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> FISCAL IMPACT REPORT


APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

| Appropriation |  | Recurring <br> or Non-Rec | Fund <br> Affected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY06 | FY07 |  |  |
|  | $\$ 283.2$ | Recurring | General Fund |
|  | $\$ 31.4$ | Non-Recurring | General Fund |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)
Senate Bill 329 relates to House Bill 35, "Additional Judgeships in the $5^{\text {th }}$ District;" House Bill 61 "Additional Judge in the $13^{\text {th }}$ District;" House Bill 337 "Create Additional Judgeships," and Senate Bill 102, "Additional Judges in the $5^{\text {th }}$ Judicial District."

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

## LFC Files

## Responses Received From

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

## SUMMARY

## Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 329, "Additional $3^{\text {rd }}$ District Judgeship" appropriates $\$ 811,043$ from the general fund to $3^{\text {rd }}$ Judicial District Court for the purpose of funding a new judgeship by increasing the number of judges from seven to eight.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of $\$ 313,566$ contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of fiscal year 2007 shall revert to the general fund.

Of the $\$ 313.6$ thousand the courts have identified $\$ 283.2$ which should be considered recurring. The remaining funds are for the judge's chamber and staff furniture and other one time expenses.

## SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The judge caseload study used to determine judgeship need of the New Mexico judicial districts was completed in 1997. The relative caseloads have been updated since 1997; the case-weights have not.

According to AOC, the study was expanded and updated in 1998 to provide the legislature with a methodology for determining the needs for additional judgeships, the "weighted caseload study" which assigns a weight, expressed in minutes, for each type of case heard in court. The weight represents the average amount of a judge's time necessary to process a case of that type.

The third district is ranked fifth after the $5^{\text {th }}, 9^{\text {th }}$, second $5^{\text {th }}$, and $13^{\text {th }}$ judicial districts as ranked using the 1997 caseload study with updated caseloads. The district is included in the judiciary's requested judgeships in the unified budget.

A new judgeship includes the funding for three staff, including a trial court administrative assistant, a bailiff and funds for furniture equipment and supplies.

## PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

Additional judgeships would reduce the caseload for each judge and could result in more time dedicated to each case, resulting in an increased performance results for "cases disposed as a percent of cases filed," "clearance rate" and "percent change in case filings by case type"

## ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Additional judges, and their associated 3 staff would increase the administrative workload in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ judicial district.

## CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Senate Bill 329 relates to: House Bill 35, "Additional Judgeships in the $5^{\text {th }}$ District;" House Bill 61 "Additional Judge in the $13^{\text {th }}$ District;" House Bill 337 "Create Additional Judgeships," and Senate Bill 102, "Additional Judges in the $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ Judicial District."

## WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

The $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Judicial District would maintain authority for seven judges rather than eight.

