Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR Sn	nith	DATE TY	DATE TYPED 2/04/05				
SHORT TITLE Crime of Obstru		ruction of Property Owner		SB	176		
				ANALYST	ALYST Wilson		
<u>APPROPRIATION</u>							
Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec		Fund Affected	
FY05	FY06	FY05	FY06				

See Narrative

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

LFC Files

Responses Received From
Attorney General's Office (AGO)
Corrections Department (CD
Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources (EMNRD)
Public Defender Department (PD)
State Land Office (SLO)

#### **SUMMARY**

## Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 176 creates the felony crime of Obstructing a Property Owner.

A person who obstructs a property owner from the lawful use of his property will be guilty of a fourth degree felony.

A person who participates in "animal or ecological terrorism by raising, soliciting, collecting or providing material support to obstruct a property owner" from the lawful use of his property will be similarly guilty of a fourth degree felony.

## Significant Issues

The AGO notes that the American Legislative Exchange Counsel drafted an Animal and Ecological Terrorism Act (AETA) that apparently served as a model for this bill. The AETA, however, includes a definition and prohibited acts section that is not incorporated in this bill. The ex-

## Senate Bill 176 -- Page 2

pansion of the current bill to more closely track the model legislation would make a constitutional challenge less likely.

The Commissioner and the SLO value the trust's agricultural lessees, recognizing that they bring revenue to the trust and provide needed stewardship of trust lands. Livestock grazing constitutes an important use of state trust land and is a continuing source of revenue for the beneficiaries of the state trust that could experience adverse effects from interference with agricultural operations

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

SLO has some concern that the breath of the proposed law could be misconstrued to possibly criminalize existing, legitimate, coterminous activities on state trust land, such as fluid and hard-rock mineral leasing operations, railroads, power lines, and pipelines.

CD believes the provisions of this bill could increase costs to them as a result of the new crime. Because the crime being created is a felony, there is a significant likelihood of the violator being committed to CD. It is probable that more people will be placed on probation than incarcerated under this statute. However it is unlikely that there will be a large number of convictions under this statute

## **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

Any litigation deriving from potential First Amendment challenges will be handled by the appropriate agencies with existing personnel.

## **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

The AGO states the 'prohibited acts' are to a large extent included under current criminal trespass and criminal damage to property statutes.

#### DW/sb