Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Wirth	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED		990/aHTRC/aSFC
SHORT TITI	E Real Property Tran	sfer Tax Credit	SI	3
			ANALYS	Francis

<u>REVENUE</u> (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY07	FY08	FY09		
	Insignificant	(\$200.0)	Recurring- Increasing	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

<u>Responses Received From</u> Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of SFC Amendment

The Senate Finance Committee amended House Bill 990 to restore the carry forward of the credit to twenty years and clarify that the limit on a conveyance prior to January 1, 2008, is \$100,000 and after that the limit is \$250,000. The amendment also requires that the conveyance will not adversely affect the property rights of contiguous landowners.

Synopsis of HTRC Amendment

The House Taxation and Revenue Committee amended House Bill 990 changed a grandfathering provision. The original bill stipulated that credits claimed prior to January 1, 2007 can be carried forward 20 years. The amendment stipulates that a credit for a conveyance that was made prior to January 1, 2008, that is less than \$100,000 can be carried forward 20 years.

House Bill 990/aHTRC – Page 2

Synopsis of Original Bill

House Bill 990 increases the personal and corporate income tax credit for the donation of land for open space, natural resource or biodiversity conservation, agricultural preservation or watershed or historic preservation from \$100,000 to \$250,000. Credits claimed after January 1, 2007, can only be carried forward 5 years. Credits claimed prior to January 1, 2007, can be carried forward 20 years.

Taxpayers apply to the Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) for certification that the donation meets the criteria, EMNRD will issue a certificate indicating the maximum amount of the credit available to the taxpayer. This certificate must be included along with the claim to the Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD) which will give the taxpayer a document that states the value of the credit. The document then can be sold or otherwise exchanged one time to any other taxpayer.

The exchange of credit documents can only be transferred through a qualified intermediary who shall keep accounts of credits being transferred. The minimum amount of transfer is \$10,000. The intermediary nor the intermediary's employees cannot be a convicted felon, cannot have had a license revoked, and cannot be an accountant or real estate professional.

If a credit is claimed as a federal itemized deduction, the amount must be added back into income for the purposes of state income tax. Taxpayer is defined to be any US citizen or resident, a limited liability company, a domestic corporation, an estate, including foreign estates, or a trust.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Changing the carry-forward back to 20 years as amended should not change the fiscal impact calculated here. Those taxpayers who are likely to need a 20 year carry-forward may transfer the credit to a taxpayer who can use it more quickly. The "adverse impact" provision may result in fewer conveyances but it is difficult to estimate the fiscal impact.

EMNRD:

There are no direct fiscal implications to the Energy, Mineral and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) Forestry Division. If the amendment to Section 7-2-18.10 NMSA 1978 and Section 7-2A-8.9 NMSA 1978 passes, it will increase the number of land donations and the amount of tax credit used. It will impact the amount of income tax collected. In 2004, EMNRD certified six (6) land donations with a total tax credit amount of \$501,050. In 2005, EMNRD certified fourteen (14) donations with a total tax credit amount of \$1,005,540.

Using data from TRD, there are expected to be an average of 10 claims with property values totaling \$1 million under the current credit (similar to EMNRD data). With the increase to \$250,000 credit, the fiscal impact in FY08 would be \$200,000 at the current rate of credits claimed, which according to Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD) is 20 percent. Due to the transferability, it is assumed that 50 percent of the credit will eventually be used, up from approximately 20 percent assumed in FY08. The net fiscal impact is \$500 thousand in reduced tax revenues by FY11. This assumes that intermediaries have entered the market and large taxpayers have learned of the program and can take advantage of it. Table 1 shows the fiscal

House Bill 990/aHTRC - Page 3

impacts. TRD reports that the impact in FY08 is likely to be small because the new transferable credits are likely to be claimed on tax year 2008 returns filed in April of 2009, although they could affect returns for estimated payments prior to that.

Table 1: Fiscal Impact

	Claim Rate			Impact
FY08	0%	-	-	-
FY09	20%	200,000	400,000	(200,000)
FY10	30%	300,000	600,000	(300,000)
FY11	40%	400,000	800,000	(400,000)
FY12	50%	500,000	1,000,000	(500,000)

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Land donations have become an important source for states and local governments to create open space, wilderness areas and protected areas. Many owners of land do not want to see it developed for a variety of reasons and tax incentives such as this one allow them to lower their income tax liability as a result.

Attached is a state by state comparison for 10 states that have similar credits compiled by the Land Trust Alliance (LTA). (These are states that responded to LTA). Only three of the states have transferable credits and most have a carry forward of 5 to 20 years (South Carolina has an unlimited carry forward).

