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> FISCAL IMPACT REPORT
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HB 759/aHLC
SPONSOR
B. Lujan

SHORT TITLE
Increase Minimum Wage
SB
ANALYST Francis

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

|  | FY07 | FY08 | FY09 | 3 Year <br> Total Cost | Recurring <br> or Non-Rec | Fund <br> Affected |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\$ 38.6$ | $\$ 49.0$ | $\$ 87.6$ | Recurring | General <br> Fund - <br> SPO |
| Total |  | $\$ 693.5$ | $\$ 880.9$ | $\$ 1,574.4$ | Recurring | Jury and <br> Witness <br> Fee Fund |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)
Duplicates SB 324 as amended

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

## LFC Files

Economic Policy Institute (EPI)
Employment Policies Institute (EPI)
New Mexico Department of Labor (NMDL)
Responses Received From
NM Department of Labor (NMDL)
Children Youth and Families Department (CYFD)
Human Services Department (HSD)
Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)
State Personnel Office (SPO)

## SUMMARY

## Synopsis of HLC Amendment

The House Labor and Human Resources Committee amended House Bill 759 to restore the exemption from the minimum wage for employees of religious, charitable, and non-profit organizations who reside on the premises.

## Synopsis of Original Bill

House Bill 759 raises the statewide minimum wage to $\$ 6.50$ on July 1, 2007, and to $\$ 7.50$ on January 1, 2008, and increases the wage each January $1^{\text {st }}$ by an inflation factor determined by the consumer price index published by the US Bureau of Labor Statistics. The bill eliminates all exemptions from the definition of "employee":

- Domestic employee who works in a private home.
- Person who works as an executive, administrative, professional, foreman, superintendent, or supervisor.
- Employee of the United States, the state, or a political subdivision of the state,
- An individual who works for an educational, charitable, religious, or nonprofit where person works on a voluntary basis,
- Persons paid based on piecework, flat rate schedule, or commission
- Students who work after school hours or on vacation,
- Apprentices and learners as defined by law,
- Persons 18 and under who are not enrolled in school or graduate of a secondary school,
- Person employed by ambulance service,
- GI Bill trainees,
- Seasonal employees based on valid certificate from the state labor commissioner,
- Agricultural or range livestock employee, and
- Employee of charitable, religious or nonprofit that serves mentally, emotionally, or developmentally disabled persons and the employee who resides on the premises.

The effective date is July 1, 2007.

## FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Fiscal impacts for the minimum wage are difficult to determine. On the one hand, employees who receive an increase because they have wages that are less than the proposed wage will generate more income tax revenue and more gross receipts tax revenue as they spend their extra income. Also, if they previously qualified for benefits targeting low income workers, than those benefits may decline lowering the state's appropriations. On the other hand, if employers feel they have to reduce their workforce, then those employees who are laid off will be paying less tax due to their reduced income and likely need more publicly provided benefits. In the next section there is more detail on the economic effects of increasing the minimum wage.

Different agencies have indicated specific operating budget items that are impacted by the higher wage rate:

- NM Department of Labor reports that there will be a fiscal impact on state and local governments who will now be included in the minimum wage act.
- The State Personnel Office (SPO) reports that there are 22 employees below $\$ 6.50$ and 86 below $\$ 7.50$. It will cost $\$ 38.6$ thousand in FY07 and $\$ 49$ thousand in FY08 to bring them up to the minimum.
- The Administrative Office of the Courts reports that they will need $\$ 253$ thousand in FY08 and $\$ 693.5$ thousand in FY08 to for the jury and witness fee fund based on 375,000 hours of jury service in Fy06. They note that the LFC recommendation included an
increase of $\$ 412.5$ thousand for jury costs in FY08.


## SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

HB759 eliminates all exemptions from the minimum wage law. While most of these exemptions are for groups of employees that likely earn at least the minimum wage, some, such as primary and secondary school students who work after school hours or on vacation, seasonal workers, camp workers, some agricultural workers and livestock workers may be below the current minimum wage and will receive an even larger increase. It will be difficult to monitor those workers earning a piece rate wage rather than an hourly wage.

HB759 has no preemption clause that preempts local governments from establishing a wage rate higher than the minimum. This allows the cities of Santa Fe and Albuquerque and Bernalillo County to maintain and increase their minimum wages if they choose. To date, these are the only local governments to establish minimum wages higher than the state rate.

