Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR V	ORIGINAL DATE 2/1 Vigil LAST UPDATED	1/06 HB	769
SHORT TITLE	TORRANCE COUNTY INCARCERATION EXPENSES	SB	
		ANALYST	Hadwiger

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY06	FY07		
	\$1,500.0	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From Corrections Department (CD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 769 appropriates \$1.5 million from the general fund to the Local Government Division (LGD) of the Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) in FY07 for Torrance County incarceration expenses incurred by housing state prisoners.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$1.5 million contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of FY07 would revert to the general fund.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Several counties (San Miguel, Taos, and others) have legislation pending this year that would reimburse them for the cost of housing prisoners that are awaiting state custody for various reasons. Collectively, these bills reflect concerns that counties are getting stuck with the bill for housing prisoners who have violated parole or are charged with a parole violation; while on parole, are charged with a violation of local, state, tribal, federal or international law; are awaiting

House Bill 769 - Page 2

transportation and commitment to the corrections department following the pronouncement of a judgment, sentence or an order of confinement; are charged with a violation of probation by the corrections department or by a district court; are sentenced, ordered or removed by the district court to incarceration in a county detention facility for a felony conviction; or are incarcerated on the basis of an arrest-and-hold order on a warrant issued by the corrections department.

This reimbursement may be particularly important to Torrance County which suffered a significant setback in county finances in 2005, resulting in the County seeking a loan from the Board of Finance.

The Corrections Department (DOC) indicated concern that the language in this bill might prevent expenditure of the appropriation for its intended purpose. In particular, DOC noted that similar bills from other individual counties (requesting appropriations for individuals county facilities to pay the incarceration costs of housing certain inmates) do not use the term state prisoner, but have indicated that the individuals covered for payment are those charged with or convicted of violations of state law who have allegedly violated their conditions of parole (including being charged with committing a new crime while on parole); violated their conditions of probation; are awaiting transportation and commitment to DOC following the pronouncement of a judgment and sentence; are placed in the county detention facility by the judge due to a felony conviction; and are incarcerated on the basis of an arrest and hold order or warrant issued by the DOC. DOC assumed that Torrance County is, via this bill, also seeking payment for these same categories of inmates or prisoners, although a good number of these prisoners would not be state prisoners (with state prisoners defined as those prisoners that end up being sent to the custody of the DOC for incarceration in a Department operated prison or in a privately operated prison in Santa Rosa or Hobbs that houses inmates sent to the custody of the DOC).

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

DOC indicated There may be a minimal to moderate administrative burden placed on the Department because it may have to provide certain information (such as the names of individuals on probation or parole who have an arrest and hold order placed on them, etc.) to DFA to help DFA verify the incarceration costs for purposes of helping DFA pay the incarceration costs to the county. DOC pledged to make every reasonable effort to absorb this administrative burden without adding new staff, but indicated it is difficult to determine the exact amount of administrative burden placed on the department by this bill. The bill could increase the administrative costs associated with the department working with DFA to help DFA verify and process the county's bills, and these costs could be significant. There is no appropriation in the bill to the department to help offset or absorb these increased administrative costs.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Hb769 relates to HB735 which would appropriate \$700 thousand for this purpose; to HB769, which would appropriate \$1.5 million to reimburse Torrance county for the cost of incarcerating state prisoners in county facilities; to HB734 which would appropriate \$300.0 to help Torrance County to employ four more Torrance county sheriff deputies for the cops in schools program; and to HB733 which would appropriate \$131 thousand pay off a Board of Finance loan to Torrance County.

House Bill 769 - Page 3

ALTERNATIVES

DOC suggested one alternative is to use a more global approach that has the potential to help all of the county facilities (such as the approach used in HB 264 and SB 419) instead of trying to help just one county.

Another alternative might be to consider language consistent with that in other similar bills offered by other counties whereby Torrance County would be reimbursed for the costs of housing individuals charged with or convicted of a violation of state law who:

- (1) have violated parole or are charged with a parole violation;
- (2) while on parole, are charged with a violation of local, state, tribal, federal or international law;
- (3) are awaiting transportation and commitment to the corrections department following the pronouncement of a judgment, sentence or an order of confinement;
- (4) are charged with a violation of probation by the corrections department or by a district court;
- (5) are sentenced, ordered or removed by the district court to incarceration in a county detention facility for a felony conviction; or
- (6) are incarcerated on the basis of an arrest-and-hold order on a warrant issued by the Corrections Department.

Another alternative would be to amend the purpose to "to reimburse Torrance County for incarceration costs."

DH/nt