Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

# FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Campos	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED		В
SHORT TITI	LE Santa Fe Schools S	Special Programs	S	SB 964
	3		ANALYS	T Guambaña

# **APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropr	iation	Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY07	FY08		
	\$300.0	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Relates to the Executive recommendation of \$7,500,000 for the School Improvement Framework and \$2,500,000 for the Schools in Need of Improvement Fund.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From
Public Education Department (PED)

#### **SUMMARY**

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 924 appropriates \$300,000 from the General Fund to PED for the development of two special programs in the Santa Fe Public Schools.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$300,000 contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the General Fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of FY 08 shall revert to the General Fund.

Per PED, three Santa Fe schools are receiving a total of \$512,700 through the Priority Schools Bureau's current initiatives. Schools receiving services and their corresponding funding amounts are as follows: Ortiz Elementary, \$171,727.00; Alameda Middle School, \$168,904.00; and Ramirez Thomas Elementary, \$172,069.00.

## Senate Bill 964– Page 2

The Legislative Finance Committee remains concerned with funding initiatives outside the funding formula as it tends to disequalize school funding and diverts funding away from core educational needs.

## **SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

PED states that \$82,000 of the appropriation would be allocated for a professional development program for middle and high school teachers who will promote training to improve student-teacher relations district-wide. The remaining \$248,000 of the appropriation would be allocated for a program designed to close the achievement gap through after-school programs.

## PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

PED maintains that this bill addresses the public school performance measures relating to core academic subjects taught by K-12 teachers and additionally to the percent of students who meet or exceed proficiency on standards-based assessments in reading/language, arts, and math.

## RELATIONSHIP

PED notes the relationship with the Executive recommendation of \$7,500,000 for the School Improvement Framework and \$2,500,000 for the Schools in Need of Improvement Fund.

## **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

The bill does not address transportation for students who need this service in order to participate.

#### OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

PED states that, of the 377,946 school-age children in New Mexico:

- 19%, or 71,810 school-age children are unsupervised after school
- 19%, or 71, 810, are able to participate in after-school programs
- 42% of all children not in after-school programs would be likely to participate.

PED furnishes substantial evidence to demonstrate the significant implications of student participation in after-school programs including:

- Higher school attendance and higher language redesignation rates;
- Increased levels of self-esteem, better emotional adjustment, and improved peers relationships;
- Improved grades and work habits as well as better behavior in school;
- Increased opportunities in leadership and life skill training; and
- A greater sense of belonging to the community.

PED comments that funding in this bill is insufficient to provide services to the number of schools not making Adequate Yearly Progree(AYP). Further, the bill does not address the needs of students not making AYP in schools that are not Schools in Need of Improvement.

# Senate Bill 964– Page 3

PED additionally notes that attendance in after-school programs is difficult because there is not a requirement to attend. This is a particular issue in middle and high school where students have other competing commitments after school.

PED also contends that professional development will need to follow their approved Professional Development Framework that was submitted for Title II funding.

AG/nt