The Numbers. Data for this section is largely derived from an Economic Policy Institute (EPI) study on the minimum wage that has been provided by the NM Department of Labor. More information about EPI can be found on their website at http://www.epinet.org. EPI has gone through unpublished data from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Current Population Survey (CPS), a monthly survey of over 50,000 households, to develop the data in the tables shown here. EPI is a non profit in Washington DC primarily funded primarily by foundation grants but also by labor unions. They produce a widely respected report titled "The State of Working America" annually which contains research on all types of wage, income, and employment issues.

Table 1 shows the labor impacts of increasing the minimum wage to $\$ 7.50$ per hour. Twenty percent, or 161,000 of the 818,000 , workers are likely to be affected with an average raise of $\$ 0.78$ per hour. About 47 percent of those affected are directly affected meaning they are currently below the proposed minimum and will see an immediate increase of on average $\$ 1.19$ per hour. The other 53 percent are indirectly affected meaning they will see a boost in wages as those below them on the pay scale get moved up. Almost 60 percent of those affected are working full time and only 17 percent are under the age of 20 . The occupations in the service industry will be most affected with 37 percent of those employed in this industry seeing almost $\$ 1 /$ hour increase or over $\$ 2,000$ a year for a full time worker. NMDOL reports that the first phase to $\$ 6.50$ per hour will affect about 40,000 directly.
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Table 1: Affected workers in New Mexico

|  | Number of workers <br>  <br>  <br> Affected workers |  |  |  |  | All workers | Share affected |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Affected workers | All workers |
| :--- | Average raise

Source: Economic Policy Institute analysis of unpublished Current Population Survey data.
Increasing the minimum wage is not without cost to business. The estimate of those costs are controversial and often rely on anecdotes rather than statistics. However, most studies have shown relatively minor impacts to business. EPI estimates that, not surprisingly, the leisure and hospitality industry will be most impacted but the estimated impact is an increase of one percent. For all workers, the increase is 0.2 percent as a share of sales.

## Table 2: Cost to Business

|  | Total cost as |  | Total cost as <br> Total annual |  | Total annual <br> share of <br> earnings |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| earnings | Total annual | share of <br> sales |  |  |  |
| Workers | cost | 209 | 30,574 | $0.68 \%$ | 103,381 |

All figures are in millions of dollars.
Source: Economic Policy Institute analysis of unpublished Current Population Survey data.
Last year when a similar bill was introduced by Senator Ben Altamirano, LFC economists studied NM Department of Labor data on employees by wage. Table 2 shows that even in the occupations with the highest concentrations of low wage employees, the mean or average wage is near the proposed minimum. In the "food preparation and serving" occupations, the mean wage was $\$ 7.36$. However, the mean includes higher paid restaurant managers and the more accurate variable is the median wage or the wage at the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile. The median wage for this occupation, where half of the workers are below and half are above, was $\$ 6.64$ per hour indicating that more than half of these workers will benefit. Overall, only two occupations have median wages below the proposed minimum.

Table 2: Occupations with at least 10 percent of employees at less than $\$ 7.50$ per hour

| Occupation | Employment | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Mean } \\ \text { Wage }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Hourly } \\ \text { Wage 10 } \\ \text { th } \\ \text { Percentile }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Hourly } \\ \text { Wage 25 }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percentile |  |  |  |  |\(\left.\quad \begin{array}{c}Hourly <br>

Wage (50 <br>
Percentile)\end{array}\right]\)

Source: LFC analysis of NMDOL Data
Children Youth and Families Department (CYFD) reports that raising the minimum wage would have a "significant impact on the rates and depth of family and child poverty among working families." NM is consistently ranked among the worst states for childhood poverty indicators.

Recent Studies. The Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) has provided summaries of two recent studies of the effects of minimum wage laws:

Recent studies of minimum wage change consequences include a UNM Bureau of Business and Economic Research study report of June, 2006 on the City of Santa Fe wage change in June of 2004 from $\$ 5.15$ to $\$ 8.50$. This can be found at http://www.unm.edu/~bber/pubs/SFLWpt3.pdf. This study followed Card and Krueger's methods (described below) and found better economic performance post-wage increase in Santa Fe than in a non-wage increase control area (Albuquerque).

A Florida International University study report of May, 2006 on the State of Florida wage change in May, 2005, found at http://www.risepfiu.org/reports/Florida_Minimum_Wage_Report.pdf, found a lower percentage increase in jobs in the service sector (hospitality, food service, accommodations) than in the goods producing sector within Florida.

