Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR _	Garcia, M	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED		НВ	
SHORT TITLE Create Fee-free Zo		free Zones Near Mexican Bord	er	SB	255/aSCORC

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

ANALYST Francis

	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected		
FY06	FY07	FY08		
	NFI			

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files Border Authority (BA) Department of Transportation (NMDOT)

<u>Responses Received From</u> Border Authority (BA) Department of Transportation (NMDOT)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of SCORC Amendment

The Senate Corporations and Transportation Committee amended Senate Bill 255 to distinguish vehicles that are within 10 miles of the Mexican border and are crossing or have crossed the border. The original bill applied to all commercial vehicles that were within 10 miles of the border.

Synopsis of Original Bill

Senate Bill 255 would exempt commercial motor carrier vehicles that operate within ten miles of a border crossing with Mexico from the trip tax and the weight distance tax.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

NMDOT has reported that there would be no fiscal impact with SB 255. The two taxes are not currently being collected at the Santa Teresa border crossing because they are collected either in

Senate Bill 255/aSCORC – Page 2

Deming or in Las Cruces and so only collected on vehicles that continue on from Santa Teresa. If the trucks from Mexico stop and turn around in Santa Teresa, those revenues never get collected. Both of these cities are outside the ten mile boundary stipulated in SB 255. The Antelope Wells border crossing is not a commercial crossing so no revenue is collected at that site either.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

According to the Border Authority, SB 255 codifies the current practice of not collecting these fees. The imposition of the fee was more costly than the revenue the might have been collected. The codification of this practice sends a message to border industry and commercial traffic that New Mexico is competitive with Texas, who does not impose fees on international commercial crossing at its border in El Paso.

NF/yr:nt