Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

| SPONSOR | SJC | 1 | ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED | 02/11/10 | НВ | |
|------------|-----|-------------------|----------------------------|----------|-----|----------|
| SHORT TITI | LE | Property Tax Reva | aluations & Methods | | SB | 160/SJCS |
| | | | | ANAI | YST | Clifford |

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

| Estimated Revenue | | | Recurring | Fund | |
|-------------------|------|---------------|------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | or Non-Rec | Affected | |
| | | \$10,000.0 | Recurring | General Obligation Bond Capacity | |
| | | Indeterminate | Recurring | Property tax beneficiaries | |

(Parenthesis () Indicate Revenue Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Judiciary Committee Substitute for Senate Bill 160 would require the Property Tax Division of the Taxation and Revenue Department to certify that all residential property values are current and correct prior to authorizing county assessors to mail their notices of value to property owners. If assessed value of a property is below its current and correct value, the assessed value would be increased by one-fifth of the difference between the 2010 assessed value and the current and correct value. Similar adjustments would be made in 2012 through 2015 so that properties are gradually brought up to current and correct. Beginning in 2016, annual increases of residential assessments would be limited to 3 percent. When a change of ownership occurs, rather than being assessed at its current and correct value, assessed value would equal the lesser of the market value or 103 percent of the assessed value in the preceding tax year. The provisions would apply to property tax years 2011 and subsequent.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Fiscal impacts are only approximate as the necessary information to calculate precise estimates is not available. In particular, assessment practices under present law are unpredictable because of the constitutional challenge to the 3 percent value limit that is making its way through the courts. See the discussion under "Other Substantive Issues."

Senate Bill 160/SJCS-Page 2

Two major sets of fiscal impacts can be identified:

- (1) Assessed values of all residential properties would increase when they are brought closer to current and correct in property tax year 2011 through 2015. LFC estimates that bringing all properties to current and correct values would increase statewide residential taxable value by 14 percent, or about \$4.5 billion. Increases in residential taxable values would cause offsetting decreases in both operating and debt service tax rates. Thus, although revenues for local governments would be largely held harmless, a shift of tax liability would occur with owners of recently-purchased property receiving a tax decrease and other property owners seeing increases. On average, the tax increases would be about 5 to 10 percent for the homeowners whose values have been subject to the 3 percent limit throughout the last 10 years. There would also be a smaller increase for non-residential property owners because of the increase of debt service rates. Meanwhile, those who have purchased homes in the last few years would see tax reductions averaging about 10 to 15 percent. One consequence of the higher residential property tax values would be an increase of state General Obligation Bond capacity. State General Obligation Bond Capacity is equal to 1% of statewide net taxable value. Fiscal impacts shown in the table reflect a one-time 2.8 percent increase (one-fifth of 14 percent) in residential net taxable value in the 2011 property tax year.
- (2) Beginning in 2016, values of transferred properties would be limited to increases of no more than 3 percent per year rather than being valued at their current and correct market value when transferred. Limiting value increases when homes are transferred would reduce the rate of growth of future values by about 1 percent per year. These impacts would vary widely by location because of different market conditions and assessment practices. Some areas would see little or no effect and others would see larger-than-average changes.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

The proposal addresses the "property tax lightning" problem. The lightning refers to fact that, whereas property assessments can increase by no more than 3 percent per year while a property is retained by the same owner, assessed value increases to market value when the property is sold. In addition to creating an unfair system, economic research supports the conclusion that such "acquisition value" property tax systems reduce the rate of turnover of properties, creating inefficiency in the housing market.

Because of the variability of local housing markets, impacts of tax lightning vary significantly across jurisdictions. TRD provided the table at the end of this review showing the recent history of residential net taxable value by county.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Senate Bills 45, 46 139 and 217 and House Bills 132 and 263 amend the same section of statute and are therefore potentially in conflict.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

Two judges in the Second District Court have ruled that the present law limitation on assessed value increases in section 7-36-21.2 is unconstitutional because it creates a distinction between

Senate Bill 160/SJCS-Page 3

taxpayers based on when they purchased their house which is not explicitly authorized in the constitution. The 1998 amendment that created subsection B of Article VIII, Section 1 authorizes the legislature to limit annual increases in property value based on "owner occupancy, age or income."

TRD notes that the proposal does not address the treatment of new construction. However, because it would mandate that values be maintained at near their current and correct value, it would probably reduce the inequitable treatment of new construction.

ALTERNATIVES

Although the proposal is presumably intended to benefit properties that are the principal residence of the owner, the language is not limited to owner-occupied residences. In this sense, it is not clear that the proposal – or present law – is consistent with the constitution.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Consequences of legislative inaction on the residential property value issue are unclear but potentially significant. At a minimum the state faces significant uncertainty entering the 2010 property tax year with numerous protests and refund claims already being filed on the grounds that the present law 3 percent value limitation is unconstitutional. Possible outcomes include a finding by higher courts that the entire section 7-36-21.2 is unconstitutional. Such an outcome would appear to require that assessors bring all properties to current and correct, increasing values for more than half of the property owners in the state.