Federal legislation. The Federal government has imposed a minimum wage since 1938 when the wage was set at $\$ 0.25$ per hour. The wage has been increased 25 times since then most recently in 1997 when the wage was increased to $\$ 5.15$ per hour. Congress is now considering an increase and the US House of Representatives has passed H.R. 2 which raises the minimum wage to $\$ 7.25$ per hour. Figure one shows the minimum wage over time in 2004 dollars and nominal dollars. As the chart shows, the real wage is at its lowest point since 1968 when the wage had the purchasing power of almost $\$ 9$ /hour in 2004 dollars.

## House Bill 759/aHLC - Page 6

Figure 1: Real and Nominal Minimum Wage


Source: BLS; Real Wage adjusted by CPI-W
The congressional budget office (CBO) estimates that the impact on private sector would be $\$ 1.5$ billion in FFY2008 growing to $\$ 5.7$ billion in FFY2010. The current GDP estimate for FF2010 is $\$ 17,395$ billion. In other words, CBO is estimating the impact will be 0.03 percent or virtually zero. The Senate has yet to act on the legislation.

Economic Theory. The impact of raising the minimum wage on employment is a hotly contested issue among economists. Conventional theory states that an artificial floor for any price is a market distortion and so will lead to an imbalance in the market, in this case disemployment. Most economists believe that increases in the minimum wage cause unemployment amongst some groups, particularly low skilled and younger workers. At issue, then, is not whether there is unemployment but how significant is the unemployment that is caused by the wage increase and how is it offset by other positive impacts. The key to the argument is the elasticity of the demand for labor. In other words, how employers respond to changes in the wage. At very low wage levels near the federal minimum, there is evidence that employment is not significantly impacted by small changes in the wage.

The market wage is where supply of labor equals demand for labor and the market clears. If the market wage is higher than the minimum, the effects of the minimum wage will be on the margins and therefore not likely to be significant. If the natural wage is lower than the minimum wage, supply of labor will exceed demand for labor and unemployment will result. The average wage, which is a rough proxy for the natural wage, in most industries is significantly above the current minimum wage and the proposed wage and so there will be little to no employment impact.

One way to assess the real impact of a minimum wage is to look back on previous minimum wage hikes to see if there were significant impacts on employment. In 1997, for example, the federal minimum wage was increased to $\$ 5.15$ but the economy was at the beginning of a boom where all levels of workers, including low skilled and unskilled, enjoyed employment and wage gains. Studies of the 90-91 federal minimum wage increase found no measurable impacts on employment. One of the arguments is that by the time political pressure mounts to actually increase the minimum wage, the economy has largely moved on without the legislation and the new minimum wage is merely increased to the new floor wage rather than increasing the floor wage.
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One concern of businesses that pay wages around the proposed minimum wage is that when a new floor is set by raising the minimum wage, current employees' wages who are paid at or near that new level will need an increase. This will increase the costs to business even more than just the hiring of new people at the new wage. A University of California-Berkeley Institute of Industrial Relations study in September 2005 on the California minimum wage indicated that the impact on business was similar for the indirect impact of wage increase for employees currently at or near their minimum wage. However, they also indicate that the combined impact is estimated to increase business operations costs by 1.3 percent, very similar to the results reported by EPI above.

Other states. Table three shows all of the states and their minimum wage laws. Twenty-nine states now have a higher minimum wage than the federal. Ten of those adjust the wage for inflation as HB759 is proposing.

Table 3: Minimum Wage Laws in the States
(As of Nov. 8, 2006)

