Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

### FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Harden	ORIGINAL DATE 2-1-06 LAST UPDATED	НВ	
SHORT TITI		TRANSPORTATION GRANT	SB	353
		Al	NALYST	Hadwiger

### **APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropriation		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected	
FY06	FY07			
\$5,000.0		Non-Recurring	General Fund	

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates SB353.

### **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected		
FY06	FY07	FY08		
\$5,000.0			Non-Recurring	Solid Waste Transportation Grant Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

### **ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	FY06	FY07	FY08	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
Total		\$50.0	\$50.0	\$100.0	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

#### **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

LFC Files

# Responses Received From

Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

New Mexico Department of Environment (NMED)

New Mexico Municipal League (NMML)

#### **SUMMARY**

### Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 353 would create a "solid waste transportation grant fund" consisting of appropriations, gifts, grants, donations and bequests made to the fund, and income from the fund. The fund would not revert at the end of a fiscal year. Money in the fund would be appropriated to the Department of Environment for grants to reimburse or partially reimburse solid waste transportation costs incurred by municipalities that transport solid waste fifteen miles or more from the boundary of the municipality to a landfill disposal facility. The reimbursement amount would be per ton of solid waste transported per mile, as established by rule of the Environmental Improvement Board.

Senate Bill 353 appropriates \$5 million from the general fund to Solid Waste Transportation Fund for expenditure in FY06 and subsequent years.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$5 million contained in this bill is a non-recurring expense to the general fund. Funds would not revert.

NMED indicated the responsibility for developing the application and evaluation criteria would fall to existing NMED staff. These same personnel would be responsible for reviewing applications, validating all submissions, selecting grant awardees, administering grants, and ascertaining grantees' compliance with all appropriate conditions, terms, and requirements. If additional staff is required, an estimated annual cost of \$50 thousand might be anticipated.

## Continuing Appropriations language

This bill creates a new fund and provides for continuing appropriations. The LFC has concerns with including continuing appropriation language in the statutory provisions for newly created funds, as earmarking reduces the ability of the legislature to establish spending priorities.

#### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

NMED noted that costs to local communities of hauling solid waste have increased substantially due to increased fuel costs. In addition, some municipalities have the responsibility of closing old unlined landfills that are leaking pollution into the groundwater. New state-of-the-art lined landfills are expensive and, as a result, some communities must haul solid waste great distances for proper disposal.

DFA indicated the bill assumes municipalities operate in a fuel efficient manner in hauling solid waste. It doesn't address logistical and collection programs like transfer stations to reduce fuel costs. The bill may be viewed as a statewide taxpayer subsidy to muncipalities operating solid waste facilities. Local residents typically bear the cost of solid waste collection and disposal services.

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

NMED indicated that existing NMED staff would be responsible for drafting the regulations and administrating this grant program, as well as maintaining the program once it is established. The bill allocates no additional FTEs to fulfill its mandates.

# CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

SB353 duplicates HB618. HB 286 adds \$5 million to the Solid Waste Facility Fund to provide grants to local communities for the capital costs of closing old landfills, transfer stations and convenience centers, constructing new landfills and purchasing equipment, which complements SB353 by facilitating the construction of new landfills closer to local communities and by reducing the distance that solid waste must be hauled.

#### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

Between lines 23 through 25 on page 2, DFA recommends deleting "Any .....revert." The statutory creation of the fund on page 1 (lines 22 through 23) already states it is non-reverting and not transferable to any other fund.

#### **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

NMED indicated SB353 does not include a provision to encourage the use of alternative fuels, the purchase of fuel-efficient vehicles or the conservation of fuel.

DH/nt:yr