Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	T. Garcia	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED	1/31/07 <b>HB</b>	564
SHORT TITLE Guadalupe County F		Prisoner Expenses Loa	<u>1 SB</u>	
			ANALYST	Propst

# **APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Арргор	riation	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY07	FY08		
	\$100.0	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

<u>Responses Received From</u> Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

# SUMMARY

#### Synopsis of Bill

House Bill 564 makes an appropriation of \$100.0 from the General Fund to the State Board of Finance to repay an emergency load to Guadalupe County to house prisoners.

# FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$100.0 contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the General Fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of FY08 shall revert to the General Fund.

# SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

DFA reports that, historically, smaller counties have difficulty meeting the high cost of incarcerating prisoners. In 2004 Guadalupe County obtained an emergency loan for \$110.0 from the State Board of Finance. Since then, the county has imposed a Corrections Gross Receipts Tax, and has implemented alternatives to incarceration with the Ankle Bracelet Program, the Video Arraignment Program and the Misdemeanor Compliance Program. These initiatives have helped the County toward financial soundness and on November, 2006 the County requested the State Board of Finance put them on a five year repayment plan.

# ALTERNATIVES

DFA notes that, in addition to Guadalupe County, other smaller counties have also borrowed money from the State Board of Finance to pay for escalating incarceration costs. The counties are forced to do this in order to continue operating and meeting required services to county citizens. In all likelihood, these counties do not have the revenue sources required to meet these recurring costs.

A more appropriate remedy for the future may be to appropriate recurring funding to smaller counties, such as proposed in Senate Bill 410 or House Bill 316 which establish a County Detention Facility Reimbursement Fund.

WEP/csd