| State | 2006 State Minimum Wage (as of 11/08/06) | Planned 2007 State Minimum Wage | Planned 2008 State Minimum Wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | 7.15 | 7.15 | 7.15 |
| Arizona | Federal level | 6.75 * | Inflation Adjusted |
| Arkansas | 6.25 | 6.25 | 6.25 |
| California | 6.75 | 7.50 | 8.00 |
| Colorado | Federal level | $6.85{ }^{*}$ | Inflation Adjusted |
| Connecticut | 7.40 | 7.65 | 7.65 |
| Delaware | 6.15 | 6.65 | 7.15 |
| District of Columbia | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| Florida | $6.40{ }^{*}$ | $6.67 *$ | Inflation Adjusted |
| Hawaii | 6.75 | 7.25 | 7.25 |
| Illinois | 6.50 | 6.50 | 6.50 |
| Maine | 6.75 | 6.75 (will increase to \$7.00 on 10/1/07) | 7.00 |
| Maryland | 6.15 | 6.15 | 6.15 |
| Massachusetts | 6.75 | 7.50 | 8.00 |
| Michigan | 6.95 | 6.95 (will increase to $\$ 7.15$ on $7 / 1 / 07$ ) | 7.15 (will increase to $\$ 7.40$ on $7 / 1 / 08$ ) |
| Minnesota | 6.15 | 6.15 | 6.15 |
| Missouri | Federal level | 6.50 * | Inflation Adjusted |
| Montana | Federal level | $6.15 *$ | Inflation Adjusted |
| Nevada | Federal level | $6.15{ }^{*}$ | Inflation Adjusted |
| New Jersey | 7.15 | 7.15 | 7.15 |
| New York | 6.75 | 7.15 | 7.15 |
| North Carolina | Federal level | 6.15 | 6.15 |
| Ohio | Federal level | $6.85{ }^{*}$ | Inflation Adjusted |
| Oregon | 7.50* | 7.80 * | Inflation Adjusted |
| Pennsylvania | Federal level | 6.25 (will increase to $\$ 7.15$ on $7 / 1 / 07$ ) | 7.15 |
| Rhode Island | 7.10 | 7.40 | 7.40 |
| Vermont | 7.25* | Inflation Adjusted | Inflation Adjusted |
| Washington | 7.63* | 7.93* | Inflation Adjusted |
| Wisconsin | 6.50 | 6.50 | 6.50 |

Source: Economic Policy Institute

DFA has provided a summary of positions by supporters and opponents of minimum wage laws:

## Supporters of the minimum wage claim it has these effects:

- Increases the average living standard.
- Reduces worker exploitation.
- Can increase the economic efficiency of the economy where labor markets exhibit a high degree of market power on the part of employers.
- Stimulates consumption, by putting more money in the hands of low-income people who spend their entire paychecks.
- Stimulates economic growth by discouraging labor-intensive industries, thereby
encouraging more investment in capital and training.
- Increases the work ethic of those who earn very little, as employers demand more return from the higher cost of hiring these employees.
- Decreases the cost of government social welfare programs by increasing incomes for the lowest-paid.
- Prevents in-work benefits (e.g. the Earned Income Tax Credit and the Working Tax Credit) from causing a reduction in gross wages which would otherwise occur if labor supply is not perfectly inelastic.
- Provides a "shock" which forces employers to use a high productivity strategy rather than a high labor turnover strategy, therefore improving the stock of human capital in an economy.
- Creates incentive to work (contrast with welfare transfer payments).
- Minimum wage is administratively simple; workers only need to report violations of wages less than minimum, minimizing a need for a large enforcement agency.


## Opponents of the minimum wage claim it has these effects:

- Reduces demand for workers. This may manifest itself through a reduction in the number of hours worked by individuals, or through a reduction in the number of jobs.
- Reduces profit margins of business owners employing minimum wage workers.
- Increases prices for customers of employers of minimum wage workers, which would pass through to the general price level.
- Reduces economic growth by skewing factor-choice incentives away from the optimum choice.
- Decreases opportunities for low-skilled workers to gain the training and responsibility they need to move up the wage ladder.
- Increases the cost of government social programs due to assistance programs aiding the laid-off workers.
- Is less effective at reducing social exclusion than some other alternatives, for example training programs.
- Is less effective than the Earned Income Tax Credit at targeting the truly needy, and is more damaging to businesses.
- Decreases human capital by encouraging people to enter the job market instead of pursuing further education.
- Reduces the international competitiveness of a nation by raising the cost of factor inputs, and therefore output, relative to the level of other countries. It is argued that this is particularly problematic in developing economies.
- Is a limit on the freedom of both employers and employees. Minimum wage laws make it illegal for employers to pay workers less than the minimum wage


## PERFORMANCE ISSUES

The Administrative Office of the Courts reports that some of their performance measurements may be affected if the increased wage interferes with their ability to conduct jury trials effectively. Those increased costs are reflected in the table "Operating Impacts".

Human Services Division believes there will be no fiscal impact. Some low-wage recipients of food stamps and other public assistance may have benefits cut but the loss will likely be offset by the increase in wages.
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## ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES

The Administrative Office of the Courts indicates that the Jury and Witness Fee Fund is not sufficient to absorb the increase in payments to jurors and will seek supplemental funding for the fund.

There will have to be an extra effort on the part of the Department of Labor to verify the eligibility of workers who should receive the minimum wage.

## CONFLICTS

HB 759 duplicates SB 324 as amended.

## WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

If the federal government passes a minimum wage without passage of this bill, the state minimum wage will be lower than the federal. This would affect a small group of employees who are not covered by the federal minimum wage.
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