## SENATE BILL 445

## 49TH LEGISLATURE - STATE OF NEW MEXICO - FIRST SESSION, 2009

INTRODUCED BY

Carlos R. Cisneros

 AN ACT

RELATING TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS; PROVIDING THAT CERTAIN RETAIL
TELECOMMUNICATIONS RATES MAY BE REMOVED FROM THE PUBLIC
REGULATION COMMISSION JURISDICTION DUE TO EFFECTIVE
COMPETITION; CONTINUING REGULATION OF SINGLE LINE FLAT-RATED
LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES UNTIL 2012.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO:

Section 1. Section 63-9A-8 NMSA 1978 (being Laws 1985, Chapter 242, Section 8, as amended) is amended to read:

"63-9A-8. REGULATION OF RATES AND CHARGES.--

A. In accordance with the policy established in the New Mexico Telecommunications Act, the commission shall, by its own motion or upon petition by any interested party, hold hearings to determine if any public telecommunications service is subject to effective competition in the relevant market .175626.3

2

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When the commission has made a determination that a service or part of a service is subject to effective competition, the commission shall, consistent with the purposes of the New Mexico Telecommunications Act, modify, reduce or eliminate rules, regulations and other requirements applicable to the provision of such service, including the fixing and determining of specific rates, tariffs or fares for the service. The commission's action may include the detariffing of service or the establishment of minimum rates [which will] that cover the costs for the service. Such modification shall be consistent with the maintenance of the availability of access to local exchange service at affordable rates and comparable message [telecommunication] telecommunications service rates, as established by the commission, for comparable markets or market areas, except that volume discounts or other discounts based on reasonable business purposes shall be permitted. Upon petition or request of an affected telecommunications company, the commission, upon a finding that the requirements of [Subsection] Subsections B and C of this section are met, shall modify the same or similar regulatory requirements for those providers of comparable public telecommunications services in the same relevant markets so that there shall be parity of regulatory standards and requirements for all such providers.

In determining whether a service is subject to .175626.3

2	following:					
3	(1) the extent to which services are					
4	reasonably available from alternate providers in the relevant					
5	market area;					
6	(2) the ability of alternate providers to make					
7	functionally equivalent or substitute services readily					
8	available at competitive rates, terms and conditions; and					
9	(3) existing economic or regulatory barriers]					
10	whether:					
11	(1) a comparable service or facility is					
12	available from a supplier other than an incumbent					
13	telecommunications company in the relevant market area being					
14	considered by the commission; and					
15	(2) market forces in that market are					
16	sufficient to assure just and reasonable rates without					
17	regulation.					
18	C. When considering market forces in the market					
19	proposed to be deregulated, the commission shall consider					
20	factors, including the presence or absence of all of the					
21	<pre>following:</pre>					
22	(1) wireless communications services;					
23	(2) cable telephony services; and					
24	(3) voice over internet protocol services.					
25	[ $C_{\bullet}$ ] $D_{\bullet}$ No provider of public telecommunications					
	.175626.3					

effective competition, the commission shall consider [ $\frac{\text{the}}{\text{consider}}$ 

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

service may use current revenues earned or expenses incurred in conjunction with any noncompetitive service to subsidize competitive public telecommunications services. avoid cross-subsidization of competitive services by noncompetitive telecommunications services, prices or rates charged for a competitive telecommunications service shall cover the cost for the provision of the service. In any proceeding held pursuant to this section, the party providing the service shall bear the burden of proving that the prices charged for competitive telecommunications services cover cost.

[D.] E. The commission may, upon its own motion or on the petition of an interested party and after notice to all interested parties and customers and a hearing, reclassify any service previously determined to be a competitive telecommunications service if after a hearing the commission finds that a service is not subject to effective competition.

F. Effective July 1, 2009, upon the election by a telecommunications company subject to rate regulation, the jurisdiction of the commission shall not include the regulation of retail rates for business and residential local exchange services, interexchange services, private line services, designed services or discretionary services provided throughout the state, except for single line flat-rated residential and business local exchange services. The commission shall retain all other forms of regulatory jurisdiction within the current

.175626.3

2	G. An election pursuant to this subsection shall be
3	filed with the commission and shall contain:
4	(1) the name and address of the
5	telecommunications company;
6	(2) a narrative describing:
7	(a) the telecommunications services
8	provided by the telecommunications company;
9	(b) the geographic area and market
10	served by the telecommunications company; and
11	(c) the telecommunications services for
12	which the election is made; and
13	(3) the effective date of the election, which
14	shall be no earlier than the later of July 1, 2009 or thirty
15	days after the date the notice is filed with the commission.
16	H. For a telecommunications company that filed an
17	election pursuant to this section:
18	(l) the initial single line flat-rated
19	residential and business local exchange service rates shall be
20	the corresponding rates charged by the company as of January 1,
21	2009 <b>;</b>
22	(2) monthly rates for single line flat-rated
23	residential basic local exchange service, except for service
24	rates for New Mexico low income telephone assistance program
25	customers, may be increased by an amount not to exceed one
	.175626.3

authority of the commission.