According to the Conservation Resource Center, a non profit tax credit exchange in Colorado, the landowners who transfer their credits through the Center receive 82 percent of the value of the credit. (<u>http://www.taxcreditexchange.com/whywork.html#one</u>) That means that a portion of the credit will go to the Center for administration and a buyer who will receive the credit to use against its tax liability.

How transferability would work. When a land donor receives the certificate from EMNRD with the amount of the credit, the donor would have two options. If the donor has sufficient tax liability, then the donor would hold the certificate and claim the credit over five years. If, however, the donor does not have sufficient tax liability, the donor could sell the certificate to an intermediary at a discounted price. A taxpayer with significant tax liability would buy the credit from the intermediary and claim the credit with TRD.

Example:

Donor donates land with a value of \$1,000,000 qualifying her for the maximum \$250,000 credit. Her tax liability is only \$10,000, she can transfer the remaining \$240,000 to the intermediary, who buys it for 80 percent of the value or \$192,000. The intermediary finds a taxpayer who has at least \$240,000 in tax liability who buys the credit from the intermediary for \$216,000. In this example, the credit is divided between the donor, the intermediary and a taxpayer who buys the credit.

Table One: Example of Transfer

Property Value	1,000,000
Tax Credit	250,000

House Bill 990/aHTRC - Page 4

Donor Tax Liability Remaining Credit	10,000 240,000
Sale to Intermediary at 80%	192,000
Intermediary	240,000
Sale to Taxpayer at 90%	216,000
Intermediary Income	24,000
Taxpayer	
Tax Liability	240,000
Cost of Credit	216,000
Taxpayer Savings	24,000

From the state's perspective, only 80 percent of the credit went to the targeted taxpayer. This level is probably efficient enough to make the program worthwhile. If the donor only received 50 cents on the dollar, this would be considered an *inefficient* program in that half the credit is going to unintended taxpayers. Transferability is an excellent tool but must be carefully monitored to assure that all parties have adequate information.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The amendment requires that the conveyance does not impact the property rights of contiguous landowners but there is no specific information or guidance on determining how a conveyance impacts these landowners. There also is no procedure for appeal or adjudication.

EMNRD:

The amended Part H in Section 7-2-18.10 NMSA 1978 and Section 7-2A-8.9 NMSA 1978 specifies January 1, 2008 for the initiation of transferability of credits issued on or before January 1, 2007. Several applications are pending EMNRD certification for donations made on or before December 31, 2006. If certified, will these tax credits fall within the parameters of the amended Land Conservation Incentives Act? Or, is it the intention of the amendment Sponsor to begin the effects of this amended Act on land donations made after January 1, 2007.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

Land donors for conservation also enjoy a federal deduction from income for federal tax purposes but this deduction is scheduled to expire this year. It is 50 percent of the net value (the assessed value – the value in conservation land) for regular property and 100 percent for agricultural land and can carry it forward for 16 years. There is a bill pending in Congress to make this permanent.

ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES

TRD reports that the switch from 20 year carry forward to 5 year carry forward will be much more efficient to administer.

ALTERNATIVES

As currently written, there are no restrictions on the operations of intermediaries. One option would be to direct EMNRD to develop regulations for these intermediaries such as rate setting.

An amendment clarifying the method by which the adverse impact on adjoining landowners is determined would address this issue.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

There will be less land donated for conservation purposes.

NF/mt

Conservation Tax Credit State by State Comparison

	CALIFORNIA	CONNECTICUT	COLORADO	DELAWARE
State Law & Effective Date	Natural Heritage (2002)	Land Conservation/	Gross Conservation	Land & Historic Resource
	Preservation Credit	Preservation Credits	Easement Credit (2000)	Conservation Credit (2000)
Available Credit	Corporate Franchise (income) Tax & Personal Income Tax	Corporate Income Tax	Colorado Residents, Corporations, Trusts, Estates Taxes	Personal and Corporate Income Taxes
Conservation Benefits	Preserve wildlife & wildlife habitat,open space, agricultural land, fish, plants, water,or endangered species. Conditions, must meet one or more: (a) meet goals of Conservation Plan, (b) protect species or habitat, (c) conserve threatened farmland in unincorporated areas, zoned for agricultural use, etc. (d) includes water rights, etc. (e) used for or access to parks or open space, etc.	Open Space Land: (a) conservation of natural or scenic resources, (b) protect natural streams or water supply, (c) conservation of soils, wetlands, beaches, or tidal marshes, (d) enhance neighborhood parks, forests, wildlife preserves, nature reservations, or other open space, (e) enhance public recreation opportunities, (f) preserve historic sites, or	Preserve recreation, education, habitat, open space, or historical property	Open Space: natural habitat, rare biolog and natural resources, historic resources
Limit on Tools/Benefits	Fee Interests, Conservation Easements, and Water Rights / No credits on any required donation	Fee Interests and Conservation Easement	Conservation Easement only	Fee Interest and Conservation Easement
Size of Credit	55% of Fair Market Value	50% of Fair Market Value	100% of first \$100,000 and 40% of additional Fair Market Value	40% of Fair Market Value
Caps on Credits	\$100 million / year statewide for FY 01-02 through 04-05		Only one credit per year not to exceed \$260,000.	\$1 million / year statewide for 1999 and te years thereafter.
Carry Forward Periods	Eight succeeding years		Twenty succeeding years	Five succeeding years
Transferability	N/A		Yes, but only once	No
Refundability	N/A		Yes, only a partial refund up to \$50,000 if state revenues are above specified thresholds.	No
Certification	Yes, Wildlife Conservation Board must approve (WCB). Donor applies to Donee. Donee must hold public hearing before acceptance. Donee must submit Plan of Acceptance to WCB. WCB musts provide Franchise Tax Board with list of all approved donors and credits.		No	Yes, Department of Natural Resources & Environmental Control, in conjunction with Department of State and Division of Historical & Cultural Resources will certify
Recipient	State Resource Agency (SRA), Local Government (LG), Nonprofit 501(c)(3 Land & Water Conservation Organization designated by SRA or LG.	State, Political Subdivision, Nonprofit) Land Conservation Organization	Government Entity or Charitable Organization [501(c)(3)] pre-existing donation by two years.	Public Agencies and Qualified Private Nonprofit Charitable Organizations.

