,

### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Responses Received From
Human Services Department (HSD)
Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

#### **SUMMARY**

# Synopsis of Bill

SB 44 eliminates the daily bed surcharge imposed on nursing homes, intermediate care facilities for the mentally retarded and residential treatment centers. Also, the bill repeals the sections of law pertaining to the distribution of the associated revenues to the general fund for purposes of funding the Medicaid program as well as the income tax credit provided for payment of the tax not made by insurers.

The bill does not specify an effective date, so provisions are assumed to become effective 90 days after the end of the session.

### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The general fund revenue loss simply reflects the \$19.5 million included in the FY 2006 revenue estimate. The revenue estimate reflects the initial fiscal impact estimate of \$22.5 million adjusted for collections to date.

Based on information provided by TRD and HSD repeal of the income tax credit is estimated to increase general fund revenues by about \$1 million in FY 06 and \$2 million in FY07. The fiscal impact estimate reports that there are approximately 8,400 beds in the kind of facilities eligible for the tax credit. It also assumes 10 percent of beds are private pay and a 90 percent occupancy rate, implying 756 occupied beds. Some private pay beds are financed by insurance and some by individuals. TRD assumes that about three-quarters are paid for by individuals. Multiplying 756 by three quarters by \$10 dollars by 365 yields a \$2.0 million full year fiscal impact. The FY 06 impact is one-half the estimated full year impact. In making this estimate TRD assumed that the credit would not apply to expenditures paid after the effective date of the repeal. However, they note that the effective date of the repeal is ambiguous.

TRD also indicates that the fiscal impact of repealing the tax credit may be understated because the private pay group does not include nursing home patients who pay for their care from social security benefits. TRD notes that they don't know how large this group is, but claim it could be significant.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

HSD reports that bed rates for nursing homes and intermediate care facilities, which were changed effective July 1, 2005, would need to be reduced.

TRD indicates that repealing the bed tax would result in administrative savings to the department.

### **SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

Bed tax revenues are earmarked to the Medicaid program. HSD's analysis notes that the federal government's Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) does not have a concern with bed surcharge revenues per se; their concern relates to the income tax credit. Unless the tax credit is repealed, CMS will not allow bed surcharge revenue to be used as a state match to Medicaid program. The federal government pays for approximately 73 percent of the Medicaid program. Thus, a net loss of \$18.5 million in general fund revenues implies a loss of approximately \$50 million in federal funds for Medicaid unless other revenues from the general fund are used to replace bed surcharge revenues.

HSD says that revenues lost from the repeal of the bed surcharge "would result in the enforcement of additional cost containment measures".

## BT/yr