

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 2/1/06

SPONSOR Picraux LAST UPDATED _____ HB 705

SHORT TITLE Domestic Violence Repeat Offender Program SB _____

ANALYST McSherry

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY06	FY07		
	\$422.1	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

This proposed appropriation bill duplicates an appropriation which was included in the HAFC version of House Bill 2, the General Appropriations Act.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 705 appropriates \$422,100 from the general fund to the Bernalillo Metropolitan Court for the purpose of replacing federal and other agency transferred funds for the Court's "domestic violence repeat offender program."

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$422,100 contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of fiscal year 2007 shall revert to the general fund.

Although BCMC states that the funding is for "one more year" of funding for the program, the funds are for operational expenses and for the purposes of the FIR are recurring.

Participation in the Domestic Violence Repeat Offender Program could reduce expenses that would have been associated with trial, pre-trial preparation and other expenses.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The Domestic Violence Repeat Offender Program (DVROP), as established, provides services to

domestic violence repeat offenders, their children and their victims. These services include: one on one judicial interaction, offender supervision (including sanctions, interventions and encouragement), and monitoring (including substance abuse monitoring, counseling), and treatment for all family members. The program lasts at least 12 months and family member services continue even if the offender is terminated from the program.

Offenders who complete DVROP have their sentence suspended with their conviction maintained as part of their record.

The program has a court clinician, a probation officer and a judicial specialist. Three judges from the court are involved in the program with no reduction in regular dockets.

According to Metro Court, the DVROP is the only one of its kind in the United States. The program received startup funding from CYFD, Corrections and federal sources to begin in July 2004. The program is voluntary, with participants chosen on a case-by-case basis with preference given to family units in which all parties can be “served.”

The 2nd Judicial District Attorney points out that this program has a limited number of clients because in order to participate one must not have a prior felony conviction (of any type), or any pending felony or misdemeanor charges.

Also according to the 2nd DA:

The program provides treatment for the entire family including victims and offenders. In the 18 months of program existence it has screened 111 offenders, accepted 60 and graduated seven.

The PDs office does not encourage clients to apply to the program due to rigorous program conditions lasting 12 months, and the rate of dismissal (3 of 4) due to failure of the victims to cooperate with prosecutors.

Metro court reports that 24 victims and 27 children have received services since the program’s inception.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

Metro court requests that the program be evaluated over a three year period, after one year of state funding. Indicators have not been successful, but would be useful to determine the programs effectiveness.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Programs such as DVROP result in additional administrative workload. Metro court is not requesting administrative increases associated with the program.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

Metro Court reports the court has 5,000 domestic violence associated incidents charged in Bernalillo County each year and that ½ of female homicide deaths and a large portion of nonfatal injuries in New Mexico are due to “intimate partner violence.” The court further asserts that 1 in 6 incidents of domestic violence reported by law enforcement involves a child witness (78 percent under the age of 13).

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, RELATIONSHIP

House Bill 705 would duplicate an appropriation adopted by HAFC in the General Appropriations Act.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

The program will either no longer exist, or will be severely limited in scope.

POSSIBLE QUESTIONS

1. What indicators of success does Metro Court propose to determine if this program should continue?
2. Would other courts benefit from such a program?
3. Are funds currently received from Corrections and CYFD no longer available for the program? How are these agencies involved, if at all in the operations of the program?
4. What factors are considered in the screening of potential participants?
5. What reasons were the 51 screened individuals who were not accepted as participants rejected for participation?
6. What proportion of the 53 non-graduate participants is still involved with the DVROP program?

EM/nt