	MARYLAND
)	Conservation Property Tax Credit (1986)
es	Income Tax
blogical rces	Conservation Property: unimproved, not used for commercial purpose, and subject to conservation easement.
ment	Conservation Easement only
	100% of property tax owned
nd ten	No
	Fifteen consecutive years following donation
	No
	No
es & n with ertify.	Yes, donation must be approved by Board of Public Works
e	Maryland Environmental Trust
d in broa	ader tax codes was

Conservation Tax Credit State by State Comparison

KEY	MISSISSIPPI	NEW MEXICO	NORTH CAROLINA	SOUTH CAROLINA
State Law & Effective Date	Income Tax Credit for Donations of Land that are Priority Conservation Sites (2003)	Land Conservation Incentives (2004)	Conservation Tax Credit (1983)	Conservation Tax Credit (2001)
Available Credit	Individual Income Tax	Corporate Income and Franchise Tax	Corporate and Individual Income Tax	Any Tax Payer who has qualified for and claimed
Conservation Benefits	Natural Heritage sites and stream bank habitat along Scenic Stewardship Program streams	Open space, natural resource or biodiversity conservation, agricultural preservation, or watershed or historical preservation.	Public beach access or use, public access to public water or trails, fish and wildlife conservation, or other similar land conservation purposes.	Per IRS Code Section 170(h).
Limit on Tools/Benefits	Fee Interests and Conservation Easements	Fee Interest and Conservation Easement / no credit on donations required for subdivisions or building permits	Fee Interest and Conservation Easement/ no credit on donations required by local ordinance or to increase building density	Fee Interest and Conservation Easement
Size of Credit	50% of allowable transaction costs	50% of Fair Market Value	25% of Fair Market Value	25% of federal Charitable Deduction Value.
Caps on Credits	\$10,000	\$100,000 per year / only one credit per year.	\$500,000 Corporate, and \$250,000 Individual plus charitable contribution	\$250/acre, not to exceed \$52,500.
Carry Forward Periods	Ten succeeding years	Twenty successive years	Five successive years	Unlimited, until all credit is claimed
Transferability	No	No	No	Yes
Refundability	No	No	No	No
Certification	No	Yes, certified by Secretary of Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources	Yes, Certified by Department of Environment and Natural Resources	No
Recipient	N/A	State, Political Subdivision, or Charitable Organization eligible to hold land for conservation or preservation purposes.	State, Local Government, or Qualified Nonprofit organized to receive and administer land for conservation purposes.	Qualified Recipient per IRS Code Section 170(h).
	The information reported above reflects the language of this review and may not be reported.	e of each State's conservation tax cre	edit statutes and agency descriptions thereof	f. Information institutionalized in

IA	VIRGINIA		
	Land Conservat Incentives (200		
	Individual and Corporate Income Tax		
	Agricultural and forest use, open s resource, and/or biodiversity cons agricultural, watershed, and/or historic preservation		
	Fee Interest and Conservation Ea no credit for donations required to subdivision or building permits, or to fulfill density requirements		
	50% of Fair Market Value / may cl Land Conservation Credit and His Rehabilitation Credit		
	\$100,000 per year		
	Five successive years		
	No		
	Commonwealth, an instrumentality or a Charitable Organization eligib land for conservation or preservat	le to hold	
in broader	tax codes was beyond the		