1

.175626.3

1

2	and ending June 30, 2012;				
3	(3) monthly rates for single line flat-rated				
4	business basic local exchange service rates may be increased by				
5	an amount not to exceed two dollars (\$2.00) per twelve-month				
6	period beginning July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2012; and				
7	(4) residential basic local exchange rates for				
8	New Mexico low income telephone assistance program customers				
9	shall not be increased during that period.				
10	I. If a telecommunications company fails to impose				
11	the rate increase during any twelve-month period, the company				
12	shall not impose the unused increase in any subsequent year.				
13	J. For a company filing an election pursuant to				
14	this section that offers single line flat-rated local exchange				
15	service for both residential and business customers on a stand-				
16	alone basis, the rate regulation jurisdiction of the commission				
17	shall not extend to the rate regulation of packages or bundles				
18	of services that include single line flat-rated local exchange				
19	services.				
20	K. For a telecommunications company that has made				
21	an election pursuant to this section, beginning on July 1,				
22	2012, the retail rate jurisdiction of the commission shall not				
23	be applicable to single line flat-rated residential and				
24	business basic local exchange service rates unless the				
25	commission, during the first six calendar months of 2012,				

dollar (\$1.00) per twelve-month period beginning July 1, 2009

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

extends its retail rate jurisdiction over single line flat-rated residential and business service rates provided by a previously rate-regulated telecommunications company. The commission shall retain all other forms of regulatory jurisdiction within the current authority of the commission. The commission may extend its retail rate regulation jurisdiction pursuant to this subsection for not more than two years and may do so only upon a finding supported by a preponderance of the evidence that such action is necessary to protect the public interest. If the commission extends its retail rate jurisdiction, the commission shall permit a telecommunications company subject to the extension to increase single line flat-rated residential and business monthly service rates, except for service rates for New Mexico low income telephone assistance program customers, by an amount of up to one dollar (\$1.00) during each twelve-month period of the extension. If a telecommunications company fails to impose the rate increase permitted during a twelve-month period, the company shall not impose the unused increase in a subsequent year.

L. A telecommunications company that is regulated pursuant to an alternative form of regulation plan on July 1,

2009 shall no longer be subject to the rate regulation terms of that plan upon making an election to be governed by this section, but shall remain subject to all other requirements of

.175626.3

an alternative form of regulation plan, including any service quality or investment obligations associated with that plan.

No election filed pursuant to this section shall affect any obligations related to settlement agreements approved by the commission to address noncompliance with a previous alternative form of regulation plan.

M. A telecommunications company that filed an election pursuant to this section shall remain obligated to meet applicable service quality rules of the commission then in effect or adopted by the commission in accordance with this section, but such rules shall not provide for the payment of customer credits associated with failure to meet any service quality rule.

N. The commission shall require a telecommunications company that fails to meet a service quality metric imposed by the commission consistent with this section to submit a plan to remedy any service quality failures promptly and may also assess an administrative fine pursuant to Section 63-7-23 NMSA 1978.

## O. As used in this section:

(1) "designed services" means the provisioning of regulated circuits requiring treatment, equipment or engineering design purchased from an ILEC's tariff or on an individual contract basis, including analog private line services; DDS; DS-1, including channelized service; DS-3; ISDN-.175626.3

= new	= delete
material	material]
underscored	bracketed 1

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
27

1

PRI; ISDN-BRI; an	nd special assemb	<u>lies where all</u>	facilities and
	-		
equipment provide	<u>ed are physically</u>	located in th	<u>e state of New</u>
Mexico; and			

(2) "discretionary services" means voice mail, caller ID, caller name ID, call waiting, three-way calling, call forwarding, call return, call blocker and auto redial and any similar service sold as an add-on to a customer's basic local exchange service."

Section 2. EFFECTIVE DATE.--The effective date of the provisions of this act is July 1, 2009.

- 9 -