TC/svb:mew

Illustration: Residential Net Taxable Values Among New Mexico Counties, 2004 -2009 Tax Years

| County | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | % Increase |
|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| Bernalillo | 7,266,947,636 | 7,720,730,828 | 8,410,708,983 | 9,285,156,037 | 10,018,143,796 | 10,448,788,165 | 43.8 |
| Catron | 27,248,593 | 29,794,947 | 32,628,331 | 35,978,706 | 41,099,262 | 45,862,503 | 68.3 |
| Chaves | 331,805,712 | 359,024,498 | 372,949,489 | 418,443,699 | 457,193,916 | 494,211,777 | 48.9 |
| Cibola | 85,501,750 | 85,967,537 | 88,563,082 | 88,108,541 | 91,746,422 | 97,155,043 | 13.6 |
| Colfax | 249,450,710 | 270,952,564 | 282,755,944 | 302,296,132 | 324,710,721 | 341,603,100 | 36.9 |
| Curry | 238,555,249 | 252,897,149 | 273,155,508 | 307,743,938 | 332,712,862 | 358,155,938 | 50.1 |
| DeBaca | 8,724,032 | 8,992,625 | 9,366,986 | 10,010,459 | 10,555,671 | 11,038,687 | 26.5 |
| Dona Ana | 1,428,829,120 | 1,620,891,170 | 1,768,040,005 | 2,047,994,756 | 2,287,677,885 | 2,421,999,531 | 69.5 |
| Eddy | 299,066,094 | 312,357,628 | 333,132,695 | 361,347,727 | 377,403,025 | 410,359,887 | 37.2 |
| Grant | 256,532,412 | 273,822,776 | 310,791,410 | 319,356,167 | 330,544,420 | 345,714,308 | 34.8 |
| Guadalupe | 22,557,717 | 23,908,971 | 23,642,957 | 24,667,289 | 24,894,468 | 26,623,069 | 18.0 |
| Harding | 3,469,113 | 3,537,794 | 3,627,170 | 3,825,735 | 4,312,302 | 4,238,913 | 22.2 |
| Hidalgo | 17,028,255 | 16,855,534 | 17,799,723 | 19,376,890 | 19,385,573 | 20,070,037 | 17.9 |
| Lea | 233,518,361 | 250,146,621 | 261,453,875 | 443,977,548 | 321,456,075 | 363,554,576 | 55.7 |
| Lincoln | 437,133,733 | 481,697,527 | 514,076,879 | 596,722,602 | 645,221,134 | 724,708,841 | 65.8 |
| Los Alamos | 520,368,060 | 558,090,257 | 590,694,760 | 622,840,580 | 632,261,630 | 613,670,270 | 17.9 |
| Luna | 140,214,352 | 153,656,484 | 164,459,494 | 186,744,286 | 201,683,968 | 214,391,005 | 52.9 |
| McKinley | 198,732,340 | 210,524,700 | 219,073,850 | 235,968,181 | 243,329,070 | 255,444,981 | 28.5 |
| Mora | 40,131,293 | 43,074,290 | 44,365,757 | 46,287,728 | 49,189,728 | 55,121,747 | 37.4 |
| Otero | 426,009,696 | 463,965,506 | 484,500,471 | 538,950,160 | 566,262,129 | 587,585,032 | 37.9 |
| Quay | 44,358,773 | 48,185,990 | 53,233,763 | 62,484,755 | 67,613,834 | 74,556,775 | 68.1 |
| Rio Arriba | 303,250,959 | 333,031,953 | 342,524,897 | 368,355,524 | 390,237,716 | 420,553,571 | 38.7 |
| Roosevelt | 91,735,072 | 95,110,645 | 99,015,002 | 104,965,443 | 110,586,305 | 115,146,250 | 25.5 |
| San Juan | 688,355,210 | 746,280,486 | 810,460,909 | 933,067,914 | 1,004,143,191 | 1,123,109,175 | 63.2 |
| San Miguel | 242,753,189 | 259,344,932 | 268,658,887 | 291,786,686 | 296,473,387 | 321,127,099 | 32.3 |
| Sandoval | 1,220,143,881 | 1,373,558,950 | 1,631,727,293 | 2,001,646,645 | 2,271,349,747 | 2,450,497,081 | 100.8 |
| Santa Fe | 3,228,093,490 | 3,637,538,338 | 4,034,418,956 | 4,477,871,022 | 4,774,246,948 | 4,993,911,798 | 54.7 |
| Sierra | 116,562,320 | 123,839,169 | 127,179,234 | 131,304,605 | 140,873,865 | 152,899,365 | 31.2 |
| Socorro | 93,884,957 | 98,632,395 | 101,684,400 | 110,390,580 | 111,920,787 | 118,184,577 | 25.9 |
| Taos | 470,340,851 | 530,638,015 | 574,527,859 | 663,888,261 | 715,702,461 | 773,646,902 | 64.5 |
| Torrance | 105,175,332 | 105,077,369 | 109,834,093 | 127,918,330 | 133,634,274 | 140,741,891 | 33.8 |
| Union | 23,786,193 | 23,796,649 | 24,017,637 | 26,432,656 | 28,576,864 | 29,599,643 | 24.4 |
| Valencia | 535,657,508 | 569,885,440 | 613,291,328 | 696,322,888 | 754,593,127 | 817,403,424 | 52.6 |
| Totals | 19,395,921,963 | 21,085,809,737 | 22,996,361,627 | 25,892,232,470 | 27,779,736,563 | 29,371,674,961 | 51.4 |

Information source: rate certificate files issued by the New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration

The Legislative Finance Committee has adopted the following principles to guide responsible and effective tax policy decisions:

- 1. Adequacy: revenue should be adequate to fund government services.
- 2. Efficiency: tax base should be as broad as possible to minimize rates and the structure should minimize economic distortion and avoid excessive reliance on any single tax.
- **3. Equity**: taxes should be fairly applied across similarly situated taxpayers and across taxpayers with different income levels.
- **4. Simplicity**: taxes should be as simple as possible to encourage compliance and minimize administrative and audit costs.
- **5.** Accountability/Transparency: Deductions, credits and exemptions should be easy to monitor and evaluate and be subject to periodic review.

More information about the LFC tax policy principles will soon be available on the LFC website at www.nmlegis.gov/lcs/lfc